MEETING AGENDA Senders Initials_ ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION WALLACE STATE OFFICE BUILDING DES MOINES, IOWA October 15-16, 1990

Meeting convenes at 10:00 a.m., October 15, 1990 in the fourth floor conference room and reconvenes on October 16, 8:30 a.m., if necessary.

Appointments:

Public Participation (October 15)

10:30 a.m.

Debbie Neustadt (October 15)

11:00 a.m.

Break
Ken Pap (Sun Wise Systems Referral)

Eldon Winkle (Donald Massdam Contested Case Appeal)

1. Approve Agenda. Russell Smith (Item #13)

3:30 p.m.

4:00 p.m.

- 2. Approve Minutes of September 17-18, 1990.
- 3. Director's Report. (Wilson) Information.
- 4. Landfill Alternatives Grant Contract. (Hay) Decision.
- 5. Midwest Low Level Radioactive Waste Compact Update. (Hay) Information.
- 6. Federal Fiscal Year UHL Contract Approval. (Kuhn) Decision.
- 7. Budget Request--FY 92/93 Approval. (Kuhn) Decision.
- 8. Financial Status Report. (Kuhn) Information.
- 9. Monthly Reports. (Stokes) Information.
- 10. State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan. (Stokes) Information.
- 11. Construction Grant Priority List FY 91, Approval. (Stokes) Decision.
- 12. Final Rule--Chapter 60, Definitions and Chapter 62, Federal Effluent and Pretreatment Standards. (Stokes) Decision.
- 13. Final Rule--Chapter 61, Water Quality Standards Human Health Criteria. (Stokes) Decision.
- 14. Notice of Intended Action-Chapters 70, 71, 72, 73, 74 and 75, Floodplain Development Permits. (Stokes) Decision.
- 15. Notice of Intended Action--Chapter 136, Adopting Rule Changes Made in Federal Regulations. (Stokes) Decision.

EPC Agenda - Page 2

- Notice of Intended Action--Chapter 135 Amendment, Adopting U.S. EPA Rule Changes and Rules on Issuance of a Certificate Evidencing Completion of Remediation. (Stokes) Decision.
- 17. Notice of Intended Action--Chapter 135, Determination of Insolvency UST Owners. (Stokes) Decision.
- Proposed Contested Case Decision--Novak; Booth; Brammer. (Combs) Decision.
- Contested Case Decision Appeal--Donald Ray Maasdam. (Combs) Decision.
- Referrals To The Attorney General. (Combs) Decision.
 - (a) Donald Null (Camanche)
 - Gerald Pregler (Peosta)
 - Sun Wise Systems Corporation (Sac City) (c)
 - Amoco Oil Company (Des Moines and Ames) United Technologies Automotive (Iowa City)

 - Ruth Ann Coe **(f)**
- 21. Legislation. (Combs) Decision.
- General Discussion Items.
- 23. Address Items for Next Meeting.

NEXT MEETING DATES

November 19-20, 1990 December 17-18, 1990 January 22-23, 1991 (Tues & Wed)

12A-Emergency Adopted Rule, Chapter 209 Amendment, Solid Waste Demonstration Grants

Monday, October 15, 1990 CITY COMPANY OR AGENCY De menus, DA Stone Schomlan Morgrand Jayra Debbie Herstood Dowa Cattlemen ames Des Moines, IA Sierra Chib Chader City IA Sulvay Animal Heath Pluse Smits Neil Lespeig Solvey Avind Healt, Chale City I Robert B. Monroe Dur Cyarma Huser DM REGISTER W.D.M. IA. IA Wildlife Federation Him E. Mles Queenly Sonford Museum assa. Shirly Berchier Lon R. AMAN Cherokee Cherokee County Conservation Board Savid Hipps Charoller Chevokee County bleth James Phanabel Cheroker Courty arolyce IncCormack Farrakee Cherokee Carrabel W. P.M. Bruce Amundson Charohee Jour Dann Burean Jane Weem

NAME	COMPANY OR AGENCY	CITY
El Askar	1 An	Tovalee, Da
Edna Johns		Larrabee, D
Posemary Lesse	Per "Save Steele Prairie"	Larra
H Ferrence	to the second se	
Donna Amundson		Larrabee
Mary Bierman	11 11 11 11	Sgrabee
Jean Treed	j. 18	Allokee
lece J. Laderson	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R4Cherokee
Delie Cenders		
ine Magnusser	11	RR& Churke Paullina, DA
of Time!		Chefee, Lous
of French		B-A4 Cherolite
ust E. Chrolin		RR4-Cherokee
Teal D. and	LIGO CO	Cherokee, IA.
Pony J. Davi		Clarokee San
Jana Phipps Ray Commond		Glava. In
Aly barrenord	Consulting Engl-	Elgin A

	Monday, Oct.	15,1990	
NAME	COMPANY OR A		CITY
Christy 1	Emundson Save	He ele Prairie	Larraly
Brandie Jo	inl		Larrabee Larrabee
Tern Drown		X	Jarove arrabee
ory Brown Scott Brow	\	<i>t</i> 1	
Severy Beck Janet Je	sluson	Sa	riabel
Caret James and Baumes		Towa R	Johne Concern
E Remi La TheresA	Kelve		ato Denno S
Cinh Hilde	brand		a Audoben Cou
Jone Own On Eine) pegoce		mgs Jodgl
Mark B.	A	. Caurus D	Sm

October 15, 1990 **CITY** COMPANY OR AGENCY **NAME** SES MO-NES DAN PACKELLO 1 FCA Des Moines Ahlers Law Firm Jane Mª dllister West De Moures Jowa Farm Bureau Rick Robinson TOWA CITY DES MOINTEC WATHAN SHEPHERD FCAN LACK SOENER ABI SAC CIM SUNWISE STSTEMS KENNETH R PAP Sac City Charles Schulte

File Name APM-1-1-/ Oct. 1990
Senders Initials

Minutes of the Environmental Protection Commission Meeting

October 15, 1990

Wallace State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

O	CTOBER 1990 COMMISSION MEETING E90Oct-
	MEMBERS PRESENT
	MEMBERS ABSENT
	ADOPTION OF AGENDA
	ADOPTION OF MINUTES
	DIRECTOR'S REPORT
	LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES GRANT CONTRACT
	MIDWEST LOW LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE COMPACT UPDATE E90Oct-
	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
	APPOINTMENT - DEBBIE NEUSTADT E900ct-1
	APPOINTMENT - DON ERVIN E900ct-13
	FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR UHL CONTRACT APPROVAL E90Oct-1
	BUDGET REQUESTFY 92/93 APPROVAL E90Oct-18
	FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT
	MONTHLY REPORTS
	STATE REVOLVING FUND INTENDED USE PLAN E90Oct-93
	CONSTRUCTION GRANT PRIORITY LIST - FY 91, APPROVAL E900ct-106
	FINAL RULECHAPTER 60, DEFINITIONS AND CHAPTER 62,
	FEDERAL EFFLUENT AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS E90Oct-110
	EMERGENCY ADOPTED RULECHAPTER 209, SOLID WASTE
	DEMONSTRATION GRANTS
	FINAL RULECHAPTER 61, WATER QUALITY STANDARDS -
	HUMAN HEALTH CRITERIA

APPOINTMENT - RUSSELL SMITH AND NEAL LEIPZIG E90Oct-127
APPOINTMENT - ROBERT MONROE E900ct-135
NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTIONCHAPTERS 70, 71, 72, 73,
74 AND 75, FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMITS E90Oct-137
NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTIONCHAPTER 136, ADOPTING
RULE CHANGES MADE IN FEDERAL REGULATIONS (Financial
Responsibility for USTs) E900ct-144
NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTIONCHAPTER 135, ADOPTING
U.S. EPA RULE CHANGE ON ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE
EVIDENCING COMPLETE REMEDIATION
NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTIONCHAPTER 135,
DETERMINATION OF INSOLVENCY - UST OWNERS E900ct-152
PROPOSED CONTESTED CASE DECISIONNOVAK - BOOTH -
BRAMMER
REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LEGISLATION PACKAGE - 1991 E900ct-157
COMMISSIONER ABSENT
REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (Continued) E900ct-159
APPOINTMENT - CHARLES SCHULTE
APPOINTMENT - KEN PAP
CLOSED SESSION
CONTESTED CASE DECISION APPEALDONALD RAY MAASDAM E90Oct-162
APPOINTMENT - ELDON WINKLE
GENERAL DISCUSSION ITEMS
ADJOURNMENT

INDEX

OCTOBER 1990 COMMISSION MEETING

The meeting of the Environmental Protection Commission was held in the Wallace State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, convening at 10:00 a.m. on October 15, 1990.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mike Earley, William Ehm, Richard Hartsuck, Rozanne Charlotte Mohr, Margaret Prahl, Nancylee Siebenmann, and Clark Yeager

MEMBERS ABSENT

Gary Priebe

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

The following item and appointments were added to the agenda:

Item #12A - Emergency Adopted Rule--Chapter 209, Solid Waste Demonstration Project Grants

Appointments:

Ken Pap (Sun Wise Systems Referral) - 3:30 p.m. Eldon Winkle (Item 19) - 4:00 p.m. Russell Smith (to address Item 13)

Motion was made by Richard Hartsuck to approve the agenda as amended. Seconded by William Ehm. Motion carried unanimously.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Motion was made by William Ehm to approve the minutes of September 17-18, 1990 as presented. Seconded by Richard Hartsuck. Motion carried unanimously.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Larry Wilson, Director, distributed a brochure announcing an upcoming Public Policy Education Project which is a statewide town meeting on future directions in waste management.

Director Wilson displayed a plaque awarded to the department, the National Energy Program Innovation Award. This is the top award given by the National Association of State Energy Officials. The award was given for programs the department developed linking energy and the environment.

Richard Hartsuck commended the Director on this award and noted that it speaks very well of the department.

LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES GRANT CONTRACT

Teresa Hay, Division Administrator, Waste Management Authority Division, presented the following item.

A total of nine applications were selected for funding in the current round of the Landfill Alternatives Grant program. Six contract summaries have been approved and two are under \$25,000. The Commission is requested to approve the attached contract for Marshalltown.

The City of Marshalltown

The City of Marshalltown will be constructing a comprehensive yard waste processing facility. The project will include composting of yard waste and fire wood processing. The grant of \$150,000 will pay for equipment and aid in site development.

(Scope of Work is shown on the following 2 1/2 pages)

SCOPE OF WORK

- The Contractor shall implement a yard waste composting, firewood processing and tree chipping facility that will serve Marshall County.
- 2. The Contractor shall develop a specific education and advertising strategy to promote the yard waste composting, firewood processing and tree chipping facility to the entities that will be using the facility.
- The Contractor shall investigate the potential markets available for the compost, firewood, and mulch. Where appropriate, contracts for selling material produced by the facility will be obtained. The Contractor shall include in the final report an analysis of the available markets and those utilized for the Project.
- 4. The Contractor shall prepare detailed plans and specifications for the composting, firewood and tree chipping facility. The Contractor shall submit the plans and specifications for the facility to the Environmental Protection Division of the Department, if needed, and shall receive approval from the Department before construction of the facility begins.
- 5. The Contractor shall purchase any piece of equipment specified in the plans costing over \$10,000 on a competitive basis. The Department shall fund the purchase of equipment and buildings only after the Contractor documents that items 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 have been addressed and receives approval from the Department. The Contractor would, however, by mutual agreement with the Department and provided the permit requirements are met, be allowed to purchase certain items of equipment useful to the recycling operation before sections 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 have been addressed.

The Department will be funding the purchase of a composting vessel as identified in Appendix A, Budget. The title of the property purchased by the Contractor shall remain vested with the owner of the Project. In the event that the Project fails and any equipment is sold for up to five years after the end of the contract, the Department shall be paid a percentage of the sale price equal to the percentage of grant money used to purchase the property.

6. The Contractor shall submit monthly reports on the Project. The reports are due on the 15th of every month. The reports shall discuss the status of the Project.

- 7. The Contractor shall monitor the quantity of yard waste and wood waste collected at the facility during the term of the contract, and shall determine the amount collected on a monthly basis.
- 8. The Contractor shall maintain information on the methodology employed in the composting, firewood processing and tree chipping operations during the term of the contract.
- 9. The Contractor shall maintain information on the name and address of the markets utilized in the Project and the quantity sold to these markets. This information shall be included in the monthly reports.
- 10. The Contractor shall develop a pictorial history via slides of the Project complete with data on project development from early initiation through construction, start-up and contract completion necessary for presentation of the project at Waste Management Conferences, trade journals and other sources to disseminate the results of the project. The Contractor shall conduct at least one media-notified open house after the Project is operational and will encourage visits at other times on a scheduled basis.
- 11. The Contractor shall make any information on the Project resulting from this grant readily available, as this will be considered to be public information.
- 12. The Contractor shall submit a final report on the Project. The final report shall include:
 - Information on the amount of yard and wood waste that was collected during the term of this Contract, operation and maintenance costs, waste diverted from the landfill and future expectations in these areas. Included in these figures shall be an estimate of yard waste managed on the property it was produced.
 - 2) The environmental impact of the Project.
 - 3) An assessment of the viability of conducting this type of project in other communities, counties, and regions within the state of Iowa.
 - 4) Details on all aspects of the Project during the term of the contract.

BUDGET

ITEM	DNR GRANT	COST SHARE	TOTAL COST
Personnel		\$5,500	\$5,500
Compost Bags	V .	\$30,000	\$30,000
Land Value		\$23,200	\$23,200
Site Development	\$15,000	\$185,000	\$200,000
Equipment	\$135,000	\$15,000	\$150,000
Advertising		\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTALS	\$150,000	\$268,700	\$418,700

Ms. Hay stated that this is the final grant contract for the current round and it requires the Commission's approval. She explained details of the contract.!3

Motion was made by by Nancylee Siebenmann to approve the Landfill Alternatives Grant contract for the City of Marshalltown as presented.

Seconded by Rozanne King. Motion carried unanimously.

MIDWEST LOW LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE COMPACT UPDATE

Teresa Hay, Division Administrator, Waste Management Authority Division, presented the following item.

An update on the status of the Midwest Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Compact will be provided, including siting progress by Michigan and the LLRW Commission's actions to prompt more timely progress.

A copy of the 1990 Annual Report is also enclosed for your information.

Ms. Hay presented the following summary of happenings over the past summer with the MLLRWC.

June 6 - Michigan eliminated the final candidate areas for siting.

June 28 - The three sited states notified Michigan that disposal facilities access will be denied to Michigan unless revised siting bill is signed into law.

July - Michigan announces new siting schedule with operational date of March 1997.

January 1992 - Compacts not meeting deadline will face \$120/cubic foot penalty surcharge.

Independent contractor review of Michigan siting criteria found the criteria to be unnecessarily strict. The Compact Commission made certain funding contingent on legislative change in some criteria. Those being criteria that have no scientific basis for being unnecessarily restrictive.

September 7 - Michigan rejected action and requested the Commission to reopen budget negotiations or they would file a declaratory judgement.

September 10 - Michigan Commission wrote Governors of five of the member states accusing the Compact Commission of making it impossible for Michigan to continue the site selection process, that Michigan would withdraw from the Compact unless the budget is revised, and that Michigan was at the forefront of attempting to get the federal law changed and they are making timely progress.

The Compact Commission refused to renegotiate the budget process. The Michigan Authority has requested the Michigan Attorney General's Office to file a declaratory judgement. A response has not been received from the Michigan Attorney General's Office. Also, the Compact Commission has heard nothing as to whether any siting progress is taking place.

Discussion followed.

Clark Yeager stated that he feels it would be wise to have some estimate as to the financial liability the State of Iowa will have in 1996.

Director Wilson commented that there is no way that can be done with any accuracy at this time.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Kim Niles

Kim Niles, Iowa Wildlife Federation, addressed the Commission stating that the Federation would like to encourage the Commission to keep the groundwater cleanup standards they approved a year ago. He stated that is wiser to have a standard

above the minimum standard to insure safe groundwater. He related that they would rather find out in the future that there is a little too much protection than to find out there was not adequate protection.

Lon Allen

Lon Allen, Cherokee County Conservation Board, presented a history of Steele Prairie and background on the Steele family. He read a portion of the REAP law referring to clean air, soils, and waters and the losses of certain natural resources over the years. In conclusion, he stated that Cherokee county residents are not opposed to hog confinements, but they are opposed to Roger Trimble locating a hog confinement in the particular spot next to the prairie. He added that there is no guarantee there will not be runoff, groundwater pollution, or air pollution at that site, and there will be a change in the flora and the fauna.

Terri Brown

Terri Brown, addressed that Commission stating that she lives between the two prairies. She stated that hog confinements bring starlings and sparrows and their droppings kill plant life. She asked if the prairie could be protected under the Endangered Species Act. Ms. Brown stated that nitorgen and ammonia can be mixed into the atmosphere and, with fog, can fall on the fragile plants and kill them. She read a letter from Richard Beerman, a naturalist, opposing the hog confinement location next to the preserve and stating reasons for same.

Beth James

Beth James, Larrabee, distributed photos of Steele Prairie with the proposed hog confinement area shown. Also distributed were letters from school children opposing the hog confinement along with petitions representing 448 people opposing the location of the hog confinement next to Steele Prairie. Ms. James stated that she is speaking for 105 families and they feel that if the hog confinement is built next to the prairie it will rob people of their right to breathe fresh air as well as rob teachers, students, out-of-state tourists, botonists, ecologists, biologists, and professors the right to study the prairie. Additionally, it would rob wildlife of the ecological balance they thrive on.

Shirley Kirchner

Shirley Kirchner, Sanford Museum Association, stated that the hog confinement design plans are dated prior to the soil and water tests and because of that the plans possibly do not fit the land. She expanded on that issue. Ms. Kirchner stated that she is here to make sure that each and every Commissioner knows it is their responsibility to preserve a prairie. She added that they will

be sampling water and soil if there is a hog confinement put in, and they will be back before the Commission.

Ardyce McCormack

Ardyce McCormack, first grade teacher at Primgar Elementary School, stated that during Prairie Heritage Week of September, 1989 several classes took a field trip to Steele Prairie. She related how the students were studying the five senses and this was a good culminating activity as they smelled the sage and mint, felt the leaves and stems of plants, and listened to the birds and the wind. She noted how the students learned that they could not pick any flowers or seed plants so future generations can continue to enjoy the prairie. Ms. McCormack related that she wants to continue to bring classes to the prairie and she asked if the air will still be fresh so the children can smell the mint and sage, or will they smell the hog confinement instead. She asked the Commission to use wisdom and discernment as they make the decision whether or not to grant a permit for a hog confinement next to Steele Prairie.

Jane Steele Bigbee

Jane Steele Bigbee, Editor with Marengo Publishing, addressed the Commission stating that she has made many trips to Steele Prairie. She gave a history of the Steele family and the prairie land. Ms. Bigbee related that she and her brother became increasingly concerned with what would happen to the land after they were gone and they, with their mother's concurrence, decided to have the area become a prairie preserve. She stated that she would feel better about this matter if the permit to build a hog confinement was denied. Ms. Bigbee noted that she outlined her concerns in a letter to Larry Wilson.

Dick Sokolosky

Dick Sokolosky, Cherokee County Pork Producers, stated that the pork producers do not object to this confinement being built but they feel it is in the wrong location. It is their feeling that since there are no guarantees there would never be a problem concerning the prairie, the confinement should be built somewhere else. He added that their involvement in this issue is one of wanting to maintain a good neighbor policy in the county.

Tom Miller

Tom Miller, State Representative, stated that as a legislator he is reluctant to criticize singular actions of the Executive Branch, particularly for what might be thought to be parochial matters. As an advocate of business he is reluctant to oppose most any example of economic development, and he also finds it difficult to speak out against any agricultural initiative

because his area is all farmers. Representative Miller feels there is a point where the best interests of society should outweigh an economic advantage, and the application to build a hog confinement near Steele Prairie is a case in point. He added that to erect such a facility threatens the fragile environment of Steele Prairie. It is claimed that barriers will prevent runoff and contamination, but there are no barriers that can prevent the unpleasant odor. Representative Miller noted that he does not oppose large confinement facilities that are acceptable adjoining landowners, but the public cannot be forced to tolerate conditions that are deemed offensive. In conclusion, he stated that he hopes the Commission will find some reason to reject the application and that a better location will be found for the facility.

Kevin Kelly

Kevin Kelly, Board of Trustees of The Nature Conservancy, addressed the Commission stating that The Conservancy originally purchased Steele Prairie and later transferred it over to The DNR holds title and works with the Cherokee County Conservation Board and The Nature Conservancy to provide active management on site. He noted that future generations of Iowans owe a great deal of gratitude to the Steele family for their conservation practices and farsightedness in preserving this prairie. He listed four areas of concern to preserve the prairie from threatened ruin, those being: 1) runoff or accidental discharge of polluted water into the watershed that supports the prairie; 2) a great increase of flies, rats and other non-native insects, birds, and mammals that will disrupt the delicate balance of natural species found on the prairie; 3) drifting of feed seeds that will establish non-native plants on the prairie cropping out the existing ones; and 4) the overpowering smell that will drive away the people who enjoy the prairie. conclusion, he stated that the facility should not be sited so close to an important state preserve. He added that the credability of the state's commitment to the preserve system should be considered when making a decision on this project.

Cindy Hildebrand

Cindy Hildebrand, Iowa Audubon Council of the Audubon Society, presented the following three points: 1) the concern for this prairie is not confined to Northwest Iowa but includes individuals from Central Iowa and other areas of the state; 2) interest in prairies in general is growing around the state; and 3) the Audubon Council is concerned that if the facility is built it will turn what is a source of state pride to state embarrassment. In conclusion, she stated that this is a chance to correct a mistake before it is made and that is why the Audubon Council is asking the Commission to protect Steele Prairie.

Ray Crammond

Crammond, Consulting Engineer for the hog confinement project, clarified that concerns with runoff were addressed in a public meeting held last week. He related that a unit would be located at the divider at the west side so that if anything happened to the dikes on the lagoon it would not drain into the prairie. He noted that filter strips will be planted to take care of any runoff coming off the cropland. Mr. Crammond distributed photos of a similar facility where a golf course lies between the lagoon and the buildings. He pointed out that if there was an odor problem it would be noticed at a golf course where there are greater visitor numbers than at a prairie. related that there have been no problems with this facility and it has been there for 15 years. Mr. Crammond stated that these facilities do not make the headlines because they have good operators and the design was right in the beginning. He emphasized that he would not design something that would be a detriment to the prairie.

Christy Amundson

Christy Amundson, fourth grade student at Larabee, addressed the Commission in opposition to the hog confinement stating that it will pollute the air and water, and will kill the native grasses. She asked the Commission to help save the prairie.

Brian James

Brian James, fourth grade student at Larabee, told the Commission that he was present at the dedication of Steele Prairie and he would like to see it in the future as it is today. He added that after he goes to college and become a botonist he would like to come back and study it. He asked the Commission to use wisdom in deciding whether or not to issue a permit.

Brandi James

Brandi James, fourth grade student at Larabee, asked Commission to preserve Steele Prairie and keep it the way it was 100 years ago. She noted that she enjoys Red Fox and other wildlife she has seen at the prairie. She asked that a hog confinement not be built across the road from the prairie.

David Phipps

David Phipps, Cherokee County Supervisor, stated that he representing the people of his district and he has not run into anyone who is in favor of the hog confinement to be located in close proximity of Steele Prairie. He noted that this facility was rejected by the Plymouth County Supervisors and the reason it was not rejected in Cherokee County is because of the difference zoning. He related that Plymouth County has more restrictive zoning. Mr. Phipps noted that the people are concerned that this matter rests within the hands of the DNR and the EPC and that local authorities have no say in how it will be handled. He related that they are prepared to adopt ordinances which will handle the problems which were discussed in Plymouth County. He added that cattle and pork industry is needed in Cherokee County, but there is also a need to be good neighbors. Mr. Phipps presented a letter from Audrey Clave, Plymouth County Zoning Commissioner.

Discussion followed.

APPOINTMENT - DEBBIE NEUSTADT

Debbie Neustadt, Executive Committee - Iowa Chapter Sierra Club, read the following written statement:

"My topic of commentary is the protetion of groundwater. the position of the Sierra Club that the goal of Iowa's groundwater protection program be one of nondegradation. Sierra Club has supported the department's actions concerning its groundwater program. Many of its recommendations were a part of the historic Groundwater Act of 1987. We were an active participant in the legislative process that resulted in its passage. When the legislation was being debated one of the most controversial points was the establishment of standards for The department opposed standards being in the groundwater. legislation and so did the Iowa Audubon Council and the League of Women Voters. The compromise was a study with public hearings. The public comment on this issue was overwhelmingly in favor of HALs being used in developing a protection program.

With the EPC action in July of 1989 and 1990 the debate continues. It is my understanding that once there is full attendance at a commission meeting, changing Chapter 133 will come up for a vote again. At this time Sierra Club would like to make a statement in hopes that it will not come up for a vote. My statement to you now will focus on two things, risk assessment in establishing numerical criteria and several concerns on the effect of HALs in implementing Chapter 133. Our position on the use of HALs (MCLGs is the term used in the paper) and MCLs is in a written document I have given you.

Risk assessment when used to set a standard is often laid before people as a comparison with risks calculated for common activities. Pollution is an imposed risk. Imposed risks are not ethically comparable to the risks accepted by individuals in the course of everyday living as a matter of free will. Implicit in such comparison is the unreasonable expectation that individuals will conduct themselves in a mechanical pattern as to minimize total, numerical risk. Citizens expect to be protected against imposed risks.

That the environment, with its central importance to public health, might be degarded in an economic trade-off when standards are relaxed is something the public does not support. When public health and environmental considerations are compromised for a narrow economic expedient, the public health and the environment are always the loser. Remediation of groundwater contamination must be in all cases to the point where health of all individuals and the environment are at no greater risk than existed prior to contamination. That numerical point of risk is the HAL.

In the past year the commission has repeatedly considered changing HAL in Chapter 133 to an MCL. I have heard three reasons for doing this. One, spills are required to be cleaned up to a lower number than drinking water. Two, the first person that has to clean up to an HAL will have to pay more than any other after him. In the process it may be decided that the HAL is not achieveable. Three, HALs will result in many cases being brought before the commission.

Chapter 133 is a description of a procedure for groundwater clean up and not a set of standards. The goal is HAL. The department has the authority to set the level of clean up. What may be as important as the level of clean up is the point of containment of a spill. It is possible that cleaning up a spill would be more costly based on an entire clean up to the MCL rather than establishing a point of containment and cleaning the rest to an HAL. These technical questions are often left to the descretion of the department.

With new regulation comes the breaking of new technical ground. Anytime a first is done, it costs more than any other subsequent actions. This would probably happen whether the action level was an MCL or HAL.

The department having the responsibility of determining the level of clean up with the action level being the HAL may or may not bring more cases before the commission. In any event, that is what the commission is for. As a teacher I know the effects of stringent rules and consistent enforcement - more learning. With HALs as the action level for clean up, the result will be a healthier environment. Setting action levels at HALs will send a tough message to polluters. Iowa puts the health of its citizens first and not the cost of clean up."

Ms. Neustadt also presented a position paper of the Iowa Chapter of the Sierra Club on groundwater quality. A copy of this position paper is on file in the department's Records Center.

APPOINTMENT - DON ERVIN

Don Ervin, Midwest Research & Recycle, Inc., gave a progress report on his compliance with an Administrative Order. He noted that he is cutting a wider fire lane between the two windrows that do not show on the schematic. Also, he has cut another fire lane to the east and one to the north/south. Mr. Ervin stated that fencing posts are being straightened and they are getting ready to put the wire on. He noted that the number of tires shipped in and out and a total of tires stockpiled was in the written report he sent to the department. He stated that they are getting ready to distill the tires out and the product recovered will include gas (methane), oil, carbide, and steel. Mr. Ervin displayed a piece of carbon and noted that is what he tires look like as they come out of the distillation unit.

Chairperson Mohr commented that Mr. Ervin showed in his written report how many tires he took in, how many he shipped out, how many were shredded, and she asked that he include the amount on hand in future reports.

Director Wilson asked if Mr. Ervin has the facilities to extract the fuel.

Mr. Ervin stated that they will be pouring cement a week from Monday to start construction on this facility. He added that instead of locating a big building to the east, he is going to put a roof over the blacktop area behind the building to use for tire storage.

Clark Yeager advised Mr. Ervin that permits will be needed for new buildings and he should find out what is required before he starts to pour cement.

Mr. Stokes stated that the Commission directed Mr. Ervin to put in a request to modify his permit to allow storage of 200,000 or more tires. He added that the Commission also asked staff to provide a rationale as to why staff limited him to a 40,000 tire stockpile. He distributed a copy of a memo from Morris Preston explaining that rationale. Also distributed was a copy of a letter from Mr. Ervin requesting that he be allowed to stockpile 200,000 - 250,000 tires. Mr. Stokes related that he is looking for Commission direction on whether or not to approve Mr. Ervin's request based on the sparse information submitted by Mr. Ervin. Staff does not believe sufficient documentation has been provided to show that there is an avenue to move that large an amount of tires. Mr. Stokes pointed out that if Mr. Ervin intends to deviate from his original plans and is going to build another structure that it will require additional permits.

Discussion followed regarding conditional, temporary permits.

Motion was made by Clark Yeager to request staff to amend Mr. Ervin's permit to allow a 200,000 tire stockpile.

Commissioner Yeager stated that he does not think any business can run on a ten day supply when it is a business that is variable to the seasons.

Margaret Prahl remarked that 250,000 tires would be a two month supply.

Clark Yeager's motion was seconded by Rozanne King.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked what kind of precedent this would set for other requests of this nature.

Mr. Stokes responded that staff has practiced, in terms of meeting permit conditions, treating all tire recyclers alike to avoid giving the apperance of favoritism or unfair competetive advantage one to another.

Margaret Prahl commented that no matter what permits Mr. Ervin is given he does not follow them.

Chairperson Mohr requested a roll call vote on Clark Yeager's motion to allow Mr. Ervin a 200,000 tire stockpile. "Aye" vote was cast by Commissioners King, Siebenmann, Yeager, and Mohr. "Nay" vote was cast by Commissioners Earley, Ehm, Hartsuck, and Prahl. Motion failed on a vote of 4-Aye to 4-Nay.

Clark Yeager asked the Commissioners that voted "no" for their thoughts on this.

Mike Earley stated that he has been a Commissioner less than two years and it seems like Mr. Ervin is always just 120 days away from getting the problem solved. He added that he would like to see some progress before relaxing requirements for compliance.

Margaret Prahl stated that Mr. Ervin already has a two month supply of tires at his facility, having ignored the permit regulations, and she does not see any reason to license that kind of stockpiling. She related that she would like to see some movementtoward reality.

William Ehm stated that he cannot see raising the limits on the permit just to match what Mr. Ervin already has. He noted that Mr. Ervin is already out of compliance and that the Commission should wait the remaining 60 day extension given Mr. Ervin before taking further action.

Mr. Stokes stated that unless sufficient documentation is given to increase the stockpile number within the 60 day extension period remaining, Mr. Ervin would have to have the number of tires reduced to 40,000. He clarified that in regards to new processing facilities on Mr. Ervin's site, it will require a

modification to his solid waste processing permit and may require an air permit, regardless of what he has from the State of Missouri and the federal government.

Margaret Prahl encouraged Mr. Ervin to apply for the permits now instead of waiting until he is ready to use the new process.

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR UHL CONTRACT APPROVAL

Stan Kuhn, Division Administrator, Administrative Services Division, presented the following item.

The Commission is requested to approve the FFY 1991 Contract with the University Hygienic Laboratory. The agreement covers air quality monitoring and reporting, water quality monitoring - both ambient and compliance, analyses and reporting for the drinking water program and provision for analyses for the underground storage tank and uncontrolled sites programs.

As per the attached schedule, the total cost of this contract is estimated at \$599,624. This compares to \$597,979 for FFY90.

Under section 455B.103, the DNR is required to contract with the UHL for these services, unless the required services cannot be provided by the UHL. This contract is a continuation of current work efforts and programs, with minor adjustments. The contract costs reflect UHL's best estimate of their actual costs plus the field University of Iowa's indirect cost rate. Most of this contract is supported by Federal funds from the various EPA programs. Commission approval is respectfully requested.

A copy of the contract is on file in the department's Records Center.

(Cost schedule is shown on the following two pages)

DNR/UHL FY 1990 / FY 1991 Agreements Comparison

			• • •
1991		1990	1991
Section	Activity	Activity	Activity
Occion	7.00.07.9	Cost	Cost
3.1	Air Quality Monitoring		
3.1.b.	Staff	157,200	157,200
to d.	Expenses	31,600	31,600
and g.	Installation	2,694	2,694
and g.	Mileage	25,550	25,550
	Supplies	15,750	15,750
3.1.e.	Summa Sampling	na	5,000
3.1.f.	Asbestos Sampling	na	825
3.1.h.	County Audit	0	0
3.1.i.	Smoke School	0	0
	Total Section 3.1	232,794	238,619
3.2	Water Quality Monitoring		
	me to a second and because the	83,640	83,640
3.2.e.	Fixed Monitoring – Monthly – Quarterly	19,750	19,750
		79,650	68,540
3.2.f.	Compliance Sampling FY 1999 - 65 Sites/ 220 Samples FY 1991 - 56 Sites/ 190 Samples	79,330	00,010
3.2.g.2.(a)	FY 1990 - 660 samples	55,800	63,400
	FY 1991 - 750 samples		
3.2.g.2.(b)) Fish Kill	per sample	per sample
3.2.g.3.	Emergency Response	19,500	19,500
3.2.g.4.	Fish Tissue	per sample	per sample
na	Prairie Rose Lake Monitoring	10,060	na
na	Special Studies	32,660	na
	Total Section 3.2	300,960	254,830
	Total Section 3.2	200,200	

3.3	Water Supply Monitoring		* .
3.3.e.1.	Primary Drinking Water	5,400	3,100 +
3.3.e.2.	Synthetic Organic Compounds	3,500	1,200 +
3.3.e.3.	Unscheduled Investigation	1,900	1,200 +
3.3.f.	Reporting UHL analytical Data	++	37,000 16,425
3.3.f. 3.3.f.	Reporting non-UHL analytical Data Reporting	++ 53,425	++
	Total	64,225	58,925
3.4	Leaking Underground Storage	Cost / Analysis	6,650 *
3.5	Waste Monitoring	Cost / Analysis	40,600 **
Cost of C	ontract	597,979	599,624

⁺ Costs for each of these efforts will be itemized. Additional costs above the \$ 5,500 Section e. subtotal, up to a maximum of 10,800 will be payed if additional sample analyses are needed.

⁺⁺ Reporting is the same amount for both years. There is a change in how the reporting is broken out. It is now split into two costs.

^{*}Based on 100 Samples

^{**}Based on 200 Samples

Mr. Kuhn gave an explanation of the contract and asked the Commission's approval.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve the federal fiscal year contract with University Hygienic Laboratory for air and water quality monitoring and reporting. Seconded by Clark Yeager. Motion carried unanimously.

BUDGET REQUEST--FY 92/93 APPROVAL

Stan Kuhn, Division Administrator, Administrative Services Division, presented the following item.

Attached for your approval are the relevant summary financial schedules and decision packages that constitute the DNR's budget request to the Governor and General Assembly. Previously, each Commission has reviewed budget drafts and issues beginning with the June meeting. This summary is in accordance with those earlier reviews and the approvals received from each Commission in August.

For DNR operations, a total of \$53,082,912 is requested for FY92 and \$53,177,891 for FY93 from all funding sources. This compares to the currently approved operations budget for FY91 of \$49,777,692.

Most of the increase in the operations budget relates to expanding air and water quality monitoring programs within the Environmental Protection Division. Additional staff support is requested for Forestry programs. The remainder of the operations budget request generally reflects continuing remaining programs at the current level.

Of the above totals for operations, \$16,429,283 for FY92 and \$16,783,837 for FY93 is requested from the State General Fund. This compares to the current total of operations General Fund appropriations for FY91 of \$13,773,490. The remainder of the operations budget is funded by Groundwater funds, Fish and Wildlife funds, Federal Aid, and other receipts. Note that a separate request of \$2.7 million is being made to supplement the Fish and Wildlife Trust fund for FY92 due to a projected revenue shortfall.

Relative to previous legislative mandates, several special requests are included. These are (1) \$500,000 to supplement funds for abandoned well plugging, (2) \$400,000 for Toxic Waste Cleanup Days, (3) \$500,000 to establish regional Toxic Waste Collection sites, and (4) \$6,000,000 to promote recycling and reduction of solid waste.

In accordance with intent from the 1990 G.A., \$30,000,000 is requested for the REAP program. This would be funded with \$25,000,000 from lottery revenues, and \$5,000,000 from the State General fund.

The Groundwater program would be continued as per previous legislative appropriation actions. Other special programs would be continued at the current level. These include the special appropriation for the U.S. Geological Survey Cooperative program; the Green Thumb employment program for senior citizens; the Marine Fuel Tax fund for recreational boating support and capital development; the State and Cooperative Snowmobile program; Fish and Wildlife capitals and grants; and several miscellaneous smaller funds.

The attached summary presents the finance schedules and department-wide priority schedules for DNR operations, as well as similar schedules for each division. Separate financial summaries are presented for other special programs and funds. The official budget submission includes additional schedules and narratives that are not included in the attachment. A complete book containing these schedules will be available at the meeting, or at any other time, for inspection if desired.

Each Commission is requested to approve the request and priorities for programs under their respective purviews, and concur in the remaining requests for the agency.

(Budget is shown on the following 52 pages)

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Budget Request Summary

DNR Operations Financial Summary01-0	2
Operations Department-Wide Priorities03-0	8
Divisions, Financial Summary and Priorities:	
Director's Office	9
Coordination and Information	.2
Administrative Services	5
Parks, Preserves and Recreation16-1	
Forests and Forestry19-2	
Energy and Geological Resources22-2	4
Environmental Protection25-2	8
Fish and Wildlife29-3	0
Waste Management Authority31-3	2
Special Programs and Capitals:	
U.S. Geological Survey Cooperative3	3
Green Thumb Program	4
Abandoned Well Plugging Supplemental Grants3	
Household Toxic Cleanup/Collection Program3	6
Waste Volume Reduction and Recycling Assistance3	7
Fish and Wildlife Revenue Supplement3	8
Fish and Wildlife Grants and Special Programs3	9
Oil Overcharge (Exxon)4	0
Oil Overcharge (Stripper)4	1
Resource Enhancement and Protection (REAP)4	2
Groundwater Protection Fund43-4	4
Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund4	5
Land and Water Conservation Fund (State)4	6
Administration Fund4	
Energy Research and Development Fund4	8
Boat Registration Fees Fund4	
Snowmobile Registration Fees Fund5	
Marine Fuel Tax Non-Capitals5	1

SCH	IENNIUM DATE 09
STATE OF IOHA	BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM
OURCES, DEPARTMENT OF GURCES	CURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS
ATURAL RESOURCES, ATURAL RESOURCES	ATURAL RESOURCES

Z Z Z

9/11/90 TIME 23.50.10 (BUDGET COMPARISON) HEDULE 6 BU/F 235,171 500,275 125,729 57,484 100,524 18,150,719 37,795,634 61,194 16,783,837 450,000 8,718,605 3,686,996 593,000 TOTAL DEPT R 1,330,701 8,000 37,000 12,450,602 764,000 53,177,891 FY 1992-93 1060.14 BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON 100,524 16,429,283 592,592 513,157 125,644 61,195 TOTAL DEPT R 1,329,610 57,232 450,000 37,767,751 37,000 12,383,506 593,000 8,655,433 3,683,053 8,000 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 1,574,555 53,082,912 FY 1991-92 1055.14 792,701 124,554 61,514 60,715 630,938 1,013,521 56,082 400,000 3,300,508 8,511,309 8,000 37,000 593,000 3,093,564 11,649,973 116,209 932,462 5,625,913 ,938,094 1,374,429 49,777,692 1,716,801 4,710,374 5,207,838 1,574,655 751,000 2,069,577 2,883,006 184,541 DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91 5,144,30 1012.14 GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-0 GMF-MELL TESTING ADMIN 2X-DN GHF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-CN GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTINS GMF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GMF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ MASTE-DNR - NATURAL RES. - NATURAL RES. RES. - NATURAL RES. GMF-GHTR MCNITORING-DNR GMF-HASTE MGMT AUTH.-DNR GMF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR INTRA STATE RECEIPTS FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL OTHER SALES & SERVICES - NATURAL - NATURAL - NATURAL - NATURAL - NATURAL NATURAL F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR MFT-OPERATIONS-DNR RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL FEDERAL SUPPORT APPROPRIATIONS GENERAL FUND GENERAL FUND TOTAL RESOURCES FISH & GAME FISH & GAME FTE POSITIONS FISH & GAME FISH & GAME FISH & GAME 91001542672 CONS FND **FRANSFERS**

7																							
ULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 1/90 TIME 23.50.10 PAGE 2			49-																		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	; ; ; ; ; ; ;	
SCHEOULE 6 (BUDG) DATE 09/11/90			45																		***************************************		7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
H nI	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		\$ 55,579,536	935,636	827,637	843,125	98,950	278,595	907,055	605.872	154,775	652,627	4,909,453	43,950	398,452	100,000	1 016 7/1	•	3,977	94,000	53,177,891	\$ 53.177.891	***************************************
STATE OF IOMA MENT OF MANAGEMENT EETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NO - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92		\$ 35,345,486 1,024,238	929,634	824,637	842,203	98,950	273,595	907,055	605,372	154,775		642,085, c 609,079	43,950	398,452	177 843	1,715,262	•	3,977	94,000	53,082,912	\$ 53,082,912	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
STATE DEPARTMENT DGET HORKSHEETS BU / FUND -	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	, ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	\$ 33,660,576	916,134	768,337	841,703	76,950	277,595	894,055	571,372	140,775	652,627	4764616A	43,950	383,952	178,018	1,539,563	4	2,977	94,000	49,777,692	\$ 49,777,692	87 87 87 88 88 87 87 87 88 88
28			45																			45	20 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES TRANSFERS DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	DEPAECIATION	VELLE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI	24 TO	OTHER SUPPLIES	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	COMMUNICATIONS	HEN ALS	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	GUTSIDE SERVICES	DATA BOOCESTED	AUDITOR OF STATE REINBURSENE	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT	UIHER EXPENSE & COLIGATIONS	STATE ATO		EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESCURCES	

(()		•									
LE I BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) 1/90 TIME 23.37.34 PAGE I	q 92-93 1993 Appropriation	10,031,625 15,991,496	398,763	161,039	135,490	780,087	44,350	257,612	30,381 20,254	999,858	479,223
E 1 RANKIN 790 TI	α. !	4 HO	6 O	a F	9	TO.	<u>ب</u>	8 P	6F 0T	, to	L.
SCHEDULE (RAI DATE 09/10/90	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	40,282,501 805.50)	548,763	161,039 3.003	135,490	19.803	1.003	429,354 9.00)	50,635	999,858	479,223 9.003
	m X	5	J	.	_	_	J	_	_	_	· · · · · ·
	Q 91-92 1992 APPROPRIATION	10,006,559	396,999	160,521	135,146	957,214	44,177	257,612	30,278	998,996	477,047
ENNIUN	REQ 91-92 - 1992 APPROPR	\$ TO	6 0 F	ሁ ይ	۲. ان	10	ይ	5. 10	6F 0T	Į.	F.
OWA NAGEMENT 991-1993 BIEI BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	40,583,025 805.50)	546,999	160,521 3.00)	135,145 (3.00)	957,214	1.003	429,354 G 9.00) C	50,463 6	998,996 20,951 C	9.001
E OF IOWA OF MANAG FOR 1991 DULE- BU	EX.		J	.	u	J	_	J	J	· •	.
 NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	Maintain only essential services associated with natural resource protection, recreation and preservation.	Restore Mildcat Den, Fairport, Beeds Lk, Sallevue, Lk Darling, Lk Keomah, Natural areas inventory and threatened/endangered species prog. to fy91 lavel.	FARM FORESTRY Restore professional forestry management assistance to landowners by restoring three district offices serving 30% of the state.	MATER RIGHTS Restone Mater Mithdrawal Program by providing for state supervision of water use activities.	Restore fish & wildlife enforcement, research & operations activities to 80% of fy91 level.	GEOLOGICAL & MINERAL RESCURCES Restore microscopic analysis & description of drill samples from private, municipal, and industrial wells.	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative support to 95% of fy91 staffing level.	LEGAL Restore legal support to FY91 lavel of effort.	Restore Rathbun Hatchery to fy91 level, and restore fish, wildlife, research and operations activities to 90% of fy91 level.	Restore Maquoketa Caves, Mines of Spain, Pilot Knob, Prairie Rosa, Rock Creek, and trail and park administration activitie's to fy91 levels.
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	RANK		0001	2000	0003	0000	5000	9000	2000	0003	6000

LE 1 BUGGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 23.37.34 PAGE	IATION	423,379	30,215	150,582		325,676	919,174		54,033	116,1%	124,274
SCHEDULE 1 (RAPKIN DATE 09/10/90 TI	TOTAL OEPT RE FY 1992 - EXPEND/FTE	423,379 GF (9.00)	120,861 GF (3.00)	250,970 GF 6.00) OT	698,097	325,676 GF 5.00)	919,174 19.60) OT	165,659 3.00)	54,033 GF 1.00)	116,194 GF 3.00)	124,274 GF 4.00)
		! 45 ! 45					_	· •	-		
377	-92 DPRIA	422,219	30,156	150,448		323,839	916,463	•	53,860	116,055	124,274
BIENNIUM	& 1 k	la.	G.F.	95 07		GF.	or .		F.	u.	
STATE OF IOHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT MORKSHEETS FCR 1991-1993 BIE NKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	422,219 9.00)	120,626	250,747	696,981 15.00)	323,839 . 5.00) .	916,463	165,329	53,860 G	116,055 GF 3.00)	124,274 GF 4.00)
STATE OF IGHA MENT OF MANAGE EETS FCR 1991 SCHEDULE- BU	Ä	*	_	•	_	_	_	ù		, -	J
STATE OF IGHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET MORKSHEETS FCR 1991-1993 RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET L		Restore the Floodplain Program by providing for state supervision of floodplain construction activities.	ER SUPPLY Restore water supply permit review turnaround time to FY91 levels.	Restore accounting & data processing services to 90% of fy91 levels.	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES BUREAU Restore construction services to 91 level. Funding shifted from general fund to capital funds.	Restore A.A.Call, Wapsipinicon, Wawbonsie, Wilson Is., and Volga to fy91 levels.	Restore fish, wildlife & enforcement research and operations activities to 95% of fy91 lavel.	LAND ACQUISITION/MGMT BUREAU Restore land acquisition to 91 level. Funding shifted from general fund to capital funds.	is a sediment studies a investigations, the susceptability of groundwater to on.	NUGSICAL & MINERAL RESGURCES Restore drill sample processing & tracking, field equipment maintenace/repair, and map/publications cataloging.	Restore Yellow Rivar to 90% & Stephens & Loess Hills state forests to 100% of FY91 levels.
RESOURCES, RESOURCES RESOURCES	DESCRIPTION	Restore the state super activities.	MATER SUPPLY Restore wa	Restore accounting 90% of fy91 levels.	CONSTRUCTION Restore co Funding sh	Restore A. Milson Is.	Restone fi	LAND ACQUISIT) Restore lar shifted fro	MATER RESOURCES Restore soils & researching the contamination.	GEOLOGICAL & M Restore dri equipment m cataloging.	Restore Yel Hills state
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 91001542	RANK 			0012	0013	0014	0015	0015	0017	0013	0019

STATE OF IGHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT RANKING SCHEDULE 1 BUDGET UNIT RANKING SCHEDULE - BUDGET UNIT PAGE 3	Q 92-93 1993 Appropriation	88,597	56,043 29,166	1,000,090	93,762	79,923	75,000	51,338	103,475	44,634	19,908 19,908	78,032
	02 I	GF &	9.0 TO	70	r F	6 F	F.	u .	6F 01	GF OT	GF 01	GF 0T
	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	88,597	85,209	1,000,090	93,762	79,923	75,000	51,338 1.00)	172,459	74,390		3.003
	, X	\$	~	J	J	J	•	. -	_	Ų	_	_
	6g 91-92 1992 Appropriation	88,597	56,043 29,166	998,493	93,752	79,923	75,000	51,165	103,432 68,956	44,634	59,908 39,938	77,953
	oc 1	! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	9.F OT	To.	F.	G.F.	EL.	r.	6F 0T	6F 01	6.F 1-	GF 01
	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	88,597	85,209	998,493	93,762 3.00)	79,923		51,165 (1.00)	172,388 G	3.00) 0	99,846 G 2.00) O	129,922 G 3.00) Q
	m X	*	J	J	~	J		J	<u>-</u>	J	ن ر	• •
RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		NS MATCH Restore drilling program by providing for continued water quality/quantity investigations and related geological information.	accounting & licensing full time positions ort to fy91 level.	wildlife & enforcement research and tivities to fy91 level.	Restora Yellow River & Shimek state forests to fy91 levels.	M FORESTRY Restore farm forestry program to fy91 level.	TEMIDE PARKS Restore funding to maintain the state park trail system.	IRCHMENTAL GEOLOGY Restorm analysis of soils/sadiments needed as data base for siting landfills,H.W. storage facilities a locating sand,gravel,etc for constructn projects	Restore Budget/Grants and Data Processing to FY91 levels allowing for timely processing of local recreation grants & info processing activities.	INISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative support to fy91 levels.	DOOR RECREATION Restore planning staff to maintain the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan & provide impact analysis of DNR policies.	LICATIONS Restore personnel for newslatter, radio, and talevision spot production,
	DESCRIPTION	USGS MATCH Restore drill continued wate	Restore accounting & licen and support to fy91 level.	Restore fish, wildlife 3 operations activities to	Restore Yellow fy91 levels.	FARM FORESTRY Restore farm f	STATEMINE PARKS Restore fundin	ENVIRCHMENTAL GEOLOGY Restore analysis o base for siting la & locating sand,gr	Restore Budget levels allαwin recreation gra	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative supp	OUTDOOR RECREATION Restore planning comprehensive or impact analysis	PUBLICATIONS Restore personnel for newsl television spot production.
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 91001542	RANK	0020	0021	0022	0023	9200	0025	90056	0027	0028	0029	0030

	_
	-
	•
_	•
•	
	_

SCHEDULE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 09/10/90 TIME 23.37.34 PAGE 4	iq 92-93 1993 Appropriation	43,573 29,049	20,243	30,893	10,200	242,500	200,000	000,009	575,000	850,000	
	æ ,	\$ F0	9 G	GF 0T	6F 0T	10	e E	F	u. O	<u>م</u>	
	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	72,622	33,746	51,489	17,000	242,500	200,000	1.00)	575,000	850,000 7.00)	
BIENNIUM	EX P	4	U	_		- .	-	~	, -	•	
	1992 Appropriation	43,573	20,248	30,893	10,200	242,500	200,000	600,000	575,000	850,000	
	8 1	\$ 45 0T	95 TO	6.F	GF 0T	Į.	<u>ن</u> ب	u. O	u. O	بر ال	1.5
	\Box	72,622	33,746	51,489	17,000	242,500	200,000	1.00)	575,000	850,000 7.00)	
NTE OF IOHA NT OF MANAG S FOR 1991 NEDULE - BU	X W	*	-	J		~	J	-	-		
STATE OF IOHA NATURAL RESOURCES. NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 Ploois42672		PUBLICATIONS Restora Field Information and Education activities to fy91 levels.	EGAL Restore the legal assistant to provide project tracking & monitoring activities for the section.	Restore graphic support for technical report and brochure production activities.	Restore environmental mediation training to legal staff to enhance natural resource issue dispute resolution.	MASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID MASTE Provide additional technical educational and promotional capabilities associated with implementation of recycling programs.	MATER Supply Provide for additional water supply conteminant monitoring & regulation. Peremeters regulated & monitored have increased 6 fold since FY90.	GROUNDHATER QUALITY PLANNING Provide for implementation of a statewide Groundwater monitoring program consisting of 615 fixed station long term monitoring wells.	CCNST GRANTS NON-GRANT Enhance the departments ability to conduct detailed assessments & evaluations of Icwa's surface waters streams & rivers.	AIR QUALITY Provide for implementation of an ongoing air quality toxics monitoring, permitting, and inspection program.	
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 91001542	RANK	1500	0032	0033	0034	0035	9200	0037	0038	0039	

						-				
LE I BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 23.37.34 PAGE 5	(g. 92-93 1993 Appropriation	145,000	70,000	58,000	40,000	14,000	100,000	25,200 16,800	74,400	130,225
LE 1 B (RANKING /90 TIM	6. I	49	u. 9	GF	GF.	F	O.	GF 0.T	T 0	u. G
SCHEDULE 1 (RANI DATE 09/10/90	TOTAL DEPT EY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	145,000	70,000	58,000	40,000	14,000	3.003	2.00)	124,000 (260,450 G 6.00)
	EXP	*	-		_		_			-
	Q 91-92 1992 Appropriation	145,000	70,000	58,000	40,000	14,000	100,000	25,200 16,800	74,400	74,112
resolud TI	8.	45 14. (f)	L.	4 9	G F	F.	OT	O G	66 TO	<u>J</u>
E OF ICHA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 B DULE- BUDGET UN	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	145,000	70,000	58,000	40,000 1.00)	14,000	100,000	42,000	124,000	3.00)
IT OF MANAGIS FOR 1991	EX	45	J		_		, -	_		_
STATE OF IGHA NATURAL RESCURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESCURCES NATURAL RESCURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM Plo01542672	K DESCRIPTION	OM.	L EMERGENCY RESPONSE Provide a training program on emergency response techniques to ensure local response procedures are up to date.	STATEMINE PARKS Provide funds for routine replacement of park facility use items which wear out periodically.	MASTE MANAGEMENT SOLIO MASTE Provide additional supervisory personnal for ovarsite of solid waste activities.	FIELD/EMR RESP BUREAU MGMT Provide for the relocation of the Mallace Bldg based regional office due to space shortage resulting from prog staffing increases.	MISSISSIPPI RIVER MONITORING Utilize increased federal funds to expand the Mississippi Monitoring program.	Provide for two additional staff to address increased office mgt & accounting duties associated with environmental prog increases.	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVCS DIV MGMT Provide for cost increases for Vehicle operation & depreciation, as well as postage telephone & audit costs.	FARM FORESTRY Provide additional funds for staff & support to administer REAP forestry cost share program & new federal forest stewardship program.
NATC NATC 9100	RANK	0000	1400	0042	0043	0044	0045	0046	2500	6490

									•
LE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 23.37.34 PAGE 6	REG 92-93 - 1993 Appropriation	25,250	53,800		0000009	200,000	44,000	20,500	GF \$ 16,783,837 OT 21,011,797
SCHEDULE 1 (RANK 09/10/90	ω. 1	1 0	95		F	g.	3 5	F.	\$ TO
SCHEDULE) (RA) DATE 09/10/90	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	50,500	53,800	13,000	60,000	200,000	1.00)	20,500	\$ 53,177,891 (1,060.14)
	ũ	-	· ·	J			J	•	
<u>ج</u>	REQ 91-92 - 1992 APPROPRIATION	25,250	53,800		60,000			•	16,429,283
BIENNI	Ø. 1	. ₩ 	GF		F.				6F &
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	50,500	53,800 (2.00)	13,000	000 009				\$ 53,082,912 (1,055.14)
STATE THENT OF THE STATE OF SCHEDU		4 >							
STATE OF DEPARTMENT OF M. BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR RANKING SCHEDULE-		new Rural Revital 1 increasing 1 in Iowa.	ort to increase : & Loess Hills	the Mursary to sery stock.	replace outdated information nt cost (-20k).	ent capability al filing and	oort to address	of to Stephens forest mgt lint. functions.	\$ \$ 07 3,300,508
S, DEPARTMENT OF S S DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	2 5 ;	Unitization AND MARKETING Provide staffing & support for new Rural Revital ization Through Forestry Program increasing forestry related business growth in Iowa.	Provide additional staff & support forestry efforts on new Reichalt & land acquisitions.	NURSERY STOCK PRODUCTION Provide additional extra help to the address increased demand for nursery	GEOLGGICAL SURVEY BUREAU NGWT Provide computer workstation to replace outdated equip. enhancing remote sensing information processing at a lower annual maint cost (-20k).	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Provide improved records management o through the purchase of additional fi optical scanning equipment.	STATE FCRESTRY BUREAU MGMT Provide additional staff and support program expansions which have occurre past several years.	Provide additional staff & support to State forest to address expanded fore activities in addition to area maint.	DEPT REV FY 199 \$ 49,777,592 \$ (1,012.14) OT ====================================
NATURAL RESOURCES, NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES (5	Provide forestr land ac	NURSERY SI Provide address	GEOLOGICAL Provide equip. process	ADMINISTRAT Provide through optical	STATE FCRE Provide program past se	STEPHENS FOREST Provide addi state forest activities in	TOTAL
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 91001543	RANK	h 1 2 3	0020	0051	0052	0053	0054	0055	
	- el		*						

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	28	STATE DEPARTMENT DGET WORKSHEETS	STATE OF MENT OF MEETS FOR	E OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM	MUZI	SCHEDULE (BUD) DATE 09/24/90	6 ORGN RECA DGET COMPARISON 0 TIME 22.18.0	
91001542G72 1000 DIRECTOR'S OFFICE		ONGWILLALI		usei compakison			PAGE	
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	- FY	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	•		
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS	\$ 5 f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	7. 2 4 5 7 7 7 7		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	*	† 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D	₩.	₩.	1,144	\$ 1,414	\$ 1,413	45	45	
GWF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR			478	583	+9/34 582			
GMF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE-DNR			398	485	485	-		٠ ر
GMF-MELL TESTING AUMIN 2X-DN GMF-GMTR MONITORING-DNR			446 9.409	11,606	11,613			
GMF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN			528	658	661			
GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTHS F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR			and the same of th	76,031 126,196	75,764 126,550			
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL		1	6,219	222,256	222,354		E	
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS		I	53,792	65,009	65,077		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL		9	69,608	84,554	84,638	***************************************	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	
TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND – NATURAL RES. FISH & GAME – NATURAL RES.		11 14	116,209	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	F		
TOTAL RESOURCES	4	! ! ! !		\$ 306,810	\$ 306,992	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FTE POSITIONS	## 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	5.95	5.95	55.95	89 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	28 91 90 91 82 91 82 91 90 81 90 81 90 81 90 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 80 81	
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	•)		•
PERSONAL TRAVEL		4 4		40,000	\$ 252,492 40,000	u»	Ur	
OFFICE SUPPLIES EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI			2,000 750	2,000	2,000			
OTHER SUPPLIES		•	1,200	1,200	1,200			
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES		•	9000	6,000	000,44	,	•	
OUTSIDE SERVICES	•		2,000	2,000	2,000			
REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN			750	750	750			
EGOTABENI		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3,000	5,000	3,000			
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL		34	343,874	306,810	306,992	*		(
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	4 >	34	343,874	\$ 306,810	\$ 306,992	·	45	7

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS		E PECT P	STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	F IOWA MANAG R 1991 UDGET	E OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT : FOR 1991-1993 BIENNJ - BUDGET COMPARISON	E E		SCHEDULE 6 (BUDGE DATE 09/24/90	ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 4/90 TIME 22.18.08 PAGE 5	
91001542G72 2000 COORDINATION AND INFORMATION		5	>- r4	TOT	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL FY 1	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93			
APPROPRIATIONS GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE-DNR GWF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DNR GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTNS F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR	45	44	3,865 87,829 42,117 1,345 1,516 31,790 1,786	1 1 1 1 1	3,380 86,717 41,893 1,161 1,321 27,752 1,575 979,544 483,807	45	3,385 86,899 41,895 1,162 1,322 27,815 1,582 980,042	40-	45	
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL			170,248		1,627,150		,629,372			
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS			361,682		336,304		336,721			
RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL			476,497		444,459		444,991	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		
TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES. CONS ADMIN - NATURAL RES.			932,462 479,244 593,000		593,000		593,000			
TOTAL RESOURCES FTE POSITIONS	40-		\$ 2,651,451	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	\$ 2,664,609	5 1	\$ 2,667,363			
EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES AG., CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING	44-		1,628,693 59,800 11,306 17,200 82,500 24,000 20,000 20,000 37,100	ጥ	1,641,851 59,800 11,306 17,200 82,500 24,000 12,000 20,000 37,100	4	1,644,605 59,800 11,306 17,200 82,500 24,000 12,000 20,000 37,100	4 5	₩	

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 22 18 08	PAGE 6														1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MI		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		. 2,850	12,000	850	29,750	105,000	83,250	12,500	26,152	5,000	80,050	2,667,363	\$ 2,667,363
STATE OF IOWA MENT OF MANAGEMENT EETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENN	ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92		2,850	12,000	850	29,750	105,000	83,250	12,500	26,152	5,000	80,050	2,664,609	\$ 2,664,609
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM	ORGANIZATION - E	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	2,850	12,000	850	29,750	105,000	83,250	12,500	26,152	2,000	80,050	2,651,451	\$ 2,651,451
RATIONS									-						w II
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	91001542G72 2000 COORDINATION AND INFORMATION		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	BENTAL S	ITTI TTES	DBOE & SCIENTIST SERVICES	DITATOR SERVICES	ADVEDTICING & DIGITOTIC	DATA BEOTERNING	PETMENDS EMENTS TO OTHER ACEN	ROLLDWINE COLUMN AGEN		EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

LE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 4	,	706,807 467,174	30,381	59,908 39,938	78,032 52,021	43,573 29,049	20,248 13,498	30,893 20,596	10,200	GF \$ 980,042 OT 649,330
ULE 1 (RANKIN 4/90 TI	8,	GF \$ 01	6. T		6F 0T	GF OT	6F 0	6F 0T	GF OT	6F \$ 0T
SCHEDULE 1 (RAN DATE 09/24/90	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPENDATE	2,211,972	50,635	99,846	130,053	72,622	33,746 1.00)	51,489	17,000	\$ 2,667,363 (41.45)
	ŭ	1	_		J	Ţ	_	ِ ب		*
	Q 91-92 1992 APPROPRIATION	706,491	30,278	59,908	77,953	43,573 29,049	20,248	30,893	10,200	GF \$ 979,544 0T 647,606
SIENNIUM 4 RECAP	χ.,	GF \$ 01	GF OT	6F 0T	6F 0T	GF OT	6F 0T	95 TO	GF OT	6F \$ 0T
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUN NG SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	2,209,521	50,463	99,846	129,922	72,622	33,746	51,489	17,000	\$ 2,664,609 (41,45)
STATE OF MENT OF EETS FOR EDULE	'n	4	_	<u> </u>		J	-	~		
T OF OPERATIONS BUDGET RANKI	COORDINATION AND INFORMATION RANK DESCRIPTION	BASE	LEGAL Restore legal support to FY91 level of effort.	OUTDOOR RECREATION Restore planning staff to maintain the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan and provide impact analysis of DNR policies.	PUBLICATIONS Restore personnel for newslatter, radio, and television spot production.	PUBLICATIONS Restore field information and education activities to FY91 levels.	LEGAL Restore the legal assistant to provide project tracking and monitoring activities for the legal section.	PUBLICATIONS Restore graphic support for technical report and brochure production activities.	LEGAL Restore environmental mediation training to legal staff to enhance natural resource issue dispute resolution.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 \$ 2,651,451 \$ (41.45) OT 170,248
NATURAL RESC NATURAL RESC NATURAL RESC 91001542672	COORDIN RANK		1000	0000	0003	\$000	1 5000	9000	0007 L	-

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		BUDGET H	E - 0	: OF ICMA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991–1993 BIENNIUM	NNICH		SCHEDULE (BUD DATE 09/24/90	ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 4/90 TIME 22.18.08	
91001542G72 3000 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.		ORGA	ORGANIZATION - E	BUDGET COMPARISON	Z			PAGE 8	
		96	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93			
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWE-RIG SPRINGS MONITOGING-N				1 1 1 1 1		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		7 7 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR	n	()	12,205	\$ 12,671 42,414	ጉታ ማ	12,673	45	4	
GWF-SIORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ MASTE-DNR			5,105	5,224	J- (5,223	**		
GMF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN			4,787	4,952		4,551			
GWF-GWIR MONITORING-DNR GWF-I ANDEIL A TERNATIVES-DN			100,378	104,037	~	104,131			
GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTINS			5,638	1,779,590	4- n	5,923			7
F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR				2,053,103	n M	2,057,341			
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	•	† † †	173,038	4,012,252	2	4,215,178)
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS		‡ 	605,744	614,645	1 55 4	615,418			
RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.100.306	102.872.		7/367064			
	******	1		0060/064	4	11/60061			
TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES.		·	1,938,094		1	9 1 7 2 2 3 8 8 8 8	7 5 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
TOTAL RESOURCES	**************************************	47-	\$ 5,478,362	\$ 5,690,553	1 45		\$ S	45	
FTE POSITIONS			124.15	126.15		126.15	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES				## 11		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	11 17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
PERSONAL SERVICES	45	47	4,119,712	\$ 4,207,903	49	4.213.239	40	ų	
PERSONAL TRAVEL			60,350	60,350		60,350	•	•	
DEPRECIATION			72,500	60,500 112,500	~ ~	60,500			
OFFICE SUPPLIES			346,350	396,350	_	396,350			
FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE			1,700	1,700		1,700	•		
OTHER SUPPLIES			50,000	50,000	.	50,000			
PRINTING & BINDING			37,175	37,175	- 10	27,175			
UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS			4,200	4,200		4,200			
COMMONICATIONS RENTALS			238,500	272,500	_	272,500			
710:010			1,900	1,900	_	1,900			

7

PAGE 9			
	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	58,350 1,650 122,700 100,000 22,300 364,825 150	\$ 5,895,889
JOGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	58,350 1,650 122,700 100,000 22,300 164,825 150	\$ 5,690,553
ORGANIZATION - BL	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	58,350 1,650 122,700 80,000 22,300 184,825 150	5,478,362
			## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
91001542G72 3000 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES OUTSIDE SERVICES ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY DATA PROCESSING AUDITOR OF STATE REIMBURSEME REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT LICENSES EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES
	ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON DAGE 9 OMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.	ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1991-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1990-91 FY 199

														-
LE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 21.53.04	x 6	APPROPRIATION	1,066,187	257,612	150,582 100,388			56,043 29,166	103,475	44,634	25,200 16,800	74,400	200,000	GF \$ 1,978,133 OT 2,237,045
JLE 1 (RANKI) 1790 TJ	. REQ 92.	ı	6F \$	6F 0T	6F 0T			6F 0T	6F TO	55 0T	95 TO	6F 0T	9	GF \$ 0T
SCHEDULE (RAI DATE 09/24/90	TOTAL DEPT		3,653,751	429,354 9.00)	250,970	698,097	165,659	85,209	172,459	74,390	42,000	124,000	200,000	\$ 5,895,889 (126.15)
			.	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	~			*
	.f 91-92 92	APPROPRIATION	1,067,826	257,612	150,448			56,043 29,166	103,432 68,956	44,634	16,800	74,400		GF \$ 1,779,595 OT 2,232,657
ENNIUM	REQ 91	APPR	GF \$ OT	g To	GF OT			GF OT	GF OT	GF OT	6F TO	6F 0T		GF \$ 0T
: IOWA MANAGEMENT { 1991-1993 BIENNIU ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT	Гш	3,650,155 (81.15)	429,354 (250,747 (6.00)	696,981 15.00)	165,329	85,209 G	172,388 G 4.00) O	74,390 G 3.00) O	42,000 G 2.00) O	124,000 6		\$ 5,690,553 G (126.15) O
E OF IOWA OF MANAG FOR 1991 E - ORGAN		EX	~	J	_	_	J	_	J	_	_			-
RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RESOURCES BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	3000 IVE SERVICES DIV.	DESCRIPTION	BASE	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative support to 95% of FY91 staffing level.	Restore accounting and data processing services to 90% of FY91 levels.	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES BUREAU Restore construction services to FY91 level. Funding shifted from general fund to capital funds.	LAND ACQUISITION/MGMT BUREAU Restore land acquisition to FY91 level. Funding shifted from general fund to capital funds.	Restore accounting & licensing full time positions and support to FY91 level.	Restore Budget/Grants and Data Processing to FY91 levels allowing for timely processing of local recreation grants & info processing activities.	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative support to FY91 levels.	Provide for two additional staff to address increased office mgmt & accounting duties associated with environmental program increases.	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVCS DIV MGMT Provide for cost increases for vehicle operations and depreciation, postage, telephone, and audit costs.	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Provide improved records management capability through the purchase of additional filing and optical scanning equipment.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 \$ 5,478,362 \$ { 124,15} OT 173,038
NATURAL B NATURAL B NATURAL B	91001542G72 ADMINISTRATI	RANK DE		0001 AC	0002	22 . £000	0004 LA	5000	90		80			TOTAL
ŽŽŽ	9. AE	⊉ ¦		8	00	8	00	00	9000	2000	0008	6000	0010	

344,451 96,600 165,332 4,080 8,000
344,451 96,600 165,332 4,080 8,000
344,451 96,600 165,332 4,080 8,000
DITITIES PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES OUTSIDE SERVICES ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY DATA PROCESSING

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672 4000	STATE DEPARTMENT OGET WORKSHEETS ORGANIZATION -	STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	HUM.	SCHEDULE (BL DATE 09/24/9	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 22.18.08 PAGE 12	
PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION DIV.	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93			,
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS LICENSES	7,425 168,200 2,700 2,697	7,425 168,200 2,700 7,2,697	7,425 168,200 2,700 2,697			
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	8,030,572	. 8	8,160,463			
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	\$ 8,030,572	8,138,774	\$ 8,160,463			

					£				¥.
REC EDUL 53.	PAGE 10	1993 Appropriation	4,368,766	398,763	479,223	325,676	75,000	58,000	GF \$ 5,705,428 OT 450,000
~ ~	REQ 92	ŧ	GF \$ 01	9. 10	GF	ម	F.	6 F	6F \$ 0T
SCHEDULE 1 (RAN DATE 09/24/90	FA TOTAL DEPT REG 92-93	FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	6,673,801	548,763 12.00)	479,223	325,676 5.00)	75,000	58,000	\$ 8,160,463 (217.52)
		Ä	w -	-	<u> </u>	J			()
•	1-92	1992 APPROPRIATION	4,352,854	396,999	477,047	323,839	75,000	58,000	GF \$ 5,683,739 OT 450,000
SIENNIUP 1 RECAP	REQ 91-92	t	6F \$	6F 0T	G.	u. O	9 5	F.	6F \$ 0T
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NG SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT	FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	6,657,889	546,999 12.00)	477,047	323,839 5.00)	75,000	58,000	\$ 8,138,774 (217.52)
STATE OF IOMA MENT OF MANAG EETS FOR 1991 EDULE - ORGAN		X	\$	_	_				-
NURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NURCES NURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS RANKI	91001542672 4000 PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION DIV.	K DESCRIPTION	BASE	Restore Mildcat Den,Fairport,Beeds Lk,Bellevue,Lk Darling,Lk Keomah,Natural areas inventory and threatened/endangered species prog. to fy91 level.	Restore Maquoketa Caves, Mines of Spain, Pilot Knob, Prairie Rose, Rock Creek, and trail and park administration activities to fy91 levels.	Restore support for A.A. Call, Mapsipinicon, Maubonsie, Milson Island and Volga to FY91 levels.	 STATEMIDE PARKS Restore funding to maintain the state park trail system. 	s STATEWIDE PARKS Provide funds for routine replacement of park facility use items which wear out periodically.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 \$ 8,030,572 \$ (217.52) OT 400,000
NAT NATURE	910G PARK	RANK		1000	0005	5000	4000	9000	

				•					•	Q	/															7
ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 4/90 TIME 22.18.08			w		45)		45																	40-	***************************************
SCHEDULE (BUD DATE 09/24/90			s.			00		45														•				!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
₩nI		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ 2,009,008	447,475	\$ 3,220,483	69.71		\$ 2,219,780	83,500	140,781	33,420	58,750	11,000	16,016	18,500	17,200	28,500	57,350	006	1,500	169,973	340	94,000	3,220,483	\$ 3,220,483	
: OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM - BUNGET COMPARTSON		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	\$ 1,884,992	391,363	\$ 3,040,355	64.71		\$ 2,071,377	77,500	129,281	32,920	57,700	11,000	16,016	26,680	17,200	28,500	57,350	006	1,500	169,973	340	94,000	3,040,355	\$ 3,040,355	7 1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
STATE DEPARTMENT MORKSHEETS	•	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	45-	292,000 1,716,801 751,000	\$ 2,759,801	57.71		\$ 1,856,348	74,000	124,781	32,420	57,200	11,000	14,516	26,680	17,200	28,500	57,350	006	1,500	128,973	340	000,496	2,759,801	\$ 2,759,801	
FERATIONS BUDGET			45-			16 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81		ሪ ን															9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		\$	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	91001542G72 5000 FORESTRY DIVISION		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTNS RECEIPTS	FEDERAL SUPPORT TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. CONS FND - NATURAL RES.	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	ACCUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI	OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING	CONTURNICATIONS	RENTALS	UIILIIIES BBDE & SCIENIIERC SEBVICES	OUTSIDE SERVICES	ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DATA PROCESSING PETMRIBSEMENTS TO OTHER ACEN	EQUIPMENT	LICENSES	STATE AID	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

,				2	>							
REC EDUL 53.	PAGE 13:	1993 Appropriation	1,276,235	161,039	124,274	93,762	79,923	130,225	25,250	53,800		44,000
⊣≸		1	GF \$	F.	5.5	GF	u.	6	9	GF		.
SCHEDULE (RA) DATE 09/24/90		FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	2,319,235	161,039	124,274	93,762 3.00)	79,923	260,450	50,500	53,800	13,000	1.00)
		Ä	.	_		~	u	~	-	-	~	<u> </u>
*	26-1	1992 Appropriation	1,273,350	160,521	124,274	93,762	79,923	74,112	25,250	53,800		
BIENNIUM	REG 91-92		ф 45	9	9	GF	9	GF	9 .	99		
EMENT -1993	TOTAL DEPT	FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	2,316,350 45.71)	160,521	124,274	3,762	79,923	148,225	1.003	53,800	13,000	
STATE OF IOWA MENT OF MANAG EETS FOR 1991	5 Y Y	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	*	<u> </u>	· · ·			<u> </u>		_	_	
RATIONS BUDGET PANKT				M FORESTRY Restore professional forestry management assistance to landowners by restoring support for three district offices serving 30% of the state.	Restore Yellow River to 90% & Stephens & Loess Hills State Forests to 100% of fy91 levels.	Restore Yellow River and Shimek State Forests to FY91 levels.	M FORESTRY Restore Farm Forestry Program to the FY91 level of operation.	M FORESTRY Provide additional funds for staff and support to administer REAP Forestry Cost Share program and the new Federal Forest Stewardship Program.	LIZATION AND MARKETING Provide staff and support for new Rural Revital- ization Through Forestry Program increasing forestry related business growth in Iowa.	Provide additional staff and support to increase forestry efforts on new Reichelt and Loess Hills land acquisitions.	SERY STOCK PRODUCTION Provide funding for additional Seasonal extra help needed at the State Forest Nursery to meet the increased public demand for nursery stock.	TE FORESTRY BUREAU MGMT Provide additional staff and support to address program expansions which have occurred over the past several years.
RESOURCES, RESOURCES RESOURCES	91001542G72 5000 FORESTRY DIVISION	DESCRIPTION	BASE	FARM FORESTRY Restore profe assistance to	Restore Yello Hills State F	Restore Yello FY91 levels.	FARM FORESTRY Restore Farm of operation.	FARM FORESTRY Provide addit administer RE the new Feder	UTILIZATION AND MARKETING Provide staff and suppo ization Through Forest forestry related busin	Provide additional forestry efforts o land acquisitions.	NURSERY STOCK PRODUCTION Provide funding for acceeded at the State For increased public deman	STATE FORESTRY BUREAU MGMT Provide additional staf program expansions which past several years.
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	91001 FORES	RANK		1000	0005	0003	0000	9000	9000	2000	0008	6000

 <u></u>	F
U	

		0	>
SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 14	TOTAL DEPT REQ 92-93 FY 1992 - 1993 END/FTE APPROPRIATION	GF \$ 20,500	GF \$ 2,009,008
SCHEDU DATE 09/24	TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	\$ 20,500 GF \$	\$ 3,220,483 GF \$ (69.71)
IENNIUM RECAP	TOTAL DEPT REG 91-92 FY 1991 - 1992 END/FTE APPROPRIATION	45	GF \$ 1,884,992
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	47	\$ 3,040,355 GF \$ (4.71)
ST DEPARTME BUDGET MORKSHEE RANKING SCHED		oort to Stephens forest management ananca needs,	f 1990-91 \$ ===================================
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		PHENS FOREST Provide additional staff and support to Stephens State Forest to address expanded forest management needs as well as increased maintenance needs.	DEPT REV FY 1990-9. \$ 2,759,801 \$ { 57.71}
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPE	FORESTRY DIVISION RANK DESCRIPTION	STS	TOTAL
NATU! NATU!	FORES	0010	

				ect	,																•	(
ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 4/90 TIME 22.18.08 PAGE 17		47-	***************************************	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	89 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	45												
SCHEDULE (BUD)		47	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		11	##							*						
Σ.	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ 217,700 135,638 1,450,757	1,804,095	508,057 1,726,765 8,000 37,000	2,279,822	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 4,083,917	59.62		\$ 2,400,177	28,800	6,949	1,000	40,000	34,510	70,829	18,510	13,175	1,138,397	16,656	7,118	
: OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	\$ 575,127 3 148,973 1,448,597	2,172,697	508,117 1,725,126 8,000 37,000	2,278,243		4,450,940			\$ 2,396,404	28,800	6,949	1,000	40,000	34,510	70,829	2,400	13,175	1,509,193	16,656	7,118	
STATE ARTMENT KSHEETS ZATION -	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 673,724	975,402	508,593 1,705,602 8,000 37,000	2,259,195	1,374,429		59.62	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 2,360,825	28,800	6,949	1,000	49,500	34,510	70,829	2,400	13,175	1,762,858	15,656	7,118	
	9 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40-					45			v												
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672 6000 ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTNS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS OTHER SALES & SERVICES	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES.	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION	OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES	OTHER SUPPLIES	COMMUNICATIONS	RENTALS	UTILITIES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	

					•					
					ref	>				
LE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 16	3	1995 APPROPRIATION	1,036,245	44,350	54,033	116,194	88,597	51,338	000,09	GF \$ 1,450,757 OT 353,338
JLE 1 (RANKIN 1/90 TJ		•	\$ TO	r.	፲	49	ر وي	GF	ñ.	6F \$ 00T
SCHEDULE 1 (RAN DATE 09/24/90		FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	3,669,405	1.00}	54,033	116,194	88,597	51,338	000,09	\$ 4,083,917 (59.62)
	a	Ä	*	-		.	· •	-		4
	-92	APPROPRIATION	1,034,743	44,177	53,860	116,055	88,597	51,165	60,000	GF \$ 1,448,597 OT 724,100
IENNIUM RECAP	REQ 91-92	, ;	GF \$ 0T	n F	r.	A.		9	r F	GF \$ 0T
STATE OF IGMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NG SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT	P= 3	4,037,086 51.62)	44,177	53,860 1.00)	3.00)	88,597	51,165 1.00)	000,09	\$ 4,450,940 (59.62)
TE OF T OF M S FOR LE - O		E	*	-	J	-	-	_		
STATE DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET MORKSHEETS F RANKING SCHEDULE				<pre>LOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Restore microscopic analysis & description of drill samples from private, municipal, and industrial wells.</pre>	ER RESOURCES Restore soils & sediment studies & investigations, researching the susceptability of groundwater to contamination.	NOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Restore drill sample processing & tracking, field equipment maintenance/repair, and map/publications cataloging.	S MATCH Restore drilling program by providing for continued water quality/quantity investigations and related geological information.	IRONMENTAL GEOLOGY Restore analysis of soils/sediments needed as data base for siting landfills,H.M. storage facilities & locating sand,gravel,etc for constructn projects	LOGICAL SURVEY BUREAU MGMT Provide computer workstation to replace outdated equip. enhancing remote sensing information processing at a lower amrual maint. cost (-20K).	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 \$ 4,609,026 \$ (59,62) OT 975,402
•	91001542G72 6000 ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	K DESCRIPTION	BASE	GEO	HAT	GEO	nson.	EN	GEO	TOTAL
NAN NAN TAN	910 ENE	RANK		0001	0005	0003	9000	9000	9000	

E

9	٢
6	4

						2	9
SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 22.18.08 PAGE 18				1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 45
SCHEDULE (BU DATE 09/24/9				7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			
MOI		TOTAL DEPT R		1	120,034	4,083,917	\$ 4,083,917
STATE OF IGWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM SANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92			120,034	4,450,940	\$ 4,450,940 \$ 4,083,917
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT HET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENN ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		60,034	4,609,026	\$ 4,609,026
BUDG						* † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672 6000	ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES			DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES
di	,						

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		DEPART WORKSH	FLO	GOF IOMA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM	NIUM	S DATE	SCHEDULE (BUD DATE 09/24/90	6 ORGN REC GET COMPARISO TIME 22.18.	
91001542G72 7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.		ANIX		- BUDGET COMPARISON				PAGE 21	
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	-91 -92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93				
APPROPRIATIONS GMF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GMF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GMF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GMF-GMTR MONITORING-DNR	47	M K III OG	358,704 4 76,856 53,963 502,216	\$ 230,332 77,944 54,370 504,418	\$ 230,551 78,029 54,370 504,937	45-		45-	
GF-NATURAL RESOURCES OPERTNS APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	***************************************	66	991,739	4,576,785 5,443,849	4,584,705 	! ! ! !	! ! !		
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS		6,34	6,341,214	6,390,576	6,396,276				
RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL		6,54	6,547,700	6,599,092	6,605,328				کم
TRANSFERS GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES.		2,06	2,069,577				; ; ; ;		St
TOTAL RESOURCES				1 1	1	! !	1		>
FTE POSITIONS		160.75		185.75	185.75	16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			٠
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	47-	\$ 6,53		\$ 7,508,516 210,000	\$ 7,523,495 210,000	49		45	
STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION		in io	51,000 63,000	61,000	61,000				
OFFICE SUPPLIES		4	40,250	41,050					
FACILIII DAINIENANCE SUPPLIE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI		Ä	2,500 12,500	12,500	12,500				
PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES		- ;	6,450	6,450					-
PRINTING & BINDING		n in	54,870	56,370	56,370				
UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS			6,200	6,200					
COMMUNICATIONS RENTALS		.	43,650	43,650 61,200	43,650 61,200				
TIES		Ä	14,145	14,145	14,145				
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES		1,79	1,790,360	3,139,360	3,139,360				
ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY			5,250	5,250	•				\
								0	٦٠
								1)

			-	9
SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 22.18.08 PAGE 22				
SCHEDUL (B DATE 09/24/				
₩1	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	179,000 17,075 522,850 700 500	12,057,920	\$ 12,057,920
F IOWA MANAGEMENT R 1991-1993 BIENN UDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	17,000 17,075 522,850 700 500	12,042,941	\$ 12,042,941
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	165,000 17,225 492,050 700 500	9,609,016	\$ 9,609,016
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542G72 7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES DATA PROCESSING REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

					I	<u>-</u> Gb						
LE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 19	-93	APPROPRIATION	1,501,621 867,887	135,490	423,379	30,215	200,000	600,000	575,000	850,000	145,000	70,000
SCHEDULE 1 (RANKINI DATE 09/24/90 TII	EPT RE 992 -	EXPEND/FTE APPRO	8,834,190 GF \$ 145.75) OT	135,490 GF 3.00)	423,379 GF 9.00)	120,861 GF 3.00)	200,000 GF 6.00)	600,000 GF	575,000 GF 5.00)	850,000 GF 7.00)	145,000 GF 3.00)	70,000 GF 2.00)
		;	264 \$ 064 (146	219 (156 (000	000	000	000	000	000
IIUM	REQ 91-92 - 1992	APPROPRIATION	\$ 1,495,264 867,064	135,146	422,219	30,156	200,000	600,009	575,000	850,000	145,000	70,000
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NG SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT REG FY 1991 - 1	 	8,870,950 GF 145.75) OT	135,146 GF 3.00)	422,219 GF 9.003	120,626 GF 3.00)	200,000 GF 6.00)	600,000 GF 1.00)	575,000 GF 5.00)	850,000 GF 7.00)	145,000 GF 3.00)	70,000 GF 2.00)
	ù	K !	\$.		·				. •	
BUDGET				Program by providing for r use activities.	ogram by providing for dplain construction	supply permit review turnaround evels.	rater supply contaminant Parameters regulated and six-fold since FY90.	ind of a statewide program consisting of term monitoring wells.	ability to conduct evaluations of Iowa's and rivers.	of an ongoing air , permitting, and	Voleamp of hazardous / existing federal for federal superfund.	on emergency response response plans and
RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 2G72 7000	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV. RANK DESCRIPTION		u Ase	MATER RIGHTS Restore Mater Mithdrawal Program by providing for state supervision of water use activities.	FLOOD PLAIN Restore the Floodplain Program by providing state supervision of floodplain construction activities.	MATER SUPPLY Restore water supply permitime to FY91 levels.	MATER SUPPLY Provide for additional water supply contaminant monitoring/regulation. Parameters regulated an monitored have increase six-fold since FY90.	GROUNDWATER QUALITY PLANNING Provide for implementation of a statewide groundwater monitoring program consisting 615 fixed station long term monitoring we	CONST GRANTS NON-GRANT Enhance the department's a detailed assessments and e surface waters, streams,	AIR QUALITY Provide for implementation of an ongoing quality toxics monitoring, permitting, an inspection program.	3012 HAZARDOUS MASTE SITING Provide for identification/clearup of hazardous waste sites not covered by existing federal listing and not eligible for federal superfund.	EMERGENCY RESPONSE Provide a training program on emergency response techniques to ensure local response plans and procedures are up to date.
NATURAL RESC NATURAL RESC NATURAL RESC 91001542G72	ENVÍRON: RANK (1000	0000	\$ 2000	4 9000	9 5000	9000	0007 A	0008	0000 E

				80
SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 20	1993 ADBOODSTATTON	GF \$ 40,000	14,000	\$ 4,584,705 867,887
SCHEDULE (RA DATE 09/24/90	TOTAL DEPT REG 92-93 FY 1992 - 1993 EXPEND/FTF ADDOCUS	100	14,000 GF	\$ 12,057,920 GF \$ (185.75) 0T
IENNIUM RECAP	TOTAL DEPT REQ 91-92 FY 1991 - 1992 END/FTE APPROPRIATION	GF \$ 40,000	GF 14,000	GF \$ 4,576,785 OT 867,064
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT DGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	\$ 40,000 GF \$ (1.00)	14,000 GF	\$ 12,042,941 (* 185,75)
S DEPARTM BUDGET MORKSHE RANKING SCHE		personnel for ties.	of Mallace Bldg iate current severe am staff additions.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 9,609,016 \$ 160.75 160.75) OT 991,739
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672 7000	OTECTION DIV.	MASTE MANAGEMENT SOLID MASTE Provide additional supervisory personnel fo oversite of solid waste activities.	FIELD/EMR RESP BUREAU MGMT Provide funding for relocation of Mallace Bldg based regional office to alleviate current severe space problems due to new program staff additions.	DEPT REV F \$ 9,609,016 { 160.75} ====================================
NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES 10101542622 2000	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.	0010 MASTE MAN Provid oversi	0011 FIELD/EMR Provid based space	TOTAL
		-	_	

										7	7	6	f	>																i
6 ORGN REC GET COMPARISO TIME 22.18.	PAGE 24				•			11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17 15 16 16 16 16 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	40																				
SCHEDULE (BUD) DATE 09/24/90							1		15 15 15 16 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	47-														,				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
· .		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	15,481,558	193,175			! ! !	335.24	*************	\$ 11,114,742	364,625	509,122	179,993	367,423	379,153	345,612	106,288	146,176	165,340	47,185	222,606	154,066	19,570	38,000	105,250	451,451	190	15,674,733	\$ 15,674,733	
STATE OF ICMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM	- BUDGET COMPAKISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	* 15,445,348	193,175			!!	335.24		\$ 11,078,532	364,625	509,122	179,993	367,423	379,153	345,612	106,288	146,176	165,340	47,185	222,606	154,066	19,570	38,000	105,250	1546164 006	190	15,638,523	\$ 15,638,523	
	ORGANICALIUN - BU	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91		191,884	5,144,307	184,541	\$ 15,438,944	332.24			364,625	509,122	179,993	367,423	379,153	345,612	106,288	126,176	165,340	47,185	222,606	154,066	19,570	38,000	105,250	1646604 000	190	15,438,944	15,438,944 \$	
RATIONS BUDGET			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							•			~										•						5	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	91001542G72 8000 FISH AND WILDLIFE DIVISION		ATIONS RATIONS-DNR	RECEIPTS INTRA STATE RECEIPTS	IKANSFEKS FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES. FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES.	& GAME - NATURAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	RVICES	PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION	OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	DROF & ACTENTIFIC GIDDLIES	1 W	OTHER SUPPLIES	FRINITING & BINDING	COMMUNICATIONS	RENTALS	DEATHER SCHENITERS SERVICES		ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS		EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

			•	3			v		
LE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) /90 TIME 21.53.04	PAGE 23	REQ 92-93 - 1993 APPROPRIATION	\$ 0T 11,502,349	960,087	999,858	919,174	1,000,090	100,000	15,481,558
⊶ 9		S .	\$ TO	10	ъ	10	ь	TO	\$ TO
SCHEDULE 1 (RAN DATE 09/24/90		TOTAL DEPT FY 1992 EXPEND/FTE	11,695,524	960,087	999,858	919,174	1,000,090	100,000	15,674,733
		×	*	-	<u> </u>	_	_	_	\$ 11
E C	o.	REG 91-92 - 1992 APPROPRIATION	11,474,182	957,214	966,996	916,463	998,493	100,000	\$ 0T 15,445,348
SIENNI	A RECA	۳ ı	\$ 10	TO .	- To	10	10	TO	\$ 01
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NG SCHEDIM E. ORGANIZATION	JREANI ZA I IU	TOTAL DEPT FY 1991 EXPEND/FTE	11,667,357	957,214 19.80)	998,996	916,463	998,493	100,000	\$ 15,638,523 (335.24)
STATE OF IOMA MENT OF MANAGETS FOR 1991	3000	û j	\$		~	_		_	
JRCES, DEPARTMENT OF JRCES JRCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET RANKT	91001542672 8000 FISH AND WILDLIFE DIVISION	K DESCRIPTION	BASE	Restore fish & wildlife enforcement, research & operations activities to 80% of fy91 lavel.	Restore Rathbun Hatchery to fy91 level, and restore fish, wildlife, research and operations activities to 90% of fy91 level.	Restore fish, wildlife & enforcement research and operations activities to 95% of fy9l level.	Restore fish, wildlife & enforcement research and operations activities to fy91 level.	MISSISSIPPI RIVER MONITORING Utilize increased federal funds to expand the Mississippi Monitoring program.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 \$ 15,438,944 \$ { 332.24} ====================================
NAT NAT TAN	9100	RANK		0001	0005	5000	0 000	9000	

3	>

							4			
	45				11 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18	28 91 92 92 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93			2 9 7 8 5 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
	45			4	17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 11 11	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	U			
TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ 94,526 682,205	826,049	284,082	\$ 1,110,131	18.75	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	\$ 667,826 60,000 18,500	12,500 62,500 192,655 23,700 4,900 12,450 55,000	1,110,131	\$ 1,110,131
TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	\$ 94,526 681,797 49,145	825,468	283,939	1	18.75	87 87 87 87 87 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8	\$ 667,102 60,000 18,500	12,500 62,500 192,655 23,700 4,900 12,450 55,000	1,109,407	\$ 1,109,407
DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 94,490 369,728 61,514 48,130	573,862	282,784	• •	12.75	†‡ †† †† †† †† †† †† †† †† ††	\$ 476,841 52,000 17,500	11,500 52,500 192,655 23,700 4,400 12,450 13,000	856,646	856,646
	45			45			45			
MASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE-DNR GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DNR GWF-WASTE MGMT AUTHDNR GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL OFFICE SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES OUTSIDE SERVICES DATA PROCESSING REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES
	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 DNR \$ \$94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ 369,728 681,797 682,205 61,514 49,145 49,145	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 DNR \$ 94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ 681,797 682,205 61,514 49,145 49,145 S-DN \$ 573,862 825,468 826,049	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 S-0N \$ 94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ 682,205 61,514 49,145 49,318 61,514 49,145 49,318 673,862 825,784 283,939 284,082	HAZ WASTE-DNR \$ \$ 94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ 5 FY 1992-93 TAUTHORITY HAZ WASTE-DNR \$ \$ 94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ 5 FY 1992-93 TOTAL DEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 FY 1992-	T AUTHORITY DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93 FY	E-DNR \$ \$ 94,490 \$ 94,526 \$ 94,526 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	E-DNR \$ \$94,490 \$94,526 \$94,526 \$\$ R	TTY PEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R	FF 1990-91 FF 1991-92 FF 1992-93 FF 1990-91 FF 1991-92 FF 1992-93 FF 1992-93 FF 1992-93 RA

•				
SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 09/24/90 TIME 21.53.04 PAGE 25	EATION	583,549	242,500	\$ 0T 826,049
ILE 1 (RANKIN 790 TI	REQ 92 - 1993 APPR	\$ 10	ъ	\$ 10
SCHEDU DATE 09/24	TOTAL DEPT REQ 92-93 FY 1992 - 1993 EXPEND/FTE APPROPRI	867,631	242,500	\$ 1,110,131 (18.75)
	Ä	4	-	4
·	Q 91–92 1992 APPROPRIATION	582,968	242,500	\$ 0T 825,468
IENNIUP RECAP	REQ 91-92 - 1992 APPROPR	\$. To	ъ	45 H
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT MORKSHEETS FOR 1991–1993 B NG SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION	TOTAL DEPT REG 91-92 FY 1991 - 1992 EXPEND/FTE APPROPRI	866,907	242,500	\$ 1,109,407 (18,75)
STATE OF IOWA MENT OF MANAG(EETS FOR 1991. EDULE - ORGAN:	ŵ	. 45	_	*
BUDGET RANKI			TE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID MASTE Provide additional technical educational and promotional capabilities associated with implementation of recycling programs.	DEPT REV FY 1990-91 856,646 \$ 12.75) OT 573,862
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 91001542672 9000	THORITY	,	WASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID WASTE Provide additional technical educatio promotional capabilities associated w implementation of recycling programs.	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPE	MASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY RANK DESCRIPTION	BASE	MASTE MGMT. Provide a promotion implement	TOTAL
NATUR NATUR 910019	HASTE		1000	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES REIMBURSEMENT TO USGS-COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT 91001542673		STATE DEPARTMENT (UDGET HORKSHEETS I BU / FUND -	STATE OF IOHA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	אחזו	SCHEDUL (B DATE 09/07/	SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET CCMPARISCN) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 137
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS			† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9 7 9 7 9 8 9 7 7 9 8 9 7 9 8 9 9 9 9 9
GF-REIMBURSEMENT TO USGS	45	\$ 185,983	185,983	\$ 185,983	U N	40
TOTAL RESCURCES	46	\$ 185,983	185,983	180° 180° 180° 180° 180° 180° 180° 180°		
DISPOSITICM OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	89 81 81 81 81 82 82 82 82 84 84 88 88 88	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	11 11 11 11 11 11		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	45	\$ 185,983	\$ 185,983	\$ 185,983	45	45
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	185,983	\$ 185,983		47-

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GREEN THUMB PROGRAM 91001542674		STATE DEPARTHENT DGET MORKSHEETS BU / FUND -	STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	HUI	SCHEDULE (BU DATE 09/07/9	SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISCN) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 144
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		
RESCURCES APPROPRIATIONS GF-GREEN THUMB PROGRAM TRANSFERS CONS FND - NATURAL RES.	49	\$ 230,500	254,000	\$ 265,000	45	49
TOTAL RESOURCES FTE POSITIONS		251,245		\$ 294,000		
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES STATE AID		\$ 164,733)	18.68 18.68 194,494 99,506		
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL		251,245	2	294,000		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	\$ 251,245	282,000	294,000		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

R

/	N	
	ہ	7

SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) SON SON SON PAGE 159	R TOTAL DEPT R 2 FY 1992-93	\$ \$000,000 \$ 000	\$ 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	•	\$ \$000,00G \$ boo		(r) (r)	tr i en	tr tr	
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANASEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	\$ 500,000	0 \$ 500,000	\$ 500,000			\$ 0	4 h	\$ 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	49 H
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ABANDCHED MELL PLUGGING GRANTS 91001542G83	RESOURCES APPROFRIATIONS	GF-ABANDONEO MELL PLUGGING \$ TOTAL RESQUECES	DISPOSITION OF RESCURCES EXPENDITURES	SIAIE AID		TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$		TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES HOUSEHOLD TOXIC CLEANUP/COLLECTION SITES 91001542G82		STATE DEPARTMENT OGET MORKSHEETS BU / FUND	STATE OF ICMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENMIUM BU / FUND BUDGET COMPARISON	HILM	SCHEDULE (BUD DATE 09/07/90	SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23,48.14 PAGE 153
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		
RESGURCES APPROPRIATIONS	1				*	
GF-10XIC MASTE CLEANUP	49	45	000,000	\$ 900,000	()	40
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		000,009	000,000		
EXPENDIUMES PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES STATE ALO	44	45	\$ 400,000 500,000	\$ 400,000	45	
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL			000,006	000,006		
IUIAL UISPOSITION OF RESCURCES	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	0 H	900,000	\$ 900,000		

	•	•					
SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUGGT COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 163			n 4	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	45		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
SCHEDULE (BU DATE 09/07/				11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	45		† 111 † 111 † 111 † 111 † 111 † 111 † 111 † 111 † 111
HON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	90000	000,000,000,000	97 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	\$ 2,000,000	6,000,000	000,000,9 \$
STATE OF IGWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	000.000.9	\$ 6,000,000	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	\$ 2,000,000	6,000,000	000,000,9 \$
TATE OF ENT OF ETS FOR	FY -91	!	0	## ## ## ##		!	0
S DEPARTY BUDGET HORKSHE BU / FUN	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	40-	45	17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19	44		
		45	45	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	45	*	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MASTE VOLUME REGUCTION & RECYCLING		RESQURCES APPROPRIATIONS GF-MASTE REDUCTION & RECYCLI	TOTAL RESGURCES	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS STATE AID	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

			•
		•	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FISH & GAME TRUST FUND SUPPLEMENT-GF 91001542G85		STATE OF ICHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT JOET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPAI	STATE OF ICHA MENT OF MANAGE EGTS FOR 1991- NO - BUDGET O	STATE OF ICHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	ונתא	SCHEDUL 18 DATE 09/07/	SCHEOULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23,48,14 PAGE 167
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	>- r-d	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93		
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS		† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	!	***	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		9
GF-FISH & GAME TRUST SUPPORT	₩	45	47	2,700,000	45	40	u
TOTAL RESOURCES	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	45	1 40	2.700.000			† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	97 29 21 27 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	91 92 92 94 97 97 98 99 99 99	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #) H) H) H) H) H) H H H H	97 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	07 01 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	49	45-	44	2,700,000	t y	44	v o
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	45	4	· ·	2,700,000	\$		
	97 97 98 97 97 97 97 97 97	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11	11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11:		•	•

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FISH & GAME TRUST NON-SF546 EXPENDITURES 91233542615		STATE DEPARTMENT O	STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	Hor	SCHEDUL (B DATE 09/13/	SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISCN) DATE 09/18/90 TIME 21.00.52 PAGE 6	•
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93			
RESCURCES APPROPRIATIONS		1	***************************************		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	v.
NUN-SF 564 F2G EXPENDITURES	\$	\$ 1,898,200	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000	45	4/1	
TOTAL RESOURCES	45	\$ 1,893,200	000 000		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	19 11 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1 19 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	77 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	13 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS OTHER EXPENSE & CBLIGATIONS STATE AID	6	1,898,200	\$ 100,000 200,000 600,000	100,000		•	7
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	*	1,898,200	900,000	000,000	***************************************		7
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	\$	1,898,200	\$ 900,000	000,006		40	>

SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 201			7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	45		v	***************************************	
S	DATE		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	45	45 H	44	!	
<u> </u>		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	# 3	\$ 3,238,200	3,238,200	\$ 238,200	3,238,200	\$ 3,238,200
STATE OF ICHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGENENT JOGET HORKSHEETS FGR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON		TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	•	\$ 3,238,200	\$ 3,238,200 mmemmemmemmemmemmemmemmemmemmemmemmemme	\$ 238,200	3,238,200	3,238,200
		DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91		40	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ಹ		9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		, i	17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	45		
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES OIL OVERCHARGE (EXXON) REQUEST-FY92	918495422HI	0.00	APPROPRIATIONS OGC-EXXON DIL OVERCHARGE	TOTAL RESOURCES	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	IOIAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

						_	士
SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 213				49-			
SCHEDUI (6 DATE 09/07/				45			
IUM	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	1,690,000	3 1,690,000	\$ 300,000	1,690,000	\$ 1,690,000	
STATE CF ICHA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT HORKSHEETS FOR 1991–1993 BIENNIUM 3U / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	\$ 3,935,000	3,935,000	\$ 200,000	3,935,000	\$ 3,935,000	
STATE (DEPARTHENT OF BUGGET HORKSHEETS FC BU / FUND - E	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	45		•			
		4p. 1		43-	***************************************	## ## ### ############################	
NATURAL RESGURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESGURCES OIL OVERCHARGE (STRIPPER HELL) REG-FY92 918905422H2		MESUCHLES APPROPRIATIONS COC-STRIPPER WELL FUNDS	TOTAL RESOURCES DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	IOIAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

								_							
ULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISCN) 2/90 TIME 23.43.01 PAGE 16					† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	45-		*********				***************************************		91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91
SCHEDULE 6 (BUDGE DATE 09/12/90		40				## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	44		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					45	79 73 77 77 77 78 77 77 77 78 78 78 78
NICA		45			4	77 77 77 71 71 71 12 72 77 14 17 24 24	U r	; ; ; ; ; ;						\$	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
STATE OF ICHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM W / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISCN	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	45-	750,000	25,000,000 5,000,000	\$ 30,750,000	17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	\$ 360,000 59,500 13,599,665	10,271,635	24,290,800	1,500,000	258,000		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8	\$ 30,750,000	83 37 37 39 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49
STATE OFPARTMENT OF BUDGET HORKSHEETS FE	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	45	750,000	25,000,000 5,000,000	\$.30,750,000	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	\$ 360,000 59,500 13,599,665	6001/201	24,290,300	1,500,000	258,000			\$ 30,750,000	t
	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 7,679,276	750,000	25,000,000		1) 14 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	\$ 714,326 10,000 15,294,685 3,279,165		19,298,176	1,000,000 4,201,200 972,500	253,000 583,500	5,445,900	7,115,900	\$ 33,429,276	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES RESOURCE ENHANCEMENT & PROTECTION FUND 91147542		RESOURCES BALANCE BROUGHT FORMARD RECEIPTS	INTEREST TRANSFERS	CLEAN FUND - NATURAL RES. GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES.	TOTAL RESOURCES	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES OTHER EXPENSE & CBLIGATIONS STATE AIO CAPITALS	EXPENDITURES SUBJUTAL	コズーフ・ウラウ フュン・フ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	555	CUTS AUMIN - NATURAL RES. LIVING ROAD - TRANS. DEPT. STATE APPROPRIATIONS	REAP-OPEN SPACES ACCT CAPS REAP-LAND MGMT CAPITALS	STATE APPROP SUBTOTAL	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

h	
`	子

						4	<u>ک</u>	5						•									
SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 236		40			45		***************************************									,							******************
		- 4				1 49-		!															1
	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	2,380,650	460,000 821,716 10,910,000	12,191,716	14,572,366	129,60 681,79 7,014,37	7,825,775		1,700,000	235,171	500,275	125 729	100,524	2,000	9,000	in.	61,1%		70/600064	8,000	57,434	2,431,078	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
BIENNIUM XISON	e e		900		45-11	40																!	!
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIEN	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	2,429,722	2,130,000 571,500 9,910,000	12,611,500	15,041,222	1,192,527 681,797 6,014,404	7,888,728	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1,700,000	592,592	513,167	125,644	100,524	2,000	6,000		61,195	1.229.610		8,000	57,282	2,800,014	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †
TE OF T OF M S FOR - BUD	>- +4	342 \$	200	585	1 45 H	4, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6,	95	:	30	33	70	2 VD	31	5 5	2 5	2 2	5	D	•	0 -	1 2	62	
	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	7,638,042	2,700,000 571,285 8,660,000	11,931,285	19,569,327	2,279,342 681,799 7,641,969 144,485	10,747,595		1,700,000	690,938	10/476/	124,556	100,481	2,000	000.000	900,000	60,715	1,013,521	61,514	8,000	56,082	4,420,179	
BUDGET		 	!		45 II	4	!	!															
	; ; ;				11 17 17 18 11 11 11 11 11		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *																
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GROUNDHATER PROTECTION FUND 91342542	•	SS BROUGHT FORMARD IS	INTRA STATE RECEIPTS INTEREST FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES \$	EXPENDITION OF RESCURCES EXPENDITURES PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS STATE A10 CAPITALS	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TRANSFERS	AG ENERGY - SOIL CONS. AG MGMT ACCT - SOIL CONS. STATE APPROPRIATIONS	GMF-BIG SPRINGS MCNITORING-D GMF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR	GWF-REP. POISONINGS-DPH	GMF-STGRAGE TANKS STUCY-DAR	GAF-REP. POTSONINGS-DOU	GMF-REP. POISONINGS-OPH	GMF-HEALTH CENTER-REGENTS	GMF-LEOPOLD CENTER-REGENTS	GMF-MELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GMF-MELL TESTING THI - DECENTS	GMF-GWTR MCNITORING-DNR	CHE-MASIE MGMI AUTH DNR	GHF-SMALL BUS CTR-REGENTS	GMF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN	STATE APPROP SUBTOTAL	

X	•
•	X

7
ン
X

スト

									\\	3												**		•
ULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISCN) 8/90 TIME 21.00.52 PAGE 15									1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		45							1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		77 87 87 87 87 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89
SCHEDULE (BUD) DATE 09/18/90		45	a						***************************************	***************************************		9 2 9 2 9 2 9 9 2 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		4 p		-	ン ・	-			; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9
₩IN.	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ -1,742,073	4,410,000	16,911,710	25,000	18,000	1,200	182,600 295,200	22,152,370	000.031	100,000		\$ 21,610,297	4	Un-				1,871,000	18,150,719	20,921,719	638,578	\$ 21,610,297	92 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 91
E OF IOMA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM - BUDGET CCMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	45	4,410,000	12,911,710	25,000	175,200	1,200	295,200	18,180,331	150,000	100,000		\$ 19,380,381 ====================================	4	•				2,114,000	18,108,454 900,000	21,122,454	-1,742,073	19,380,331	***
STATE OF PARTHENT OF BUGGET HORKSHEETS FOR BU / FUND - E	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 2,965,000	4,512,000 193,636	12,911,710 84,060	25,000	175,200	1,200	295,200	18,398,006	150,000	150,000	200 227 26 \$	17 17 18	40		5,144,307	4,710,374	5,207,838 184,541	1,764,900	1,893,200	21,797,266	865,734	\$ 22,663,006	
O.		U y										45	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	44									# ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## #	
NATURAL RESCURCES, DEPARTMENT NATURAL RESCURCES FISH AND MILDLIFE TRUST FUND 91233542			INTEREST FFFS, ITCHASE & DEDUTED	CASE!	AGRICULTURAL SALES	OTHER SALES & SERVICES UNEASHED RECEIDING	INCOME TAX CHECKOFFS	X July Co	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TRAMSFERS MARINE FUEL - REVENUE SMOSILE REG - NATHONI DES	- NATURAL	TOTAL RESCURCES	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	EXPENDITURES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	STATE APPROPRIATIONS FAG-DNA ADMIN EXPENSES	F2G-ersugates procedur BUR	F3G-WILDLIFE BUREAU	F3G-DIVISION MANAGEMENT	F4G-OPERATIONS-DNR	NGN-SF 564 F&G EXPENDITURES	STATE APPROP SUBTOTAL	BALANCE CARRIED FORMARD	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESCURCES	•

AP 11/1) 106 63		•								9	<u> </u>							
BU/F REC T COMPARISO TIME 23.53.			4	•						***************************************	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	4				999999999999999999999999999999999999999	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	*********
SCHEDULE 6 (BUDGE DATE: 09/10/30			45						***************************************		## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	49				*****	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
NICH	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1,992-93		13,000	10,000	1,288,255	86,000	953,000	5,000	2,367,555	111 777 6 4		• •	29.000	1,574,655	13,000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 2,367,655 #===================================	
STATE OF IOMA MENT OF MANAGEMENT EETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM NO - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	\$ 13,000	10,000	1,287,255	86,000	2.400	5,000	2,366,655	\$ 2,366,655		4	28,000	3,574,655	13,000		#	
ATE NT ST	-91		13,000	10,000 5,000	1,280,000	86,000	2,400	5,000	2,346,400	2,346,400	11 11 11 11	8	20,745	574,655 751,000	-5	000) II	
STATE DEPARTMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS BU / FUND -	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91		*		1,28	10 7			!	\$ 2,34	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		20	1,574,655 751,000		2.202		
		† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †									22 29 20 20 21 22 22 24 25 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28						91 17 17 17 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES LAND AND MATER CONSERVATION FUND 91002542		RESOURCES RECEIPTS	FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS * REFUNDS & REINBURSEMENTS	SALE OF EQUIPMENT & SALVAGE	AGRICULTURAL SALES	OTHER SALES & SERVICES	UNEARMED RECEIPTS		RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS \$ TRANSFERS	GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. GENERAL FUND - NATURAL DES	- NATURAL	BALANCE CARRIED FORMARD	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$		

SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUGGET COMPARISCN) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 235		45			~	•		
SCHE DATE 09/		45	***************************************			45	٠	*
וניא	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ 55,000	335,000	258,000	\$ 593,000	45	593,000	\$ 593,000
STATE OF IC:4A DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENRIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET CCHPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	\$ 55,000 50,000 230,000	335,000	258,000	593,000	45	593,000	593,000
STATE OF MENT OF REETS FO	V FY 0-91	55,000 50,000 230,000	335,000	258,000	593,000	Ħ	593,000	593,000
STA DEPARTHEN JOGET HORKSHEET BU / FUND	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	47	3	5	公	&	ę,	\$ 250 mm = 550 mm = 5
		45				4 >		4 H
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION FUND 91258542		RESGURCES RECEIPTS INTRA STATE RECEIPTS RENTS & LEASES OTHER SALES & SERVICES	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	REAP FUND - NATURAL RES.	IDIAL RESOURCES DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITUBES	INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS TRANSFERS	GENERAL FUND - NATURAL RES. BALANCE CARRIEO FORMARO	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

M

D
4

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON DEPT REV FY TOTAL GEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92
1,573,689
330,142
432,642
2,006,331
678,000
\$ 2,006,331

RECAP RAISON) 48.14 : 233				† 11 † 11 † 11 † 11 † 11					×
ULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 7/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 233		45		1 11	45	† † † †		\$	
SCHEDULE 6 (BUDGE DATE 09/07/90		44		1	47	***************************************		4	
HO.H.	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	\$ -560,200	1,500,000	\$ 939,800	\$ 200 45,000 45,000 20,000	110,200	950,000	939,800	
STATE OF ICHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM W / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	45	500,000	500,000	\$ 200 45,000 45,000 20,000	110,200	950,000	500,000	
STATE OF ICMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPA	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 1,000,430	1,500,000	2,500,430	\$ 200 45,000 45,000 20,000	110,290	1,000,000	2,500,430	<u>.</u>
		U	***************************************		4n-			17 17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES BOAT REGISTRATION FEES 91254542		RESOURCES BALANCE BROUGHT FORMARD RECEIPTS FEES, LICENSES & DESWITS		IOTAL RESOURCES DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE PRINTING & BINDING DATA PROCESSING	· EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TRANSFERS FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES. BALANCE CARRIED FORWARD	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

\bigcirc		
7	7	\supset

;

		-		. *		25	
SCHEDULE 6 BU/F RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 09/07/90 TIME 23.48.14 PAGE 234		49-		45			45
זורא	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1992-93	-80,400	419,600	\$ 200 11,000 2,500 13,000 300	50,000	100,000	419,600
STATE OF ICHA PARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT DRKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISCN	TOTAL DEPT R FY 1991-92	4	\$ 140,000	\$ 11,000 2,500 13,000 300 300	50,000	100,000	\$ 140,000
STATE OF ICMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPAI	DEPT REV FY FY 1990-91	\$ 193,204	\$ 693,204	11,000 11,000 2,500 13,000 300 40,000	50,000	150,000	693,204
		49-		₩.			# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESCURCES SNOWMCBILE REGISTRATION FEES 91255542		RESOURCES BALANCE BROUGHT FORMARD RECEIPTS FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS	TOTAL RESOURCES DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING OUTSIDE SERVICES DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	SIAIE AID CAPITALS EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	TRANSFERS FISH & GAME - NATURAL RES. BALANCE CARRIED FORMARD	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

15	•

DEPARTMENT OF ICMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SET MORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTAL DEPT R FY 1950-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1992-93 FY 1950-93 \$ 950,000 \$ 1,000,000	E OF ICMA OF MANAGEHENT FCR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM - BUDGET COMPARISON TOTAL DEPT R TOTA FY 1991-92 FY
FY	DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FCR 1991-1993 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R TOTA FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY S GEO OOG &
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SET HORKSHEETS FOR 1991-1993 BIENR BU / FUND - BUDGET COMPARISON DEPT REV FY TOTAL DEPT R FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	
STATE OF DEPAIRMIN OF SET HORKSHEETS FC BU / FUND - B BU / FUND - B FY 1950-91	

Mr. Kuhn gave a brief explanation of recent adjustments made relative to priority for air quality and water supply. Funding requests for the toxic waste and recycling programs were incorporated.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked if the rise in fuel cost has been taken into account for state vehicles.

Mr. Kuhn responded that it has not been considered as the budget was submitted prior to the rise. It is hoped the legislature and the Governor will take it into consideration and make recommendations for same.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve the FY 92/93 Budget Request. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

Stan Kuhn, Division Administrator, Administrative Services Division, presented the following item.

The Division Financial Status report, as of the end of September, will be forwarded to the Commission when it becomes available.

On this report, the Year-To-Date budget is simply 25% of the total annual budget as passed by the General Assembly. No allowances have been made in this budget for the spending reduction. Thus, the YTD budget tends to slightly overstate the amount of funds available. Staff will be pleased to provide additional information as requested.

(Report shown on the following 4 pages)

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DATE:

October 2, 1990

TO:

Director

FROM:

Stan Kuhn

SUBJECT:

YTD Division Financial Status Report, End of Septem-

ber

Attached is the Year-To-Date (YTD) division level financial status report as of September 30, 1990.

In this report, the YTD expenditures are compared to a YTD budget amount for each budget class. As explained previously, the YTD budget amount is simply 3/12th's (25%) of the annual budget.

While this report is useful, it must be used with caution. The above method of calculating the YTD budget results in a report which shows the DNR as being more "under" the budget than is really the case in most instances. On the other hand, Parks salaries appears to be considerably over budget. This is due to a high level of seasonal salaries in July and August which the above budget calculation does not take into account.

This report illustrates why it is highly desirable to prepare a monthly budget for each operational unit that is based on what managers actually expect to spend as of a particular date. We hope to have that process set up on a personal computer by the end of September.

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90 PAGE J080C103 OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN CURRENT TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90 TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN ANNUAL BUDGET 1000 DIRECTOR"S OFFICE 101 PERSONAL SERVICES 202 PERSONAL TRAVEL 301 OFFICE SUPPLIES 308 OTHER SUPPLIES 309 PRINTING & BINDING 269,374.00 40,000.00 2,000.00 1,200.00 28,011.45 3,409.17 16.40 3.19 977.55 61,914.59 6,237.58 107.40 67,343.00 10,000.00 500.00 300.00 5,428.41-3,762.42-392.60-296.81-500.00 14,000.00 410 DATA PROCESSING 441.90 441.90 1,200.00 331,374.00 DIVISION TOTAL 32,859.66 70,911.06 82,843.00 11,931.94-IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90 PAGE 2 J080C103 OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN CURRENT TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90 TOTAL EXPENDITURES YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN IAUNNA BUDGET FY-TO-DATE 2000 COORDINATION AND INFORMATION
101 PERSONAL SERVICES
202 PERSONAL TRAVEL
203 STATE VEHICLE OPERATION
204 STATE VEHICLE DEPRECIATIO
301 OFFICE SUPPLIES
302 FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPP
303 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPP
307 AG, CONSERVATION & HORT S
308 OTHER SUPPLIES
309 PRINTING & BINDING
312 UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS
401 COMMUNICATIONS
402 RENTALS 1,563,693.00 55,600.00 11,306.00 77,200.00 77,500.00 24,000.00 500.00 37,100.00 361,500.00 250.00 390,919.00 13,900.00 2,825.00 4,300.00 19,375.00 6,000.00 3,000.00 9,275.00 90,375.00 90,375.00 90,375.00 348, 129.50 4, 103.38 1,029.02 2,490.00 21,869.51 6,786.30 1,987.76 295.52 7,547.40 76,431.08 29.76 107,914.52 3,590.48 543.22 1,245.00 20,647.11 2,101.03 759.02 0.00 42,789.50-9,796.62-1,795.98-1,810.00-1,810.00-2,494.51 786.30 1,012.24-170.52 1,727.60-13,943.92-3,340.84 26,183.85 29.76 32.24-594.57-125.34 250.00 12,000.00 850.00 29,750.00 88,800.00 83,250.00 25,152.00 29.76 2,405.43 337.34 3,382.82 10,037.96 6,659.48 2,675.22 2,454.71 1,024.22 0.00 122.92 401 COMMONICATIONS
402 RENTALS
403 UTILITIES
405 PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICE
406 OUTSIDE SERVICES
410 DATA PROCESSING
501 EQUIPMENT 212.00 7,437.00 4,054.18-12,162.04-14,152.52-0.00 2,429.56 2,675.22 22,200.00 20,812.00 6,288.00 9,462.00 37,850.00 104.13

J	vo	v	ıv	J

DIVISION TOTAL

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90

498,652.19

172,710.88

609,567.00

2,438,301.00

3

PAGE

110,914.81-

					War and the second of the seco
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURÉS FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
3000 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES D 101 PERSONAL SERVICES 202 PERSONAL TRAVEL 203 STATE VEHICLE OPERATION 204 STATE VEHICLE DEPRECIATION 301 OFFICE SUPPLIES 302 FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUP 303 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUP 303 QUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUP 309 PRINTING & BINDING 312 UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS 401 COMMUNICATIONS 402 RENTALS 406 OUTSIDE SERVICES 410 DATA PROCESSING 414 REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER A 501 EQUIPMENT	311,851.62 4,711.03 3,256.22 0 5,495.00 51,145.86 P 15.05 P 6,105.97 547.17 486.40 0.00 3,444.51 117.00 7,403.34 11,915.25-	940,416.97 8,096.06 8,200.88 10,990.00 70,172.56 53.20 10,230.01 1,041.56 2,211.65 25.83 19,750.24 117.00 14,095.62 15,334.40 385.00 5,519.66	1,023,467.00 14,087.00 15,125.00 18,125.00 86,585.00 425.00 12,500.00 3,872.00 8,593.00 750.00 58,575.00 12,475.00 30,675.00 18,000.00	83,050.03- 5,990.94- 6,924.12- 7,135.00- 16,412.44- 371.80- 2,269.99- 2,830.44- 6,381.35- 724.17- 38,824.76- 8.00- 1,620.62 15,340.60- 277.00- 12,480.34-	4,093,887.00 56,350.00 60,500.00 72,500.00 346,340.00 1,700.00 50,000.00 15,490.00 34,375.00 3,000.00 234,300.00 49,900.00 122,700.00 2,650.00 72,000.00
DIVISION TOTAL	384,996.72	1,106,640.64	1,304,041.00	197,400.36-	5,216,192.00

PAGE

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90

J080C	103
-------	-----

		TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-10-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
10 202 201 30 30 30 30 30 30 31; 40 40 40 41 50	PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION IN PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION A STATE VEHICLE DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES PACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES CHAPTER SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS COMMUNICATIONS RENTALS UTILITIES OUTSIDE SERVICES	5,020.69 5,020.69 15,459.45 18,465.00 6,196.09 42,706.95 28,264.84	1,615,832.18 9,017.32 31,914.59 36,850.00 8,608.08 94,179.92 68,461.26 2,193.88 7,246.68 1,212.40 410.22 15,848.20 6,141.00 74,030.59 36,662.99 19,855.15 3,078.00	1,372,817.00 19,836.00 45,224.00 71,840.00 11,266.00 139,194.00 71,273.00 4,500.00 6,673.00 19,034.00 8,719.00 16,647.00 5,122.00 86,111.00 41,333.00 2,000.00 39,498.00 675.00	243,015.18 10,818.68- 13,309.41- 34,990.00- 2,657.92- 45,014.08- 2,811.74- 2,306.12- 573.68 17,821.60- 8,308.78- 798.80- 1,019.00 12,080.41- 4,670.01- 13,69.01- 19,642.85- 2,403.00	5,491,289.00 79,347.00 180,906.00 287,369.00 45,075.00 556,782.00 285,100.00 26,694.00 76,139.00 34,882.00 66,592.00 20,490.00 344,451.00 165,332.00 8,000.00 158,000.00
	DIVISION TOTAL	677,328.36	2,032,173.45	1,961,762.00	70,411.45	7,847,148.00

J080C103

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90

PAGE

5

		TOTAL EXPENDITURES 19/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
202 PERSONAL 203 STATE VE 204 STATE VE 301 OFFICE S 302 FACILITY 303 EQUIPMEN 307 AG., CONS 308 OTHER SU 309 PRINTIN	SERVICES TRAVEL TRAVEL HICLE OPERATION HICLE DEPRECIATIO SUPPLIES MAINTENANCE SUPP MAINTENANCE SUPP SERVATION & HORT S PPLIES S & BINDING & RELATED ITEMS	135,318.90 1,789.81 4,679.46 9,130.00 712.78 1,808.52 2,482.23 6,354.41 414.44 147.45 0,00 1,761.69 70.00	404,877.34 3,856.89 12,196.11 19,010.00 2,495.73 3,747.88 7,463.31 6,593.16 797.90 326.90 105.09 3,561.55 215.00	464,082.00 11,575.00 18,500.00 31,193.00 11,515.00 8,105.00 13,040.00 20,217.00 2,375.00 2,125.00 1,125.00 6,460.00 4,250.00	59,204.66- 7,718.11- 6,303.89- 12,183.00- 9,019.27- 4,357.12- 5,576.69- 13,623.84- 1,577.10- 1,798.10- 1,019.91- 2,898.45- 4,035.00- 3.896.78-	1,856,348.00 46,305.00 74,000.00 124,781.00 46,060.00 32,420.00 52,160.00 80,868.00 9,500.00 4,500.00 25,840.00 17,000.00
403 UTILITIE	SERVICES SING & PUBLICITY DOESSING IT	1,540.98 1,051.29 0.00 362.91 2,595.34	3,228.22 1,307.61 18.98 362.91 5,527.17	7,125.00 12,875.00 25.00 250.00 24,068.00	11,567.39- 6.02- 112.91 18,540.83-	51,500.00 100.00 1,000.00 96,273.00 2,555,655.00

J080C103

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90

PAGE

6

;		TOTAL EXPENDITURES 0/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
10 20 20 30 30 30 30 40 40 40 40 41 41	1 OFFICE SUPPLIES 2 FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPP 3 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUP B OTHER SUPPLIES 9 PRINTING & BINDING	178,941.27 9,193.71 1,375.85 2,295.00 471.12 12.98 1,331.15 2,104.98 693.05 1,393.14 350.00 941.07 35,487.47 2,170.62 2,243.01 80.00 12,337.61	546, 139.77 17, 027.84 2, 829.31 4, 590.00 4, 338.85 164.87 1, 375.38 4, 070.34 3, 416.75 1, 500.23 700.00 958.79 47, 704.43 3, 531.73 2, 491.76 80.00 12, 798.13	590,196.00 21,775.00 7,200.00 6,825.00 1,199.00 0.00 9,525.00 6,777.00 4,899.00 4,625.00 600.00 3,293.00 33,360.00 3,678.00 2,725.00 6,472.00	44,056.23- 4,747.16- 4,370.69- 2,235.00- 3,139.85 164.87 8,149.62- 2,706.66- 1,482.25- 3,124.77- 100.00 2,334.21- 285,655.57- 146.27- 233.24- 54.00 6,326.13	2,360,825.00 87,100.00 28,800.00 27,302.00 4,800.00 0.00 38,100.00 27,110.00 19,599.00 18,500.00 2,400.00 13,175.00 14,716.00 10,900.00 25,900.00 4,012,772.00
	DIVISION TOTAL	251,422.03	653,718.18	1,003,175.00	349,470.02	4,0.2,112.00

	TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION D 101 PERSONAL SERVICES	452,921.37 5,727.32	1,386,997.98 16.617.81	1,617,689.00 43,625.00	230,691.02- 27,007.19-	6,470,799.00 174,500.00
202 PERSONAL TRAVEL 203 STATE VEHICLE OPERATION 204 STATE VEHICLE DEPRECIATION	2,404.55	5,641.48 9,415.00	12,750.00 15,750.00	7,108.52- 6,335.00-	51,000.00 63,000.00
301 OFFICE SUPPLIES 302 FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPP	2,516.85 116.99	5,570.82 161.64	8,474.00 500.00	2,903.18- 338.36- 1,056.52-	33,900.00 2,000.00 11,000.00
303 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUP 308 OTHER SUPPLIES	1,311.36 1,701.68 21.25	1,693.48 2,693.05 306.60	2,750.00 6,886.00 3,062.00	4,192.95- 2.755.40-	27,550.00 12,250.00
309 PRINTING & BINDING 312 UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS 401 COMMUNICATIONS	85.00 2,809.58	85.00 5,310.65	250.00 9,412.00	165.00- 4,101.35-	1,000.00 37,650.00
402 RENTALŠ 403 UTILITIES	4,312.63 757.63	10,781.59 1,741.53	11,750.00 3,536.00	968.41- 1,794.47- 134.884.37-	47,000.00 14,145.00 554,100.00
405 PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICE 406 OUTSIDE SERVICES	2,407.63 1,856.95 163.78	3,640.63 11,283.57 549.31	138,525.00 11,162.00 1,050.00	121.57 500.69-	44,650.00 4,200.00
408 ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY 410 DATA PROCESSING 501 EQUIPMENT	22,759.86 1,660.55	22,759.86 29,180.59	35,325.00 29,950.00	12,565.14- 769.41-	141,300.00 119,800.00
DIVISION TOTAL	508,139.98	1,514,430.59	1,952,446.00	438,015.41-	7,809,844.00

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90 J080C103

PAGE

		TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
0000	FIGURAND MILIDITEE DIVISION	w to the second of the second				
	FISH AND WILDLIFE DIVISION PERSONAL SERVICES	826,655.26	2,582,536.93	2,730,722.00	148,185.07-	10,922,953.00
	PERSONAL TRAVEL	27.574.56	58,933.76	90,556.00	31,622.24-	362,225.00
	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	33,433.85	64.562.92	127,155.00	62,592.08-	508,622.00
	STATE VEHICLE DEPRECIATIO	53,585.00	106,730.00	158,387.00	51,657.00-	633,550.00
	OFFICE SUPPLIES	43.087.72	51,921,56	44,576.00	7,345.56	178,314.00
	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPP	25.014.76	49,085.13	87,353.00	38,267.87-	349,423.00
	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUP	33,521.87	81,228.49	93,894.00	12,665.51-	375,578.00
	AG., CONSERVATION & HORT S	23,130.08	67,313.16	80,884.00	13,570.84-	323,542.00
	OTHER SUPPLIES	17,330.52	28,505.94	24,055.00	4,450.94	96,234.00
	PRINTING & BINDING	36.657.05	38,418.61	35,468.00	2,950.61	141,876.00
	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	2,635.92	5,283.80	15,411.00	10,127.20-	61,650.00
	COMMUNICATIONS	9,063.56	25,504.78	40,857.00	15,352.22-	163,440.00
	RENTALS	698.99	4,975.99	5,767.00	791.01-	23,075.00
	UTILITIES	16,317.25	34,054.82	54,019.00	19,964.18-	216,076.00
	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICE	40,643.25	46,643.25	42,375.00	4,268.25	169,500.00
	OUTSIDE SERVICES	9,499.87	23,880.36	36,263.00	12,382.64-	145,066.00
410	DATA PROCESSING	15,463.83	15,463.83	9,500.00	5,963.83	38,000.00
	EQUIPMENT	10,610.86	41,718.44	53,629.00	11,910.56-	214,525.00
	OTHER EXPENSES & OBLIGATI	0.00	600.00	150.00	450.00	600.00
701	LICENSES	0.00	25.00	42.00	17.00-	170.00
	DIVISION TOTAL	1,224,924.20	3,327,386.77	3,731,063.00	403,676.23-	14,924,419.00
	DIVISION TOTAL	1,227,727.20	0,02.,000			

J080C103

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES VS. YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN AS OF 09/30/90

					Control of the Artist Control
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES 09/01/90 - 09/30/90	TOTAL EXPENDITURES FY-TO-DATE	YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	OVER/UNDER YEAR-TO-DATE PLAN	CURRENT ANNUAL BUDGET
COOR LIACTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY					
9000 WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY 101 PERSONAL SERVICES	33,907.86	101 756 63	110 200 00	17 666 67	1.76 01.1 00
202 PERSONAL TRAVEL	1.052.65	101,754.43 5.752.86	119,209.00	17,454.57 - 7,247.14-	476,841.00
301 OFFICE SUPPLIES	171.03	2,478.58	13,000.00 4,375.00	1.896.42-	52,000.00 17,500.00
308 OTHER SUPPLIES	16.097.21	16.384.71	1,750,00	14.634.71	7.000.00
309 PRINTING & BINDING	5.986.00	7.923.00	8.875.00	952.00-	35.500.00
405 PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICE 406 OUTSIDE SERVICES	1,184.42	4,368.04 1,659.52	13,750.00	9,381.96 - 1.890.48-	55,000.00
410 DATA PROCESSING	51.00		3,550.00		14,200.00
	594.36	594.36	1,100.00	505.64-	4,400.00
501 EQUIPMENT	2,407.19	8,175.96	3,000.00	5,175.96	12,000.00
DIVISION TOTAL	61,451.72	149,091.46	168,609.00	19,517.54-	674,441.00
				The second form the second property of the second second	电流 医结膜炎 医多甲氏试验检 网络克克氏病

Mr. Kuhn gave an explanation of the report.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

MONTHLY REPORTS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The following monthly reports are enclosed with the agenda for the Commission's information.

- 1. Rulemaking Status Report
- 2. Variance Report
- 3. Hazardous Substance/Emergency Response Report
- 4. Enforcement Status Report
- 5. Contested Case Status Report

Members of the department will be present to expand upon these reports and answer questions.

(Reports are shown on the following 13 pages)

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION RULEMAKING STATUS REPORT October 1, 1990

				·	OUTPUT OF COMPENTS			
PROPOSAL	NOTICE TO		RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE	HEARING	SUMMARY OF COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS TO COMMISSION	RULES ADOPTED	RULES PUBLISHED	RULE EFFECTIVE
1. Ch. 40, 41 & 43 - Water Supply Surface Water Filtration	5/21/90	6/13/90	7/10/90	7/09/90 7/10/90 7/11/90 7/12/90	*11/19/90	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/16/91
2. Ch. 60 & 62 - Definitions, Federal Effluent and Pretreatment Standards	7/16/90	8/08/90	9/ /90	9/04/90	10/15/90	*10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/19/9
3. Ch. 61 - Water Quality Standards - Human Health Criteria	6/18/90	7/11/90	8/14/90	8/01/90 8/02/90 8/07/90	10/15/90	*10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/19/9
4. Ch. 61 - Phase II Water Body Use Designations	8/20/90	9/17/90	*10/ /90	*10/09/90 *10/10/90 *10/11/90	*11/19/90	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/16/91
5. Ch. 63 - Effluent Monitoring Requirements	9/17/90	*10/17/90	*11/ /90	*11/07/90 *11/08/90 *11/13/90 *11/14/90	*12/17/90	*12/17/90	*1/09/91	*2/13/91
6. Ch. 70-75 - Flood Plain Development Permits	10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/ /90	*12/06/90	*1/14/91	*1/14/91	*2/ /91	*3/ /91
 Ch. 102 - Financial Assurance/Closure and Post_Closure 	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/_/91	*1/ /91	*2/ /91	*2/ /91	*3/ . /91	*4/. /9
8. Ch. 109 - Landfill Alternative Grants	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/ /91	*1/ /91	*2/ /91	*2/ /91	*3/ /91	*4/ /9
9. Ch. 121 - Land Application of Sludge	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/ /91	*1/ /91	*2/ /91	*2/ /91	*3/ /91	*4/ /
O. Ch. 133 - Groundwater Cleanup Guidelines	*11/19/90	*12/12/90	*1/ /91	*1/ /91	*2/ /91	*2/ /91	*3/ /91	*4/ /
11. Ch. 135 - UST Technical Standards	10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/ /	*12/04/90 *12/06/90 *12/07/90	*1/14/91	*1/14/91	*2/ /91	*3/ /
2. Ch. 135 - UST Technical Standards (New Subrule 135.7(10))	10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/ /	*12/04/90 *12/06/90 *12/07/90	*1/14/91	*1/14/91	*2/ /91	*3/ /
13. Ch. 136 - Financial Responsibility for Underground Storage Tanks	10/15/90	*11/14/90	*12/ /	*12/04/90 *12/06/90 *12/07/90	*1/14/91	*1/14/91	*2/ /91	*3/ /

^{*}Projected

		MONTHLY	VARIANCE REPORT							
Month: September, 1990										
No.	Facility	Program	Engineer	Subject	Decision	Date				
1.	Iowa Army Ammunition Plant-Des Moines County	Air Quality		Explosives	Denied	09/05/90				
2.	Altanna Estates Subdivision- Johnson County	Wastewater Construction	MMS Consultants	Clarifier Depth	Approved	09/17/90				
3.	Hancock County	Flood Plain	Calhoun-Burns Associates	Freeboard	Approved	09/21/90				
4.	Tri/Mark Corporation	Wastewater Operations	Patti Elliot- Tri/Mark Corp.	Monitoring Frequency	Approved	09/21/90				
5.	City of Breda	Watersupply Construction	Howard R. Green Co.	Construction Materials	Approved	09/17/90				

TOPIC: Report of Hazardous Conditions

During the period September 1, 1990 through September 30, 1990, reports of 70 hazardous conditions were forwarded to the Central Office. Two incidents are highlighted below. A general summary and count by field office is attached. These do not include releases from underground storage tanks, which are reported separately.

Date Reported and County	Description: Material, Amount, Date of Incident, Cause, Location, Impact	Responsible Party	Response and Corrective Actions
09/13/90 Adair	A 28,000 gallon above-ground storage tank was filled with 24,000 gallons of fertilizer on 9/12/90. A valve on the tank began leaking sometime during the night releasing 5,700 gallons of fertilizer. The fertilizer soaked into the soil and flowed into a nearby drainage ditch. Damage to aquatic life was local and minor.	Farmers Coop 601 SW Second St. Greenfield, Iowa 50849	Berms were constructed across the drainage ditch at three locations. Contaminated soil was overexcavated and land applied. The drainage ditch was flushed with water. The flush water was recovered and land applied.
09/23/90 Montgomery	The Red Oak Fire Dept. discovered on 9/23/90 that someone had opened a 55-gallon drum. The drum was located in an alley behind the store and 25 gallons of naptha flowed from the alley into the street.	Barnes Painting 201 Reed Street Red Oak, Iowa 51566	The fire dept. soaked up the material with absorbant. They were instructed to contain the material until the responsible party could arrange for proper disposal of it.

NUMBERS IN PARENTHESES REPRESENT REPORTS FOR THE SAME PERIOD IN FISCAL YEAR 1989

Substance Type

<u>Mode</u>

Month	Total # of Incidents	Petroleum Product	Agri. Chemical	Other Chemicals and Substances	Handling and Storage	Pipeline	Highway Incident	RR Incident	Fire	Other
Sept.	70(50)	40(28)	13(10)	17(12)	41(28)	2(0)	18(15)	1(2)	1(1)	7(4)

Total # of Incidents Per Field Office This Period

REPORTS OF RELEASES FROM UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

During the period of September 1, 1990 through September 30, 1990, the following number of releases from underground storage tanks were identified.

278 (55)

The number in parentheses represents the number of releases during the same period in Fiscal Year 1989.

Enforcement Report Update

The following new enforcement actions were taken last month:

Name, Location and Field Office Number	Program	Alleged Violation	Action	Date
Transis silesing	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Dillavou Oil Company, Inc., Waverly (1)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Jay Oehler d/b/a Oehler Bros. Oil Co, Moravia (5)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Richard Carney d/b/a Carney Oil, Crystal Lake (2)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Howard Hough d/b/a Hough's 66 Service; Tony Bakhit d/b/a Tony's Texaco, Council Bluffs (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Jerry Byerly & Kenny Hilts d/b/a B and H Services, Scranton (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Mark Achenbach d/b/a Mark's Service Station, Rockford (2)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Gailand Knudson d/b/a Knudsen Service Station, Irwin (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Westside General Store Corp., Vail (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Everett Greve, Harlan (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	9/13/90
Winterset, City of (5)	Wastewater	Compliance Schedule	Amended Order	9/13/90
South Oaks Estates, Algona (2)	Drinking Water	Public Notice	Order/Penalty	9/13/90
Des Moines, City of (5)	Wastewater	Operational Violations	Referred to AG	9/18/90
Roger Carnicle d/b/a The New Shack Tavern, Cedar Rapids (1)	Drinking Water	Bacterial MCL	Referred to AG	9/18/90
Great Dane Fertilizer, Inc., Audubon (4)	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to AG	9/18/90
Dwight Brockhouse, Muscatine (6)	Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Referred to AG	9/18/90
Wayne, Ringgold and Decatur Co. SW Mgmt. Commission (5)	Solid Waste	Monitoring/Reporting	Referred to AG	9/18/90
Nora Springs, City of (2)	Wastewater	Construction Contrary to Permit	Order/Penalty	9/24/90
E.J. Rath, Inc. d/b/a Happy Chef, Missouri Valley (4)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting- Bacteria and Nitrate; Public Notice		9/24/90
Cedar Hills Apartments, Dubuque (1)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting- Inorganics	Order/Penalty	9/24/90
Douglas & Lomason Co., Red Oak (4)	Wastewater	Pretreatment	Order/Penalty	9/26/90
Great Plains Construction, Inc., Hardin Co. (2)	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Order/Penalty	9/27/90

Summary of Administrative Penalties

The following administrative penalties are due:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT	DUE DATE
Grand Vu Mobile Home Park (Tripoli)	WS	200	7-25-90
Ruth Ann Coe (Mason City)	AQ/SW	800	7-30-90
Amoco Oil Company (Des Moines)	UT	1,000	8-15-90
*Gilbert John Fjone (Swaledale)	SW	200	
Gerald G. Pregler (Dubuque Co.)	SW	1,000	9-02-90
Donald R. Null (Clinton Co.)	AQ/SW	1,000	
St. Ansgar, City of	ww	400	
Des Moines, City of	ww	1,000	10-02-90
Bankston Public Water System	ws	200	10-03-90
Vernon Heights Mobile Home Park (Cedar Rapids)	WS	200	10-03-90
Mt. Joy Mobile Home Park (Davenport)	WS	200	10-04-90
Taylor Oil Co., Inc. (Missouri Valley)	WS	215	10-13-90
Maysville Municipal Water Dept.	WS	200	10-17-90
Dexter, City of	WW	1,000	10-28-90
Gillett Grove Water Supply	WS	100	11-04-90
Castana Municipal Water Supply	ws	230	11-07-90
South Oaks Estates (Algona)	WS	100	11-18-90
Vincent Martinez d/b/a Martinez Sewer (Davenport)	HC	1,000	
HVX, Inc. d/b/a 4-Sons Handy Shop (Grimes)	ws	240	
Nora Springs, City of	WW	600	
E.J. Rath, Inc. d/b/a Happy Chef (Missouri Valley)	WS	650	
Cedar Hills Apartments (Dubuque)	WS	700	
Douglas & Lomason Co. (Red Oak)	WW	1,000	
Great Plains Pipeline Construction (Hardin Co.)	WW	400	

The following cases have been referred to the Attorney General:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT	DUE DATE
OK Lounge (Marion)	WS	448	11-01-87
Richard Davis (Albia)	SW	1,000	2-28-88
**Handi-Klasp, Inc. (Webster City)	WW/HC	1,000	8-02-88
McCabe's Supper Club (Burr Oak)	WS	335	12-14-88
Eagle Wrecking Co. (Pottawattamie Co.)	SW	300	5-07-89
*Twelve Mile House (Bernard)	WS	119	5-20-89
*Lawrence Payne (Ottumwa)	SW	425	6-19-89
*Lawrence rayne (occumwa)	SW	250	6-27-89
Stan Moser (Hudson)	SW	200	8-17-89
Richard Kleindolph (Muscatine)	AQ	600	9-01-89
Robert Fisch (Manchester)	SW	1,000	10-01-89
William L. Bown (Marshalltown)	SW	600	1-14-90
Darlo Schaap (Sioux Center)	AQ/SW	460	2-12-90
Wellendorf Trust (Algona)	SW	1,000	3-05-90
Donald P. Ervin (Ft. Dodge)	ws	200	12-26-89
East Side Acres (Moville)		600	4-01-90
East Side Acres (Moville)	WS		6-18-90
Craig Natvig (Cerro Gordo Co.)	SW	750	0-19-30

^{**} Independent Attorney General Action

^{*}On Payment Schedule

The following administrative penalties have been appealed:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT
AMOCO Oil Co. (Des Moines)	UT	1,000
Iowa City Regency MHP	WW	1,000
Thomas E. Lennon (Barnum)	FP	700
Great Rivers Coop (Atavia)	HC	1,000
1st Iowa State Bank (Albia)	SW	1,000
Cloyd Foland (Decatur)	FP	800
City of Marcus	WS	1,000
Superior-Ideal, Inc. (Oskaloosa)	WW	1,000
IBP, inc. (Columbus Junction)	ww	600
King's Terrace Mobile Home Court (Ames)	ww	1,000
King's Terrace Mobile Home Court (Ames)	WS	315
Premium Standard Farms, Inc. (Boone Co.)	WW/AQ	700
Amoco Oil Co. (West Des Moines)	UT	1,000
Circle Hill Farms, Ltd. (Ellsworth)	SW	600
Cozy Cafe (Lucas)	WS	500
Stone City Iron & Metal Co. (Anamosa)	AQ	1,000
Manson Water Supply	WS	500
Joe Villinger (West Point)	SW	500
Midwest Mining, Inc. (Harrison Co.)	FP	800
Holiday Lake Water System Ltd. (Brooklyn)	WS	700
Rasch Construction, Inc. (Ft. Dodge)	AQ	1,000
Gerald Reimer (Clayton County)	SW	600
Louisa Courts (Muscatine)	WS .	400
Orchard, City of	WW	1,000
Harcourt Water Supply	WS	500
Sioux City, City of	WW	1,000
Donald Ray Maasdam (Pocahontas Co.)	SW	1,000
Vern Starling (Boone Co.)	sw	1,000
Des Moines, City of	HC	1,000
Carl A. Burkhart d/b/a American Wrecking Co.	AQ/SW	1,000
Van Dusen Airport Services (Des Moines)	HC	1,000
Troy Mills Dam Assn. (Troy Mills)	FP	300
Maple Crest Motel and MHP (Mason City)	WS	350
Geneva Grain & Lumber, Inc. (Franklin Co.)	ww/sw	1,000
Plymouth County Solid Waste Agency	SW	1,000
Trash Reduction Systems, Inc. (Polk Co.)	SW	1,000
Oak Manor Mobile Home Court (Ottumwa)	WS	200

The following administrative penalties were paid last month:

NAME/LOCATION	PRÓGRAM	AMOUNT
Winter Mobile Home Park (New Hampton)	Ws	200
Knapp Mobile Home Court No. 4 (Dubuque)	WS	260
Meadow Gold Dairies (Des Moines)	WW	1,000
Charles and Susan Behr (Algona)	AQ	600
Lytton, City of	WW	300
Blanchard, City of	WS	100
Delaware Municipal Water Supply	WS	100
Richard Kleindolph (Muscatine) (Partial Pymt)	SW	300
*Gilbert John Fjone (Swaledale)	SW	50

TOTAL \$2,910

Name, Location and Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
Aidex Corporetion Council Bluffs (4)		Hazardous Waste	Release of Hazardous Substances	Referred to Attorney General	Referred EPA suit filed State intervention Motion to dismiss granted/denied Filed interlocutory appeal Decision in favor of govt. Case Management Hearing	12/16/82 2/26/87 3/05/87 2/26/88 3/11/88 4/04/89 8/08/90
Amoco Oil Company Des Moines/Ft. Madison (5&6)		Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/21/90
William L. Bown Marshalltown (5)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed Default Judgment	11/20/89 3/03/99 7/27/99
Bozarth and Bell, Inc. Davenport (6)	Updated	Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order	Referred Default Judgment \$7500 Second Lawsuit Filed Consent Decree Filed New Case Hearing Set Stipulation Filed (\$12,000) Permanent Injunction	2/20/8: 6/22/8: 8/07/8: 8/23/8: 11/01/8: 8/16/9: 9/07/9:
Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. Des Moines (5)		Wastewater Hazardous Condition	Prohibited Discharge Failure to Notify	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	5/21/9
Brockhouse, Dwight Muscatine (6)	New	Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	9/18/9
Carnicle, Roger d/b/a The New New Shack Tavern Cedar Rapids (1)	New	Drinking Water	MCL - Bacteria	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	9/18/9
Carolan, Don and Hanson Tire Service, Cresco (1)	-	Solid Waste Air Quality	Illegal Disposal Open Burning	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	2/20/9
Clear Lake Sanitary District (2)	*	Wastewater	Compliance Schedule	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Petition Filed	4/16/9 7/30/9
Cerro Gordo County Area Landfill Agency (2)	Updated	Solid Waste	Cover Violations	Referred to	Referred Petition Filed Answer Filed	4/16/9 6/25/9 8/13/9
Chalfant, Milo, et.al. Webster City (2)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty	Referred Suit Filed	9/20/8 8/08/9
Clinton Pallet Co. Clinton (6)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Suit Filed Default Judgment	6/21/8 11/09/8 4/ /9
Cooper, Kenneth/Hunter Oil Minburn (5)		Storage Tank	Spill Cleanup	Order	Cooper Referred Hunter Referred Site Assessment DNR Review Remediation Plan	8/17/8 2/01/9 4/20/9 8/22/9
Davis, Richard & Sonja (5)		Solid Waste	Open Unpermitted Dumping	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Suit Filed Default Judgement Filed Motion to Deny Default Motion Overruled	6/22/8 8/11/8 4/21/8 6/14/8 10/04/8
Jimmy Dean Meat Co., Inc. (5)		Wastewater	Pretreatment	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	4/16/9
Denham, Larry Ottumwa (6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/21/9
Des Moines, City of (5)	New	Wastewater	Operation Violations	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	9/18/9

Name, Location nd Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
exter Co., The airfield (6)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge Effluent Limit Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Petition Filed	3/20/90 7/31/90
rewelow, Harvey /b/a Hanson Tires ew Hampton (1)		Air Quality Solid Waste	Open Burning Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	6/19/90
rips, Joseph and Diana s. DNR		Wastewater	Private Sewage Disposal	Defending	Suit Filed	8/06/9
agle Wrecking Co. ottawattamie Co. (4)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty	Referred Bankruptcy Claim Filed	6/21/8 7/24/8
rvin, Don ebster County (2)		Solid Waste	Operation Without Permit	Order/Penalty	Referred Motion for Summary Judgment Hearing Held Judgment for \$1,000	4/16/9 6/02/9 7/02/9 7/13/9
airfield, City of (6)		Wastewater	Monitoring/Reporting Discharge Limitations Operation Violation	Order	Referred Petition Filed	2/20/9 7/31/9
isch, Robert Hanchester (1)		Air Quality	Open Burning	Order/Penalty	Referred Motion for Summary Judgment Judgment for \$600	10/24/8 12/05/8 2/27/9
jone, Gilbert Waledale (2)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penal ty	Referred Payment Schedule	10/24/8 6/ /9
iametta, Dominic /b/a Fred's 66, avenport (6)		Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	12/11/ 7/02/
ireat Dane Ferlizer, Inc. Audubon (4)	New	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	9/18/
olnam Northwestern Cement lason City (2)	:-	Air Quality	Emission Standards	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/21/
numboldt Co. Landfill Commission (2)		Solid Waste	Cover Violations	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	11/20, 8/30,
lowa Dress Club, Inc. Oskaloosa (5)		Wastewater Solid Waste	Prohibited Discharge Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	7/16
Jorgenson, Harris (2)	Updated	Air Quality	Operation Without Permit	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Consent Decree (\$4,000)	4/16 9/25
Kleindolph, Richard Muscatine (6)	Updated	Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed Default Judgment Partial Penalty Paid (\$300)	10/24 4/06 8/13 9/13
Kollbaum, Garry East Side Acres Moville (3)		Drinking Water	MCL-Nitrate	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	5/21 7/02
Lakeshore Drive, Inc. et.al. Osceola (5)		Flood Plain	Reconstruction	Order	Referred Petition Filed Judgment vs. Lakeshore	11/20 2/07 4/09
Larson, Daryl, D.V.M. Audubon (4)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	11/20
Mathern, Larry (Larry's DX) Ralph Beck; Walker Oil Co. (5)		Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Petition Filed	2/20 7/02

Name, Location and Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
Mike McGinnis, Alfred Patten and Dennis Lewis				Referred to	Referred	10/24/89 11/15/89
Pottawattamie Co. (4)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Attorney General	Suit Filed Referred	4/16/90
Mercy Hospital Medical Center Des Moines (5)		Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	кетеггеа	47 167 70
Miller Products Co. (5)		Wastewater	Pretreatment	Order/Penalty	Referred	4/16/90
Monfort, Inc. (5)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	12/11/89
Moser, Stan	Updated	Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Petition Filed Trial Set Court Order Contempt Hearing Hearing Set	7/19/89 9/12/89 3/15/90 1/24/90 8/24/90 10/25/90
Natvig, Craig Mason City (2)		Solid Waste	Operation Without Permit	Order/Penalty	Referred	8/21/90
Osceola, City of (5)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	4/16/90
Pete's Sunoco/ Popejoy Septic West Des Moines		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	6/19/90
Pruess v. IDNR	Updated	Hazardous Condition	DNR Defendant	Abatement Order	Suit Filed Hearing DNR Motion to Dismiss Hearing Amended Petition DNR Motion-to-Dismiss	4/24/90 4/30/90 5/14/90 5/15/90 5/25/90 6/18/90
					Hearing Set Dismissed Appealed to Supreme Court	8/10/90 8/21/90 9/19/90
Root, William/LAWNKEEPERS Mitchell County (2)	-	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	7/16/90
Sani-Wash Corporation Clinton (6)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/23/89
Schaap, Darlo Sioux Center (3)		Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	2/20/90 6/21/90
Schultz, Albert and Iowa Iron Works Ely (1)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Suit Filed	9/20/89 8/08/90
Sevig, Gordon, et.al. Walford (1)		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Criminal Charges Filed	9/20/89 7/15/90
Siouxland Quality Meat Co., Inc. Sioux City (3)		Wastewater	Discharge Limitations	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Petition Filed	2/20/90 7/02/90
Stickle Enterprises, Ltd. et.al., Cedar Rapids (6)		Air Quality	Open Burning	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Suit Filed Trial Set	9/20/89 10/17/89 10/16/90

Name, Location and Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
Swea City Oil Co./Irene Fagerlund, Swea City (2)		Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/21/90
Touchdown Co., et. al., Webster City (2)		Underground Tank	Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Hazardous Condition	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	6/21/89
Wayne, Ringgold and Decatur Co. SW Management Commission (5)	New	Solid Waste	Monitoring/Reporting	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	9/18/90
Wellendorf Trust and Lamont Wellendorf, Algona (2)		Air Quality Solid Waste	Open Burning Illegal Disposal	Order/Penalty	Referred	3/20/90
Witt, John J. Long Grove (6)	-	Solid Waste	Illegal Disposal	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	8/21/90
Wright County Area Landfill Authority (2)		Solid Waste	Cover Violations	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	3/20/90 5/30/90

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
1-23-86	Oelwein Soil Service	Administrative Order	w	Landa	Hearing continued.
12-03-86	Waukee, City of	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Construction completed.
5-12-87	Iowa City Regency MHP	Administrative Order	W	Hansen	Hearing held 11-03-87.
6-11-87	Thomas Lennon	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Appealed to District Court.
8-10-87	Great Rivers Co-op	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Final report approved. Settlement proposed.
1-15-88	First Iowa State Bank	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Awaiting decision.
2-04-88	Beaverdale Heights, Woodsman; Westwood Hills	Administrative Order	ws	Landa	Compliance actions completed.
2-05-88	Warren County Brenton Bank	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Report reviewed. Additional work requested.
3-01-88	Cloyd Foland	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Appealed to Supreme Court.
5-16-88	Marcus, City of	Administrative Order	ws	Landa	Compliance achieved. Settlement proposed.
7-01-88	Superior Ideal, Inc.	Administrative Order	w	Hansen	Mearing continued/settlement discussions.

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
7-25-88	Hishna Sanitary Services, Inc.	Permit Conditions	2ñ	Landa	Compliance initiated/plans submitted/reviewed.
8-03-88	Hardin County	Permit Conditions	SW	Landa	Compliance initiated/plans submitted/reviewed.
10-03-88	IBP, Columbus Junction	Administrative Order	w	Clark	Final decision 9/17/90.
10-20-88	Worth Co. Co-Op Oil Northwood Cooperative Elevator Sunray Refining and Marketing Co.	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Compliance initiated. Assessment report submitted.
12-02-88	Davis Co. Board of Supervisors	Administrative Order	PA	Landa	Hearing continued.
1-25-89	Amoco Oil Co Des Moines	Administrative Order	υT	Landa	Settlement proposed. Clean-up progressing.
2-10-89	Northwestern States Portland Cement Company	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Settlement proposed.
2-10-89	Baier/Mansheim/Moyer	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing continued. Settlement proposed.
2-13-89	King's Terrace Mobile Home Court	Administrative Order	W	Murphy	Hearing rescheduled for 12/20/90.
2-13-89	King's Terrace Mobile Home Court	Administrative Order	ws	Murphy	Hearing rescheduled for 12/20/90.
2-16-89	John Deere Co Dubuque	Site Registry	HV	Landa	Proposed decision 8/30/90.
2-16-89	Premium Standard Farms	Administrative Order	WW/AQ	Murphy	Hearing continued.
3-14-89	Dannie R. Hoover and Bill Edwards	Flood Plain Permit Issuance	FP	Clark	Remand hearing 7/17&20/90.
5-01-89	Amoco Oil Co West Des Moines	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Compliance initiated.
6-08-89	Shaver Road Investments	Site Registry	HU	Landa	Hearing continued. Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	Hawkeye Rubber Mfg. Co.	Site Registry	HU	Landa	Hearing continued. Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	Lehigh Portland Cement Co.	Site Registry	ны	Landa	Hearing continued. Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	Jay Winders	Permit Denial	FP	Clark	Settlement proposed.
6-12-89	Amana	Site Registry	нс	Landa	Negotiating before filing.
6-19-89	Grand Mound, City of	Administrative Order	w	Hansen	Order to be amended.
6-22-89	Chicago & Morthwesten Transportation Co. Hawkeye Land Co. Blue Chip Enterprises	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing held. Briefs filed. Reply briefs filed.

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
7-11-89	Circle Hill Farms, Ltd.	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Settlement pending.
7-26-89	Cozy Cafe	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Const. permit applic. under review by WS.
7-26-89	Midland Brick	Administrative Order	PA	Landa	Compliance initiated.
9-01-89	Stone City Iron & Metal	Administrative Order Permit Denial	AQ	Kennedy	Temporary permit issued 5/31/90.
10-12-89	Electro-Coatings, Inc.	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Settlement proposed.
10-24-89	Farmers Cooperative Elevator Association of Sheldon	Site Registry	нс	Landa	Negotiation proceeding.
10-24-89	Consumers Cooperative Assoc.	Site Registry	нс	Landa	Negotiation proceeding.
11-03-89	Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.	Site Registry	нс	Landa	Hearing continued pending negotiations.
11-17-89	Aten Services, Inc.	Administrative Order	SW/UT	Landa	Compliance initiated.
12-11-89	Leo Schachtner	Permit Issuance	FP	Clark	Hearing continued.
12-21-89	Robert Coppinger and Velma Nehman	Flood Plain Permit Denial	FP	Clark	Proposed decision 5/17/90. Appealed.
1-02-90	Midwest Mining, Inc.	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Negotiating before filing.
1-04-90	Joe Villinger	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.
1-08-90	Northwestern States Portland Cement Co.	Permit Amendment	w	Landa	Negotiating before filing.
1-18-90	Midwest Fly Ash and Materials	Permit Variance Denial	SW	Landa	Hearing rescheduled for 10/1/90.
2-07-90	Jerry Jones	401 Denial	w	Murphy	Hearing held; briefs due 10/16/90.
2-13-90	Kenneth M. Rasch d/b/a Rasch Construction, Inc.	Administrative Order	AQ	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
2-15-90	Holiday Lake Water System, Ltd.	Administrative Order	WS	Hansen	Submittal by facility under review by WS.
3-05-90	Gerald Reimer	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.
3-12-90	Louisa Courts	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Proposed decision 7/13/90. Appealed to EPC.
3-20-90	Kaneb Pipeline Co.	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing rescheduled for 12/11/90.
3-22-90	Vern Starling	Administrative Order	sw	Kennedy	Hearing continued until 10/16/90.
3-26-90	Loretta June Novak and Mr. and Mrs. Robert Booth, Jr.	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Decision rendered 9/17/90.
3-27-90	Orchard, City of	Administrative Order	w	Hansen	Negotiating before filing.
4-18-90	Harcourt, City of	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Proposed decision issued 8/30/90.
4-23-90	Sioux City, City of	Administrative Order	w	Hansen	Informal meeting held on 5/18/90.
4-26-90	Donald Ray Maasdam	Administrative Order	SM	Kennedy	Appealed to EPC 10/16/90.
5-07-90	W.G. Block Co./Hoffman Silo Site	Site Registry	ни	Landa	Hearing continued. Negotiating.
5-08-90	Texaco Inc./Chemplex Company Site	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing set for 8/13/90.
5-09-90	Raccoon Valley State Bank	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing continued. Negotiating.
5-09-90	Square D Company	Site Registry	. HA	Landa	Hearing continued. Negotiating.
5-09-90	Joe & Virgina Koester/ Donn & Donna Patience	Water Use Permit	WR	Clark	Hearing rescheduled for 11/20/90.
5-11-90	Carl A. Burkhart	Administrative Order	AQ/SW	Kennedy	Briefs due 10/5/90.
5-14-90	Van Dusen Airport Services	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Compliance initiated.
5-15-90	Des Moines, City of	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing continued. Settlement proposed.
5-18-90	Latimer, City of	Open Burning Variance	e AQ	Landa	Informal procedures initiated.
5-23-90	Solvay Animal Health, Inc.	NPDES Permit Cond.	w	Hansen	Hearing rescheduled for 11/2/90.
6-06-90	Geneva Grain & Lumber, Inc.	Administrative Order	w/su	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.
6-11-90	Troy Mills Dam Assoc.	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Negotiating before filing.

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
6-14-90	Willow Tree Investments, Inc.	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Negotiating before filing.
6-18-90	Sioux City, City of	NPDES Permit Cond.	w	Hansen	Negotiating before filing.
6-18-90	Ames, City of	NPDES Permit Cond.	w	Hansen	Hearing set for 10/23/90.
6-20-90	Des Moines, City of	NPDES Permit Cond.	w	Hansen	Informal meeting set for 8/8/90.
6-26-90	Maple Crest Motel and Mobile Home Park	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Negotiating settlement.
7-02-90	Keokuk Savings Bank and Trust Keokuk Coal Gas Site	Site Registry	ни	Landa	Hearing set for 12/18/90.
7-11-90	Chicago & Northwestern Co.; Steve L. Carroll; Susan E. Carroll; and Tracy A. Carroll	Administrative Order	NR .	Kennedy	Hearing set for 11/26/90.
7-23-90	IBP, Dakota City	Administrative Order	w	Hansen	Negotiating settlement.
7-25-90	Thomas and Arlene Griffin	Water Use Permit	WR	Clark	Hearing set for 11/28/90.
7-26-90	Plymouth County SW Agency	Administrative Order	sw	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.
7-30-90	Key City Coal Gas Site; Murphy Trust & Howard Pixler	Site Registry	HU	Landa	Hearing set for 12/18/90.
8-01-90	J.I. Case Company	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Sent to DIA.
8-02-90	Trash Reduction Systems, Inc.	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Hearing set for 11/1/90.
8-06-90	Lake Manawa Nissan, Inc.	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Compliance initiated.
9-06-90	Wilbur Numelin d/b/a Lakeview Enterprises; Carl Hankenson	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Sent to DIA.
9-10-90	IBP, inc. Columbus Junction	Administrative Order NPDES Permit	uu	Hansen	Sent to DIA.
9-12-90	Oak Park Manor MHP	Administrative Order	ws	Kennedy	Sent to DIA.
9-12-90	Michael & Joyce Haws; George H. Gronau	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Sent to DIA.

Mr. Stokes gave a brief explanation of the reports.

Discussion followed.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

STATE REVOLVING FUND INTENDED USE PLAN

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Department is preparing for the third year of the state revolving fund for wastewater treament construction assistance. The state revolving fund provides for loans to municipalities according to DNR rules (567--92). This program replaced the EPA construction grant program. Federal grants are made to the state to capitalize the fund. A state match is also required and is provided by a state bond issue according to enabling legislation. Each year the state must apply for the federal grant to put into the fund. Part of the application is an Intended Use Plan which describes how the state intends to use the fund including the list of projects to be assisted. EPA requires opportunity for public input on this plan. Therefore it must be prepared in advance of the application and a public hearing scheduled. The department has drafted a plan which includes all loan applications received to date and is based on assumed federal appropriations for the SRF program. The draft plan will be provided to the Commission for information. The projected schedule is to request Commission approval in November to hold a hearing on the plan and to apply for the federal grant and sell bonds early in 1991.

(Intended Use Plan is shown on the following 14 pages)

PROPOSED STATE REVOLVING FUND INTENDED USE PLAN FOR THE STATE OF IOWA FISCAL YEAR 1991

Submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7

By the Iowa Department of Natural Resources

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
ı.	INTRODUCTION	,1
II.	SRF FUNDS	1
III.	LIST OF PROJECTS	1
IV.	LONG-TERM AND SHORT-TERM GOALS	3
	A. Long-Term Goals B. Short-Term Goals	
v.	INFORMATION ON THE SRF ACTIVITIES TO BE SUPPORTED	4
	 A. Allocation of Funds B. SRF Policies C. Administrative Costs of the SRF 	
VI.	ASSURANCES AND SPECIFIC PROPOSALS	5
VII.	CRITERIA AND METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS	5
	A. Priority of Communities and Financial Assistance Needed B. Allocation of Funds Among Projects	
VIII.	METHOD OF AMENDMENT	6
IX.	PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT	6
	APPENDIX A; NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING	A-1
	CHARTS	
•	 SRF Project Information Contingency Projects Loan List - Projected Binding Commitments 	9 10 11

I. INTRODUCTION

The State of Iowa herewith submits its Intended Use Plan (IUP) for all funds available in the State Revolving Fund (SRF) during Fiscal Year (FY) 1991. This plan is based on receiving a capitalization grant from the FY 1991 Title VI funds appropriated by the U.S. Congress for the Iowa State Revolving Fund. In addition, the FY 1990 SRF will include the State's required 20% match for this grant. These funds will be added to the SRF funds provided in FY 1989 and 1990.

II. SRF FUNDS

This Intended Use Plan is based upon federal funds anticipated to become available for FY 1991 by Congressional appropriation. The Clean Water Act authorized program funding at \$1.2 billion nationally for each of 1989 and 1990. The FY 1991 authorization was \$2.4 billion. Until an appropriation is made for FY 1991 and an allotment designated for Iowa, the Intended Use Plan will project an allotment for FY 1991 relative to the FY 1990 actual allotment in the same ratio of the national authorizations by the Clean Water Act. This projection shows a potential capitalization grant of \$26,408,844 available to Iowa in FY 1991. The 20% state match of \$5,281,769 could provide an addition of \$31,690,631 to the SRF for FY 1991.

Whether the state requests the full capitalization grant and provides the relative state matching funds may depend on the demand and number of loan applications.

III. LIST OF PROJECTS

The management of the state's revolving fund loan program including the development of a priority list of projects for loan assistance has been proposed according to DNR rules 567--92 (455B). With added FY 1991 funds along with the \$15.85 million FY 1990 funds, it is Iowa's intention to assist twelve new projects in addition to the fifteen remaining projects identified on the FY 1990 IUP as well as fund the administration of the SRF program. There is no intention to fund (Section 319) nonpoint source projects or (Section 320) estuarine projects in FY 1991 as permitted by Title VI of the Clean Water Act. No projects for municipalities which appear on the National Municipal Policy (NMP) List have been placed on the Loan List for proposed loan assistance to meet "first use" requirements of the Clean Water Act. Projects identified for assistance in the FY 1990 IUP are shown in Chart 1 Part 1.

The total loan needs of all applications submitted by the July 1, 1990 deadline in DNR rules did not exceed the revolving fund that could be provided by the actual FY 1991 allotment of federal funds. Therefore, all applications submitted by July 1, 1990 were listed as proposed loan recipients on Chart 1 Part 2. Applications received after July 1, 1990, through the date of the public hearing on this Intended Use Plan were also considered for inclusion on the list of recipients for FY 1991 loan assistance. These applicants are listed on Chart 1 Part 2 in priority order following those applications received by July 1,

1990. All applicants will be offered loan assistance subject to meeting program requirements.

The state expects to apply for a capitalization grant for the entire allotment. Initially, EPA would provide a letter of credit for the identified needs shown in Chart 1. Should the capitalization grant allow for additional projects to be identified and funded in the future, the state will consider providing the necessary matching funds to allow such assistance. EPA would then increase the letter of credit as necessary.

Based on the environmental reviews that have been conducted on the proposed Section 212 projects to date, it is not anticipated that any of these projects will need to undergo development of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Priority Projects

The Clean Water Act requires that the capitalization grant and the state match funds are first to be used to assure maintenance of progress toward compliance with enforceable deadlines, goals and requirements of the Act, including the municipal compliance deadline. EPA has determined that this first-use has been met when all municipalities on the NMP list are in compliance, on an enforceable schedule, have an enforcement action filed, or have a funding commitment by the end of the year covered by the IUP. This is a onetime determination.

An analysis made of Iowa's NMP municipalities in FY 1989 determined that all have met one of the above criteria. Therefore, Iowa assures maintenance of progress toward compliance with enforceable deadlines, goals, and requirements of the Clean Water Act as expected by Title VI.

To determine which wastewater treatment facility projects should be funded by the SRF, the FY 1991 Project Priority List (PPL) prepared under state rule was reviewed, and the highest priority projects expected to be able to take advantage of SRF funds within the time frame allowed by state rule IAC 567--92 for FY 1991 were identified (see Chart 1, Parts 1 and 2). There are twelve projects identified for loan assistance for FY 1991, in addition to five projects funded with FY 1989 funds and fifteen identified for FY 1990. These projects appear on Chart 1 by fiscal year in the order of their ranking as described above on the priority list. No nonpoint source projects (Section 319) or estuarine projects (Section 320) have been proposed for funding from the SRF.

In the event that projects identified for funding in the IUP do not attain readiness for a loan commitment by August 31, 1991, these delayed projects may be bypassed. Other projects may be added to a contingency list (Chart 2) to be funded based on the state's implementing rules for the SRF program (see IAC 567-92). Consideration of the by-pass projects will occur in August of 1991 by the Department of Natural Resources.

This IUP may be amended as allowed by DNR rules and Section VII of this plan. Because applications received total less than what may be available for Iowa's SRF, the state may consider adding projects to the FY 1991 list (Chart 1 Part 2), should applications be received. Should insufficient funds be available in the SRF to fund all projects listed in Chart 1, projects will be removed from the bottom of the list as necessary and placed on the Contingency List.

Funds reserved for administration costs of the SRF program are shown in Chart 1, Part 3. A reserve for water quality management planning as required by Title VI of the Clean Water Act will be set aside from Iowa's FY 1991 Title VI allotment and granted to the state for this purpose separately from the SRF. This reserve does not appear in this IUP.

IV. LONG-TERM AND SHORT-TERM GOAL STATEMENTS

A. Long-Term Goals

- 1. Protect the environment, and public health and welfare by ensuring state water quality standards are achieved and maintained; and that waters of the state are not degraded by improperly or inadequately treated municipal wastewaters, or nonpoint pollution sources.
- 2. Establish a perpetual program to provide financial assistance to communities for the purpose of constructing facilities to properly and adequately treat municipal wastewaters, or abate and control nonpoint pollution sources.
- Provide a financial assistance program, in the form of loans, which are competitive with private financing options available to communities while assuring the perpetual nature of the program.
- 4. Allocate financial assistance in a priority manner based upon water quality impacts of the proposed projects.
- 5. Establish program requirements which are simple, understandable, applicable to all projects, and to the fullest extent possible are not burdensome to the recipients of assistance.
- 6. Establish mechanisms for funding the on-going administration of the program once federal funding stops.

B. Short-term Goals (to be implemented in FY 1991)

- 1. Administer the State Revolving Loan Program consistent with federal statute, regulation and guidance; and in accordance with state law and promulgated rules.
- Commit loan funds to fully fund as many communities as possible in accordance with the state priority rating system, this

Intended Use Plan, and available funding in order to assist in the construction of the highest water quality impact projects.

- 3. Commit 120% of federal capitalization grant funding available this federal fiscal year.
- 4. Provide state funds through bonding in the amount required to provide the 20% match for available federal allotments in FY 1991.

V. INFORMATION ON THE SRF ACTIVITIES TO BE SUPPORTED

A. Allocation of Funds

Allocation of funds to eligible projects was based on a three-step process:

The amount of financial assistance needed for each application was estimated;

The sources and spending limits for all FY 1991 SRF funds were identified; and

The SRF funds were allocated among the projects, consistent with the amount available and the financial assistance needed.

Information pertinent to each SRF project is contained in Chart 1, pursuant to Section 606(c)(3) of the CWA.

B. SRF Policies

Loan Interest Rate

The interest rate for all loans made from the SRF in FY 1991 will be determined in accordance with state rules and based upon the State's costs for generating required matching funds via bonding (see IAC 567--92.11). Interest rates for projects identified for different fiscal years may vary.

C. Administrative Costs of the SRF

Iowa intends to use SRF funds equivalent to 4% of the Federal capitalization grant funds to pay the costs of administering the State Revolving Fund loan program. Based on the estimated allotment Iowa from the estimated FY. 1991 Title appropriation, the State could have \$1,056,354 available from the FY 1991 capitalization grant for administrative support in managing and operating the SRF program. However, only \$405,000 is shown on Chart 1, Part 3 and Chart 3 based on the portion of the available capitalization grant needed for loan applications received to date. A commitment of \$510,626 from FY 1989 funds and \$528,177 from FY 1990 funds has already been made.

The annual budget for program administration may be less than the 4% allowed by the Clean Water Act for administrative costs. Unused commitments will be reserved for use in later years as necessary.

VI. ASSURANCES AND SPECIFIC PROPOSALS

Iowa will provide the necessary assurances and certifications as part of an Operating Agreement between the State of Iowa and the U.S. EPA. Iowa's Operating Agreement includes the requirements of the following sections of the law:

- 602(a) Environmental Reviews
 The State of Iowa will conduct environmental reviews as specified in the Project Review Procedures attached to the Operating Agreement.
- 602(b)(3) Binding Commitments
 The State of Iowa will enter into binding commitments for 120% of each quarterly payment within 1 year of receipt of that payment.
- 602(b)(4) Expeditious and Timely Expenditures
 The State of Iowa will expend all funds in the SRF in a timely
 and expeditious manner.
- 602(b)(5) First Use for Enforceable Requirements
 The State of Iowa will assure maintenance of progress toward
 enforceable deadlines, goals and requirements of the CWA,
 including the municipal compliance deadline. Maintenance of
 progress is defined in EPA guidance for the SRF program.
- 602(b)(6) Compliance with Title II Requirements
 The State of Iowa agrees to meet the specific statutory requirements for public owned wastewater projects constructed in whole or in part before FY 1995 with funds directly made available by Federal capitalization grants.

Iowa will meet equivalency requirements using Title II procedures, as included in the State's Construction Grant Delegation Agreement with EPA. State rules require that all Section 212 projects funded under Title VI of the Clean Water Act will meet the Title II requirements specified in Title VI.

VII. CRITERIA AND METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS

The following approach was used to develop Iowa's proposed distribution of SRF funds: (1) analysis of the priority communities and financial assistance needed; (2) identification of the sources and spending limits of available funds; (3) allocation of funds among projects; (4) development of a payment schedule which will provide for making timely binding commitments to the projects selected for SRF assistance; and (5) development of a disbursement schedule to pay the project costs as incurred.

A. Priority of Communities and Financial Assistance Needed

Iowa law provides only for loan assistance. The state's SRF rules identify the priority rating system used to establish priorities for loan assistance.

Projects were considered only for loan financing assistance for project costs incurred after a loan commitment. Refinancing is not being considered in FY 1991. Refinancing in the context of the SRF program is considered to be providing loan assistance to projects or portions of projects which have already incurred costs at the time of the loan agreement.

B. Allocation of Funds Among Projects

Once the total amount of funds and spending limits were identified, Chart 3 was prepared showing the amount needed by quarter to meet the binding commitment of each project. These amounts were summarized by quarter and the totals are shown at the bottom of the columns.

Since it was not necessary to provide loan funding to any project to meet the federal "first use" requirement, all projects listed in Chart 1 may be funded from the SRF.

All projects scheduled for funding with Iowa's SRF will be reviewed for consistency with appropriate plans developed under sections 205(j), 208, 303(e), 319 and 320 of the Clean Water Act, as amended. Evidence of this review and finding of consistency will be documented in each SRF project file. Should a project fail to meet this review criteria it may be bypassed as allowed by State rules. Chart 2 provides for contingency projects which may be considered for loan assistance as bypass projects according to state rules without formal amendment of this intended use plan. Projects may be added to Chart 2 in priority order as applications are received.

VIII. METHOD OF AMENDMENT OF THE INTENDED USE PLAN

This intended use plan will be followed by the State in administering SRF funds in FY 1991. Public participation in the development of the IUP is required by EPA. Any revisions of the goals, policies and method of distribution of funds, including the list of loan projects, must be addressed by a revision of the IUP including opportunity for public participation. Minor adjustments in funding schedules, loan amounts and use of bypass provisions including funding of projects on the contingency list are allowed by the procedures of this IUP and state rules for administration of the SRF without public notification.

IX. PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT (Reserved)

(WWPI266P06.01/bkp/271-90)

Chart 1: FY 91 Intended Use Plan Project - Specific Information

Chart 1 Part 1: FY 90 Section 212 Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) Projects

Project Name	Project	Dis	Discharge Requirements	nents	Need	Assistant	Binding	Construction	Initiate
Served	Number	ВОД	TSS	Other	Categories	Amount (\$1000)	Commitment Date	Start Date	Operation Date
Des Moines ICA	192001-02	25	30	5.5 NH ₃	IVB	9190	10/90	1/90	7/91
Oskaloosa	192007-02	25	30	8.0 NH ₃	ш	189	10/90	10/90	10/01
Репу	192008-01	25	30		П	589	10/90	2/91	06/6
Adel	192009-01	25	30		IVB	594	10/90	11/91	11/90
Dows	192010-01	25	30		I	295	10/90	8/90	12/90
Martensdale	192011-01	25	30		I	240	10/90	06/8	10/90
Preston	192012-01	25	30		IVB,I	490	10/90	10/90	5/91
VanMeter	192013-01	25	30		IVB,I	403	10/90	06/6	12/90
Brandon	192014-01	25	30		П	122	10/90	10/90	7/91
Stanwood	192015-01	25	30		H	294	10/90	10/90	8/01
Carlisle	192016-01	25	30		II	901	1/90	1-91	1/92
Independence	192017-01	25	30		IVB	964	10/90	11/91	9/91
LeGrand	192018-01	25	30		_	450	10/90	11/90	11/01
Grand Mound	192019-01	25	30		I	260	10/90	11/90	11/91
Camanche	192020-01	25	30		Н	336	06/6	06/8	8/91

Part 1 Total: 15 317

Chart 1 Part 2: FY 91 Section 212 Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) Projects

Droisot Mond									
Community	rroject Number	Dis	Discharge Requirements	nents	Need	Assistant	Binding	Construction	Initiate
Served	Tagriffe I	ВОД	TSS	Other	Categories	Amount (\$1000)	Commitment	Start	Operation
Indianola	192021-01	25	30		I IVB	1103	5/01	A S	Date
Mason City	192022-01	25	30		11	3100	16/6	16/6	26/5
Independence	192017-02	25	30		EVB	277	16/0	10/91	10/92
New Hampton	192023-01	25	30			1142	16/01	16/6	3/92
Kanawha	192024-01	25	80		•	233	16/0	16/0	12/91
Nora Springs	192025-01	25	0,5		•	707	16/0	3/91	3/92
			S			201	6/91	8/91	3/92
Marengo	192026-01	25	·08			360	6/91	10/01	6/07
Stratford	192027-01	25	80		I. IVB	778	16/9	5/01	2610
Central City	192028-01	25	30		_	029	6/01	16/6	16/71
Orchard	192029-01	25	80			3,45	100	16/6	26/5
Coralville	192030-01	25	30		-	3500	16/0	16//	12/91
Agency	192031-01	25	30		•	110	16/0	16/01	10/92
					•	27.	16/0	16/4	6/92

Part 2 Total: 11,732

Chart 1 Part 3: Section 603(d)(7) Program Administration

Project Name	Project	Disc	Discharge Requirements	nents	Need	Assistant	Binding	Construction	Initiate
Served	Number	ВОD	TSS	Other	Categories	Amount (\$1000)	Commitment Date	Start Date	Operation Date
PGM-ADM (89)		NA	NA	NA	NA	349	5/80	N.A.	1
							2010	ZV.	NA
PGM-ADM (90)		NA	NA	NA	NA	528	06/9	A Z	۸X
3000									TV.
FOM-ADM (91)		NA A	NA	NA	NA	405	5/91	AZ.	AN
								•	7577

1282 Part 3 Total:

FY GRAND TOTAL:

Key to Need Categories

Secondary Treatment

Treatment more stringent than secondary

Infiltration/Inflow correction IIIA. IVA. V.

Major sewer system rehabilitation

New interceptors and appurtenances New collectors and appurtenances

Correction of combined sewers

Chart 2: FY90 Intended Use Plan Contingency Projects - Specific Information

ſr—		 	_	_	_
1	Operation Date				
Construction	Start Date				
Binding	Commitment Date				
Assistant	Amount (\$1000)				
Need	Categories				
nents	Other				
Discharge Requirements	TSS				
Disc	ВОD				
Project	Number				
Project Name	Served				

Key to Need Categories

Secondary Treatment

Treatment more stringent than secondary

Infiltration/Inflow correction IIIA.

Major sewer system rehabilitation IIIB.

New interceptors and appurtenances New collectors and appurtenances IVA.

Correction of combined sewers IVB.

Chart 3: Loan List - Projected Binding Commitments by Quarter

Project Name					BINDIN	BINDING COMMITMENT	r MENT			
Community	Project	Prior		Fiscal Year 1991	ear 1991		,	Fiscal Year 1992	ear 1992	
Serve	Number	Year	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	OTR 2	OTR 3	OTR 4
FY90 - Section 212 POTW Projects										
Des Moines ICA	192001-02		0616							
Oskaloosa	192007-02		189							
Репу	192008-01		589							
Adel	192009-01		594							
Dows	192010-01		295							
Martensdale	192011-01		240							
Preston	192012-01		490							
VanMeter	192013-01		403							
Brandon	192014-01		122							
Stanwood	192015-01		294							
Carlisle	192016-01		901	1						
Independence	192017-01		964							
LeGrand	192018-01		450							
Grand Mound	10-610261		260							
Camanche	192020-01		336							

ontinue next page

Chart 3 (continued)

					BINDIA	BINDING COMMITMENT	TMENT			
Community	Project	Prior		Fiscal Y	Fiscal Year 1991			Fiscal Y	Fiscal Year 1992	
Serve	Number	Year	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	QTR 4	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3	OTR 4
FY91 - Section 212 POTW Projects									1	,
Agency	192021-01				011					
Coralville	192022-01				3500					-
Central City	192017-02				01.9					
Independence	192023-01				372					
Indianola	192024-01				1103					
Kanawha	192025-01				232					
Marengo	192026-01				360					
Mason City	192027-01				3100					
New Hampton	192028-01				1142					
Nora Springs	192029-01				100					
Orchard	192030-01				265					
Stratford	192031-01				778					
PGM - ADM (FY89)		349								
PGM - ADM (FY90)		528								
PGM - ADM (FY91)				405			,			
TOTALS		877	15317	405	11732	0	0	0	0	0
CUMULATIVE TOTALS		877	16144	16599	28331	28331	28331	28331	28331	28331
FY TOTALS		877				27454				0
								4		

Mr. Stokes gave a detailed explanation of the plan.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

CONSTRUCTION GRANT PRIORITY LIST - FY 91, APPROVAL

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department recommends that the Commission approve the attached list as the Costruction Grants Priority List for Fiscal Year 1991. This list was developed by authority of DNR rule 567--91. EPA requires opportunity for public input on each annual priority list. In August the Commission authorized a public hearing and one was held on September 26, 1990. No one attended the hearing and no comments were received.

Since Fiscal Year 1990 was the last year of authorized funding for the construction grant program, the proposed list showed only those projects listed as fundable in Fiscal Year 1990 and not yet funded as being the 1991 fundable projects. These projects are currently under review and grants are expected in coming weeks. However listing them on the 1991 fundable list will allow them to receive their grant in fiscal 1991 if they are delayed. The 1990 funds are available through fiscal 1991.

(Priority list is shown on the following 9 pages)

PROPOSED

STATE OF IOWA

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

FISCAL YEAR 1991

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

July 27, 1990

FY 1991

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATK PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS FUNDING SUMMARY

		ESTIMATED EPA GRANT ASSISTANCE ***
STEP	PROJECT	FY 1991
3	Des Moines ICA (segmented)	3,351,070
3	Ames	
4	Iowa Falls	871,200
4	Winterset	1,287,000
4	Laurel */**	843,090
FIS	CAL YEAR FUNDING ESTIMATE	\$ 6,352,360

PROJECT STEP KEY

- 3 Construction
- 4 Combination grant for design and construction. Available only when the grant amount is less than \$3 million, the project has not been segmented, and the population is under 25,000.
- * Unsewered community
- ** Small community-alternative technology
- *** Grant amount shown is the basic 55% (or 75%) grant. A project may also qualify for innovative/alternative bonus funding.

A: FY90.C/pg

FY 1991 SUMMARY OF FUNDS

I. Estimated EPA Assistance Required				
A. Estimated assistance for projects				\$ 6,352,360
B. Designated reserve for grant increases				
C. Reserve for grant increases for alternative technology	DV 14	200		3,925,431
in alternative technology	y 14 14	990 §	437,618	437,618
D. Reserve for grant increases for innovative technology	FY 19	989 s	217,925	
	FY 19	•		509,927
E. Reserve for state management assistance 205(g)	FY 19	-	657,648	
	FY 19	90 s	657,648	1,315,296
F. Reserve for water quality management 205(j)(1)	FY 19	•	126,298	
C. Paravis C	FY 19	90 s	129,779	256,077
G. Reserve for non-point source management 205(j)(5)	FY 198		71,298	
H Reserve for advances of all	FY 199	•	129,779	201.077
H. Reserve for advances of allowances (no need projected E	FY 1990))		
Total grant needs				\$12,997,786
II. FY 90 Non-additive Set-Aside Reserve Funds				
A. FY 1990 reserve for alternative systems for small commu	nities		\$ 5	19.116
B. FY 1990 quota for unsewered communities			\$ 6	48,895
			.	10,073
III. Available Funds				
A. Prior Years Carryover (7/01/90)				\$1,143,138
B. Pending Recoveries (7/01/90)				
C. FY 1989 Allotment Balance (4/15/90)				3,135,011
				1,506,458
D. FY 1990 Allotment Balance (4/15/90)				7,213,179
				\$12,997,786

A:FY90.C/pg

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

State: Iowa EPA Region: 07

of 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AV AV	AŸ	A A	<u> </u>	>, >, >,	>
Page 1 o	Elig Cost by Needs Cat (Y0-Y6)	3,233,400	1,234,700 AY		1,357,800 AY 226,200 AY	1,287,000 AY 257,400 AY 795,600 AY	605,200 BY 927,700 D
		H S	O I VB		1 MB 1	I IIIA IVB	IVA
	Est EPA Assist	2,	926,020 IVB		871,200	1,287,000	843,090
	Total Eligible Cost (29)	3,233,400	1,234,700		1,584,000	2,342,000	1,532,900
	Innov Elig Cost (Y7) Altern Elig Cost (Y8)			3,605,000			764,150
	Sai Conn (33)						ρ μ
	Proj Desc (20)	T Phase 3	I Westside Phase 5 Seg 1	E1	E	IT Rehab	IT Coli
	St Cert (A5)	P 900831	P 900831	P 900831	P 900831	2 900831	P 900831
	Step (87) Type (04)	ოს	m U	ოს	₩ 0	4 ¹ C)	4. X
	Project Number (02, 01, 54) 03 = 0	C190790 11	C190709 64	C190736 04 Amend 5	C190753 02	C190743 02	C191045 01
	Grant Number Parent Project (B2)	NULTIPLES C190709 10 C190790 11	IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES	188503001 C190736 01	C190753 01	C190743 01	
	Permit Number (C2) Auth/Fac.	IA7709001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA8503001	180410001	180934001 C1907	UNSEWERED 190461001
	Applicant Legal Name Permit County Name Number Street Address (C2) City, State Zip Code Auth/Fac (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) No. (32)	City of Ankeny Polk County 211 SW Walnut Ankeny IA 50621	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Ames Story County 621 Main Street Ames IA 50010	City of Iowa Falls Hardin County 315 Stevens Street Iowa Falls IA 50126	City of Winterset Madison County 101 E Jefferson Winterset IA 50273	City of Laurel Marshall County P 0 Box 126 Laurel IA 50141
	Priority Rank (59) Priority Points (E8)	650.24	0085 650.24	0165	0210 190.88	0220	1325 . 226 . P

Estimated EPA Assistance Required

A. Estimated assistance					
		for projects on fundable list			\$ 6,352,360
B. Designated reserve	4-4	or grant increases			3,925,431
C. Reserve for gra	rant increases	Reserve for grant increases for alternative technology	FY 1990 Allotment	\$ 437,618	437,618
D. Reserve for gra	rant increases	Reserve for grant increases for innovative technology	FY 1989 Allotment	\$ 217,925	
E. Reserve for sta	Reserve for state management assistance	assistance 205(g)	FY 1990 Allotment FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	\$ 292,002 \$ 657,648 \$ 657,648	509, 927
F. Reserve for wat	iter quality man	Reserve for Water quality management 205(j)(l)	FY 1988 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment		256,077
G. Reserve for non-point	on-point source	source management 205(j)(5)	FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	s 71,298 s 129,779	201,077
E. Reserve for advances Total grant needs	vances of allow needs	of allowances (no need projected for FY 1990)			786 758
II. FY 90 Non-additive Set-Aside Reserve Funds	Set-Aside Rese	srve Funds			
A. Reserve for alte	ternative syste	Reserve for alternative systems for small communities		\$ 519,116	
B. Quota for unsewered	Wered communities	S)		\$ 648,895	
III. Available Funds					
A. Prior Years Carryover	rryover	(1/01/30)		,	\$ 1.143.138
B. Pending Recoveries	ries	. (06/10/ <i>L</i>)			3.135.011
C. FY 1989 Allotment Balance	ent Balance	(1/01/90)			1,506,458
D. FY 1990 Allotment Bal	nt Balance	(1/01/30)			7,213,179

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

State: Iowa EPA Region: 07

1 9	Enf Reg	AY	A.	Ar	AN	1
Page 3 of 6	Elig Cost by Needs Cat (YO-Y6)	1,134,000 AY	4,700,000 AY	, 600,000 AY	3,800,000 AY	
	Est EPA Assist (19)	850,500 I	3,525,000 IVB	450,000 IVE,	3,800,000 2,850,000 IVE	
	Total Eligible Cost (29)	1,134,000	4,700,000	000,000	3,800,000	V
	Innov Elig Cost (Y7) Altern Elig Cost (Y8)					
	Sp.1 Contra				**************************************	
	Proj Desc (20)	I Four Mile Phase 8 Segment 1	Saylor Cr Phase 7 Seg 1B.C.D	Beaver Cr Phase 6 Seg 4	I So Tier Phase 10 Seg 1,3,4	
	St Cert (AS)					
	Step (87) Type (04)	ოს	m U	ოს	m U	
	Project Number (02, 01, 54) 03 = 0	C190709 65	C190709 66	C190709 67	C190709 68	
	Grant Number Parent Project (B2)	C190709 10	IA7727001 C190709 10	IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 C190709 10	
	Permit Number (C2) Auth/Fac.	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	
07	Permit Priority County Name Permit Number Rank (59) Street Address (C2) Priority City. State Zip Code Auth/Fac Points (H8) (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) No. (32)	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	
EPA Region:	Priority Rank (59) Priority Points (H8)	0110 650.24	0130	0140	0150 (0	

FISCAL YEAR 1991

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCEARGER RANKING

								10 = 26p 1	'
	Project	Points	s Project	Points	s Project	4-	Points	s Project	<u></u>
		29.26	Sully	8.44	Lanoni		3.35	Ferguson *	
		23.97	Stacyville	8.40	Jesup		3.25	Graettinger	1
Hampton		18.96	Victor	8.38	West Point		3.19	Clear Lake SSD	T
Washington	c	18.80	Colfax	8.24	Danville		3.02	Bennett	
0skal cosa	-	18.73	Sumus:	7.59	Blairstown		2.94	Ananosa	-1
Stanyood		17.90	Corning	7.56	Anita		2.75	Low Moor	
		17.71	Dyersville	7.06	Dunl ap		2.51	Preston	
Perry		16.60	DOWS	7.05	Duront		2.50	Lake Park	<u> </u>
Coralville		14.72	Independence Conrad	6.61	Grinnell Bussey *		2.16	Fort Atkinson *	<u> </u>
Adel		12.82	Wheatland	5.88	Marengo Deep River *				
Durant		12.09	Onava	5.18	Wyoming		1.99	Milo	1
Cedar Falls	W	11.16	Goldfield	4.73	Denver		1.81	Decatur City *	<u> </u>
Eumboldt		10.92	Martensdale	4.05	Kiron		1.77	Fenton *	 -
Waterloo		10.79	Moodbine	4.02	Oakland		1.75	Madrid	
Gl adbrook		9.36	Missouri Valley	3.93	Wellnan		1.75	Joice *	
Carlisle		9.78	Ely	3.60	Millersburg *		1.68	Haverhill *	
:									

* Unsewered Community

FISCAL YEAR 1991

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCHARGER RANKING

Page 5 of 6

Project Spring Hill * Masonville * Spragueville Farnhamville Grand Mound Shambaugh Winthrop Brandon Persia * Начкеуе Exline * Gruver * Lawton Ossian Adair Lehigh Points .278 .321 .294 .255 .22€ .224 .217 .215 .198 .38 .187 .148 .120 .168 .150 . 099 Project Grand Junction Rose Hill * Swaledale * East Peru * Eavelock * Hastings * Ayrshire * Lincoln Marne * Hedrick Le Grand Russell Peosta * Albion Grant * Essex Points .416 .566 545 .513 .429 .368 5.5 .464 .462 419 .507 .452 .397 .391 380 .358 Project Promise City Center Point Williamson * Maysville * State Center Oran SSD * St Anthony Alta Vista Riverton * Lake View Van Borne Larchwood Scranton Webb * Graf * ဒ္ဌ Points 8 578 .862 .812 .610 24. 24. .782 .769 .724 .714 .643 8 611 88 .574 Project Williamsburg Lone Rock * Luxemburg * Moorland * Monticello Van Meter Evansdale Melrose * Griswold Palmer * Bouton * Earlhan Lucas * Calmar Numa * Marcus Walnut Points 996 .965 88 .953 1.65 .58 1.57 1.54 1.49 1.47 1.42 1.37 1.34 1.34 1.24 1.16 1.05

FISCAL YEAR 1991

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCEARGER RANKING

			Page 6 of 6
Points	Project	Points Project	Points Project
.052 Larrabee		.018 Palo	.001 Eldon
.052 Ollie *		.016 Farragut	.0003 Morley *
.045 Little Sioux *)UX *	.014 Pleasanton *	.0000 Mondamin
·		.013 Camanche	
.042 Clarence		.010 New Liberty *	.000C Leland
.038 Shellsburg		.007 Kirkville *	.0000 Newhall
.038 Dexter		.007 Bellevue	.0000 Whittier SSD *
.035 Wapello		.006 Redfield	
.025 Atkins		.006 Alleman *	
.025 Ireton		.005 Slater	
.022 Oyens		.001 Harpers Ferry *	

* Unsewered Community

D:FY89.C

Mr. Stokes explained the grant priority list.

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann to approve the Construction Grant Priority List for FY 91. Seconded by Clark Yeager. Motion carried unanimously.

FINAL RULE--CHAPTER 60, DEFINITIONS AND CHAPTER 62, FEDERAL EFFLUENT AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Department requests the Commission adopt final rules that update references to federal regulations in the Iowa Administrative Code. Periodic updates of references to the federal regulations are necessary because EPA promulgates new or revised effluent and pretreatment standards and the standards adopted by the Commission must be at least as stringent as those promulgated by EPA to maintain delegation of the department's NPDES permit program. Notice of Intended Action was published in the Iowa Administrative Bulletin on August 8, 1990 and a public hearing was held September 4, 1990 in Des Moines. No comments on the proposed rules were received. Several additional amendments to the federal regulations were published after the Notice of Intended Action was approved but prior to the public hearing and these amendments have been included in these final rules.

(Rule is shown on the following 1 1/2 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Adopted and Filed

Pursuant to the authority of Iowa Code sections 455B.105 and 455B.173, the Environmental Protection Commission amends 567—Chapter 62, "Effluent and Pretreatment Standards: Other Effluent Limits or Prohibitions," and 567—Chapter 60, "Scope of Title - Definitions - Forms - Rules of Practice". Iowa Administrative Code.

The Notice of Intended Action was published in the August 8, 1990, Iowa Administrative Bulletin as ARC 1141A. A public hearing was held on September 4, 1990. The amendments were adopted at the Commission meeting on October 15-16, 1990.

The purpose of the proposed rulemaking is to update references in rules 62.4 (455B) and 62.5 (455B) to federal effluent and pretreatment standards found in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) which need to be changed due to federal amendments and revisions to 40 CFR. The proposed change to rule 60.2 is to update the definition of "Act" to include amendments to the Water Pollution Control Act through December 31, 1989.

The effluent and pretreatment standards adopted by the Commission are required to be at least as stringent as the enumerated promulgated federal standards in order to have continued approval by the federal Environmental Protection Agency of the department's NPDES program. Iowa Code section 455B.173(3) requires that the effluent and pretreatment standards adopted by the commission not be more stringent than the enumerated promulgated federal standards.

These rules will become effective on December 19, 1990, after filing with the Administrative Rules Review Coordinator and publication in the Iowa Administrative Bulletin.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code Chapter 455B, Division III, Part I.

ŕ

TTEM 1. Amend rule 567-60.2(455B) to read as follows: 567-60.2(455B) Definitions. The following definitions apply to this title, unless otherwise specified in the particular chapter of this title:

[&]quot;Act" means the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended through December 31, 1984 1989, 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq.

ITEM 2. Amend rule 567—62.4(455B) to read as follows: 567—62.4(455B) Federal effluent and pretreatment standards. The federal standards, 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), revised as of July 1, 1988 1989, are applicable to the following categories:

ITEM 3. Amend subrule 62.4(3) as follows:
62.4(3) General pretreatment regulations for existing and new sources of pollution. The following is adopted by reference: 40 CFR 403 as amended on 0ctober 17, 1988 (53 FR 40562) July 24, 1990 (55 FR 30082) 7 and January 4, 1989 (54 FR 246).

ITEM 4. Amend subrule 62.4(14) to read as follows:
62.4(14) Organic chemicals manufacturing, plastics and synthetic fibers point source category. The following is adopted by reference: 40 CFR part 414 as amended on June 29, 1989 (54-FR-27351) June 29, 1990 (55 FR 26691).

ITEM 5. Amend subrule 62.4(16) as follows:

62.4(16) Plastics - and - synthetics - point - source - category. - The - following - is adopted by - reference: - - 40 - CFR - 416 Reserved.

ITEM 6. Amend subrule 62.4(67) as follows:

62.4(67) Aluminum forming point source category. The following is adopted by reference: 40 CFR part 467 as-amended-on-December-27,-1988-(53-FR-52366).

ITEM 7. Amend subrule 62.4(71) as follows:

62.4(71) Nonferrous metals forming and metal powders. The following is adopted by reference: 40 CFR part 471 as -amended -on -March -17, -1989 -(54 -FR 11346) -and -corrected -on -April -4, -1989 -(54 -FR 13606).

ITEM 8. Amend rule 567--62.5(455B) to read as follows:

567-62.5(455B) Federal toxic effluent standards. The following is adopted by reference: 40 CFR part 129, revised as of July 1, 1988 1989.

Mr. Stokes gave a brief explanation of the rules.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve Final Rule--Chapter 60, Definitions and Chapter 62, Federal Effluent and Pretreatment Standards. Seconded by Nancylee Siebenmann. Motion carried unanimously.

EMERGENCY ADOPTED RULE--CHAPTER 209, SOLID WASTE DEMONSTRATION GRANTS

Larry Wilson, Director, presented the following item.

The Commission adopted final rules on this subject in August, which will be effective October 24, 1990. These rules were reviewed by the Rules Review Committee on October 10, 1990, and the Committee stated a preference that competitive grant programs include an objective system, such as a point system, for rating grant applications and awarding grants. The staff responded that the basic criteria are spelled out in the rules and that the application forms do assign points for the various criteria. The Committee felt that this point system ought to be included in the rules themselves. The Committee did not delay or object to the rules that were filed - they will go into effect as they were adopted. The Committee did suggest that we adopt emergency the point system so that it can go into effect on the same time schedule. The rule action to accomplish this is attached.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Emergency Adopted and Implemented Rule

Pursuant to Iowa Code sections 455B.301A and 455E.9, the Environmental Protection Commission of the Department of Natural Resources emergency adopts rule amendments to 567 -- Chapter 209, "Grants for Solid Waste Demonstration Projects," Iowa Administrative Code, to set forth the criteria points for awarding the grants.

In compliance with Iowa Code section 17A.4(2), the Commission finds that public notice and participation is impracticable in that these amendments to the grant applications will take effect October 25, 1990, and it was determined at the suggestion of the Legislative Rules Review Committee that the criteria points should be included in the rule rather than in the applications for grants. The present rules do not set forth the number of points allotted for each criteria even though the instructions in the application forms do contain that information.

The Commission also finds, pursuant to Iowa Code section 17A.5(2)"b"(2) that the normal effective date of this rule 35 days after publication should be waived and the rule be made effective on October 25, 1990, as it confers a benefit upon the public to insure speedy and uniform compliance with the department's solid waste demonstration grants projects applications.

The Commission adopted this rule at a regular meeting on October 15, 1990. This rule implements Iowa Code sections 455B.301A and 455E.9.

ITEM 1. Amend rule 209.9 (455B, 455E) as follows:

567--209.79(455B,455E) Project award. Projects will be awarded based on the following criteria. The-department-will-determine-the-relative-value-of-each of-these-factors-in-deciding-which-projects-will-receive-funding. Not all of the criteria will apply to each proposal. Therefore, applications will be scored on the percentage of the available points from criteria that apply to the proposal. The criteria and their point value include:

209.9(1) Planning and management ability. Evaluation of the planning efforts and management ability of the project personnel (20 points);

209.9(2) Transferability of the project. The extent to which the results of this project will prove valuable to other Iowa communities or industries considering the implementation of a similar project (15 points);

209.9(3) Cost-share by applicant (10 points):

- a. An applicant for a grant shall agree to provide a minimum cost-share of local funds toward the cost of the project:
 - (1) Projects for volume reduction at the source -- 35%;
 - 2) Projects for recycling and reuse -- 50%;
 - (3) Projects for combustion with energy recovery -- 60%;
 - (4) Projects for combustion without energy recovery -- 75%;
- b. An applicant is eligible for a maximum grant of \$300,000 and shall not be eligible to receive further grant funds until the ending date of the last grant contract obtained through this program.
- 209.9(4). Public education. The effectiveness of the proposed education program, where applicable, will be considered (10 points).
- 209.9(5) Percentage of municipal solid waste diverted from the landfill and how soon the project will begin affecting the waste stream (10 points),
- 209.9(6) Extent to which the project incorporates and reflects the hierarchy of waste management priorities of the state solid waste management policy (20 points);

209.9(7) Consistency with local and regional solid waste planning efforts including a commitment to a consistent volume of solid waste for the project or a plan to obtain a consistent volume of solid waste (20 points);

If a project is not part of a comprehensive plan required under 455B.306, the department may request a letter explaining how this project will or will not potentially impact the comprehensive planning process and, if there is an impact, the department may request a schedule for including the project in the appropriate comprehensive plan or plans.

209.9(8) Documentation that a market analysis has been completed for recyclable goods and energy markets (20 points). When energy projects are being considered for funding, the following additional criteria will be

included:

a. Recovery of noncombustibles;

Implementation of recycling or source separation projects, or both, in conjunction with the energy recovery project. Projects involving incineration shall separate from the materials to be incinerated recyclable and reusable materials, materials which will result in uncontrolled toxic or hazardous air emissions when burned, and hazardous or toxic materials which are not rendered nonhazardous or nontoxic by incineration. The removed materials shall be recycled, reused, or treated and disposed in a manner approved by the department. Methods to implement such a program shall be included.

209.9(9). The geographic distribution of current and proposed grants, population of proposed service area, or proportion of contribution of tonnage

fees (15 points).

Adopted this	day	of	October,	1990.
--------------	-----	----	----------	-------

Larry J. Wilson, Director, Director

Director Wilson explained that the Administrative Rules Review Committee requested that these rules be filed as an emergency and staff is following through with their desire.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve Emergency Adopted Rule--Chapter 209, Solid Waste Demonstration Grants. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

FINAL RULE--CHAPTER 61, WATER QUALITY STANDARDS - HUMAN HEALTH CRITERIA

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Commission is requested to approve the Final Rule for revisions to Chapter 567-60, 61 Water Quality Standards - Human Health Criteria. The Final Rule reflects the numerical values for the human health criteria for 26 parameters which are to provide protection associated with the consumption of fish and shellfish from Iowa waters. Three public hearings were held at which only two written comments were received. The comments principally opposed the adoption of the numerical value for Arsenic. Commentators indicated that they felt the proposed numerical criterion was too stringent and costly to comply with. The attached Responsiveness Summary presents the comments and provides a discussion of the comments. No changes are recommended to the rules as proposed.

A copy of the Economic Impact Statement and the Responsiveness Summary is on file in the department's Records Center.

(Rule is shown on the following 5 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Adopted and Filed

Pursuant to the authority of Iowa Code sections 455B.105 and 455B.173, the Environmental Protection Commission for the Department of Natural Resources amends Chapter 61, "Water Quality

Standards", Iowa Administrative Code.

The recent revisions which amended the numerical and narrative criteria of the water quality standards effective May 23, 1990, included new aquatic use protection designations for Iowa's various water bodies. However, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) new emphasis to encourage States to adopt instream criteria to protect human health, the Department of Natural Resources proposes to include human health criteria applicable to Iowa waters. The criteria would protect citizens from elevated levels of toxic pollutants due to the consumption of fish and shell fish caught in Iowa waters.

A Notice of Intended Action was published on only 11, 1990, as ARC 1054A reflecting the proposed changes to include human health criteria. Public hearings were held on August 1, 2, and 7, 1990.

The amendments to include human health criteria were adopted on October 16, 1990. No modifications to the proposed rules as published under the notice have been made. Only two written comments were received. These comments have been addressed in a responsiveness summary available from the department. This summary is on file with the Administrative Rules Cocrdinator. An economic assessment was prepared for the human health criteria. This assessment is available from the department and is on file with the Administrative Rules Coordinator.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code chapter 455B, division III, part I. These rules become effective December 19, 1990, after filing with the Administrative Rules Coordinator and

publication in the Iowa Administrative Bulletin.

ITEM 1. Amend rule 60.2 (455B) by adding the following new

definition in alphabetical order:

"Human health criteria" means that level of pollution which, in the case of noncarcinogens, prevents adverse health effects in humans, and in the case of carcinogens, represents a level of incremental cancer risk of 1 in 100,000. The numerical criteria are based on the human consumption of an average of 6.5 grams of fish and shellfish per day by a 70 kilogram individual for a life span of 70 years.

ITEM 2. Amend subrule 61.2(1), second unnumbered paragraph, as

follows:

Certain of the criteria are in narrative form without In applying such narrative standards, numeric limitations. decisions will be based on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's methodology described in "Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and their Uses," (1985) and on the rationale contained in "Quality Criteria for Water," published by the U.S. (1977),as updated Protection Agency Environmental (of the Act) Ambient Water Quality supplemental Section 304 To provide human health criteria for Criteria documents. parameters not having numerical values listed in 61.3(3) Table 1, the required criteria will be based on the rationale contained in these EPA criteria documents. The human health criterion considered will be the value associated with the consumption of fish flesh and a risk factor of 10 for carcinogenic parameters. For noncarcinogenic parameters, the recommended EPA criterion will be selected. For Class C water, the EPA criteria for fish water consumption will be selected using the considerations for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic parameters as noted above.

Amend 61.2(4), introductory paragraph, to ITEM 3.

follows:

are zones. Mixing Regulatory mixing 61.2(4) recognized as being necessary for the initial assimilation of point source discharges which have received the required degree of treatment or control. Mixing zones shall not be used for, or considered as, a substitute for minimum treatment technology The objective of establishing required by subrule 61.2(3). mixing zones is to provide a means of control over the placement and emission of point source discharges so as to minimize environmental impacts. Waters within a mixing zone shall meet the general water quality criteria of subrule 61.3(2). Waters at and beyond mixing zone boundaries shall meet all applicable standards and the chronic and human health criteria of subrule 61.3(3) Tables 1 and 3 for that particular water body or segment. A zone of initial dilution may be established within the mixing zone beyond which the applicable standards and the acute criteria For waters designated under of subrule 61.3(3) will be met. subrule 61.3(5), any parameter not included in Tables 1, 2 and 3 of subrule 61.3(3), the chronic and human health criteria, and the acute criterion calculated following subrule 61.2(1), will be met at the mixing zone and zone of initial dilution boundaries respectively.

123

ITEM 4. Amend 61.2(4) "c," to read as follows:

c. The stream flow used in determining wasteload allocations to assure compliance with the chronic and human health criteria of Table 1 will be that value contained at the boundary of the allowed mixing zone. This stream flow may not exceed the following percentages of the seven-day, ten-year low stream flow as measured at the point of discharge:

(1) Twenty-five percent for interior streams and rivers,

and the Big Sioux and Des Moines Rivers.

(2) Ten percent for the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers. The stream flow in the zone of initial dilution used in determining effluent limits to assure compliance with the acute criteria of Table 1 may not exceed 10 percent of the calculated flow associated with the mixing zone.

ITEM 5. Amend 61.3(3)"b"(3) by adding the following paragraph:

3. The human health criteria represents the level of protection necessary, in the case of non-carcinogens, to prevent adverse health effects in humans, and in the case of carcinogens, to prevent a level of incremental cancer risk not exceeding 1 in 100,000. Instream concentrations in excess of the human health criteria will be allowed only within the boundaries of the mixing zone.

ITEM 6. Amend 61.3(3) Table 1 as follows:

Table 1: Criteria For Chemical Constituents (all values as micrograms per liter unless noted otherwise). Human health criteria for carcinogenic parameters noted below were based on the prevention of an incremental cancer risk of 1 in 100,000. For parameters not having a noted human health criteria, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has not developed final national guideline values. For noncarcinogenic parameters, the recommended EPA criterion was selected. For Class C water, the EPA criteria for fish and water consumption were selected using the same considerations for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic parameters as noted above.

Use Designations

1						
Parameter		B(CW)	B(WW)	B(LR)	B(LW)	С
Arsenic (III)	Chronic	200	200	1000	200	
	Acute	360	360	1800	360	50
	Human Health	1.4	1.4		1.4	
Barium	Acute	*,		***		1000
Benzene	Acute					5
	<u> Human Health</u>	712.8	712.8	***	712.8	
Cadmium	Chronic	1	15	25	1	
A CO PARTY WE WAS CO.	Acute	4	75	100	4	10
	<u> Human Health</u> +	168	<u>168</u>		<u>168</u>	

Use Designations

			•			
Parameter	. •	B(CW)	B(WW)	B(LR)	B(LW)	С
Carbon Tetra-	Acute					5
chloride	<u>Human Health</u>	44.2	44.2		44.2	
Chloride	Acute					250*
Chlordane	Chronic	.004	.004	.15	.004	
	Acute	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
	<u> Human Health</u>	.006	.006		<u>.006</u>	<u>.006</u>
<u>Chlorobenzene</u>	<u> Human Health+</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>		<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>
Chromium (VI)	Chronic	40	40	200	10	
(,-)	Acute	60	60	300	15	50
	<u>Human Health+</u>		<u>3365</u>		<u> 3365</u>	-
Connor	Chronic	20	35	55	10	
Copper	Acute	30	60	90	20	1000
					<u> 1000</u>	
	<u> Human Health+</u>	1000	<u>1000</u>		1000	ā
Cyanide	Chronic	5	10	10	10	
0,1	Acute	20	45	45	45	20
4,4'- DDT++	<u>Human Health</u>	.0059	.0059		.0059	.0059
para-Dichloro-	Acute					<i>75</i>
benzene	Human Health+	2.6*	2.6*		2.6*	
2 2 Dieblese						
<u>3,3-Dichloro</u> <u>benzidine</u>	<u>Human Health</u>	.2	<u>.2</u>	· •••	<u>.2</u>	<u>.1</u>
1,2-Dichloro-	Acute					<i>5</i>
ethane	<u>Human Health</u>	<u>986</u>	<u>986</u>		<u>986</u>	
1,1-Dichloro-	Acute	-	***		-	7
ethylene	<u> Human Health</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>32</u>		<u>32</u>	-
<u>Dieldrin</u>	<u> Human Health</u>	.0014	.0014		.0014	.0014
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	Human Health.	00014***	.00014***	***	.00014***	.00013***
Fluoride	Acute		euro eten			2000
<u>Heptachlor</u>	<u> Human Health</u>	.002	.002	***	.002	.002
Lead	Chronic	3	30	80	3	
	Acute	80	200	750	80	50
		.*;	·l			

Use Designations

				-		
Parameter	-	B(CW)	B(WW)	B(LR)	B(LW)	C
Mercury (II)	Chronic	.05	.05	.25	.05	
	Acute	6.5	6.5	10	2.5	2
	<u> Human Health+</u>	.15	<u>.15</u>		<u>.15</u>	
Nitrate as NO3	Acute		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			45*
Nickel	Chronic	350	650	750	150	
	Acute	3250	5800	7000	1400	
	<u>Human Health+</u>	<u>4584</u>	<u>4584</u>		<u>4584</u>	<u>607</u>
Polychlorinated	Chronic	.014	.014	1	.014	-
Biphenyls (PCBs)	Acute	2	2	2	2	
	Human Health	.0004	.0004		<u>.0004</u>	.0004
Polynuclear	Chronic	.03	.03	3	.03	
Aromatic Hydro-	Acute	30	30	30	30	
Carbons (PAHs)**	<u> Human Health</u>	<u>.3</u>	<u>.3</u>		<u>.3</u>	.028
Phenols	Chronic	50	50	50	50	: .
	Acute	1000	2500	2500	1000	50
	<u> Human Health+</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>300</u>		<u>300</u>	
Selenium (VI)	Chronic	10	125	125	70	
	Acute	15	175	175	100	10
Silver	Chronic	2.5	8.5	8.5	.35	
	Acute	30	100	100	4	50
Toluene	Chronic	50	50	150	50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Acute	2500	2500	7500	2500	
	<u>Human Health+</u>	<u>300*</u>	<u>300*</u>		<u>300*</u>	<u>101*</u>
Total Residual	Chronic	10	20	25	10	
Chlorine (TRC)	Acute	<i>35</i>	35	40	20	
1,1,1-Trichloro-						
ethane	Acute			·		200
	<u> Human Health+</u>	<u>173*</u>	<u>173*</u>	-	<u>173*</u>	
Trichloroethylene	Chronic	80	80	80	80	
(TCE)	Acute	4000	4000	4000	4000	5
(102)	<u>Human Health</u>	<u>807</u>	<u>807</u>		<u>807</u>	
Vinyl Chloride	Acute					2
. Iny I chicitae	<u>Human Health</u>	<u>5250</u>	<u>5250</u>		<u>5250</u>	-
Zinc	Chronic	200	450	2000	100	***
BING	Acute	220	500	2200	110	1000
	Human Health+				5000	
		4				

^{*}expressed as milligrams/liter

to include the sum of known and suspected carcinogenic PAHs *expressed as nanograms/liter +Represents the noncarcinogenic human health parameters. ++The concentrations of 4,4'- DDT or its metabolites; 4,4'- DDE and 4,4'- DDD, individually shall not exceed the human health criterion.

Stokes explained that these rules are a requirement by the federal government that the state set standards addressing human health criteria. He noted that a representative from Solvay Animal Health, Inc. was present to discuss their point of view.

APPOINTMENT - RUSSELL SMITH AND NEAL LEIPZIG

The following written statement was presented by Russell Smith, Director of Chemical Operations for Solvay and Neil Leipzig, Environmental and Safety Manager for Solvay.

(Statement is shown on the following 7 pages)

Presentation to Iowa Environmental Protection Commission during their regularly scheduled October 15, 1990 Meeting.

Final Rule - Chapter 567-60, 61
Water Quality Standards: Human Health Criteria,
Arsenic III in Class B (WW) Water Use Designation.

by: Solvay Animal Health, Inc. represented by:

Russell C. Smith, Director of Chemical Operations
Neil Leipzig, Environmental and Safety Manager

and comments on behalf of Solvay by Bob Monroe,
Mayor of Charles City.

Copies: Commission Members
Larry Wilson
Allan Stokes

On behalf of Solvay Animal Health (Solvay), we want to thank the Department and Commission for the opportunity to be on today's agenda. We are here to comment on the Department's proposed adoption of Water Quality Standards, Human Health Criteria to provide protection associated with the consumption of fish and shellfish from Iowa Waters. Specifically, our comments address the proposed Arsenic III standard for Class B (WW) water use designation.

The Department's responsiveness summary concludes that these new standards will affect only four industrial facilities and that only two affected parties submitted written comments. We believe that once the Department completes characterization of all waters in the State and identifies arsenic contributions from other discharges, this ruling will have far more impact than what is identified today. The fact that only two parties commented should not minimize the need to critically look at the impact of this rule making.

Solvay strongly opposes adoption of the proposed 1.4 parts per billion (ppb) Human Health criteria for Arsenic III. Solvay submitted written comments following the public hearings stating our reasons for opposing the standard -- reference Solvay's April 17, 1990 letter to Ralph Turkle of the IDNR.

There are two reasons why we oppose adoption:

- 1. Adequate technical/scientific justification for lowering arsenic standards for Iowa Streams to 1.4 ppb simply doesn't exist.
- 2. Adoption of the arsenic standard will put an end to Solvay's production and marketing of Roxarsone, an animal health product that has been the cornerstone of our animal health company and the broiler (poultry) industry for 40+ years.

Solvay is an animal health company. Our charter is to research, produce and market products that improve the health of animals and the economics of the producer. The very product we would be forced to discontinue meets this criteria.

Roxarsone is used to prevent Coccidiosis in poultry and to improve feed efficiency and weight gain. The product is marketed to broiler producers worldwide. Solvay is the only U.S. producer of this product. The product is used annually to treat 3 billion broilers in the U.S. and 2 billion outside the U.S.

The economic benefits of the Roxarsone product are significant, not only to Solvay but to the broiler producers and the consumer. Annual sales revenue to Solvay amount to \$8 million. Economic benefits to the broiler producer and consumers are estimated at \$55 million. This is due to improved feed efficiency. The Department estimated an economic benefit for recreational fishing on the Cedar River as a result of the 1.4 ppb proposed standard at \$30,000.

Discontinuance of this product would leave a void in the marketplace. Although there is a foreign producer, they appear to be at capacity and could not meet market demands. Even if they could after a number of years, do we want to rely on foreign production/supply.

The Department has estimated it would cost Solvay \$1.35 million in capital investment and \$343,000 in yearly operating costs to meet the standard. These calculations used a lot of assumptions including assuming arsenic can be removed by ion exchange. Solvay's estimate of capital and operating costs for ion exchange treatment based on actual lab data show investment in the range of \$27 million with annual operating costs exceeding \$1.5 million. This investment would never be made and the production of Roxarsone would be discontinued.

Solvay's current treatment system for arsenic removal from wastewater achieves a removal efficiency of 99.99%. It is considered by EPA to be Best Available Technology following their participation in an on-site performance study. This treatment allows Solvay the ability to meet a drinking water standard for arsenic of 50 ppb in the Cedar River.

The Department's proposed rule making simply adopts EPA's recommended standard for arsenic. The Department doesn't have to adopt this standard. EPA's scientific justification is not adequate and the Department should not take the easy way out, but conduct their own studies and rule on real data relevant to Iowa rivers and aquatic life. Only three states, Delaware, Wisconsin and Minnesota have established human health criteria for arsenic based on fish and shellfish consumptions. All have established the level at 50 ppb, the Safe Drinking Water Act value. As indicated earlier, Solvay could meet this standard.

Solvay retained Environ Corporation, Arlington, Virginia, a nationally recognized environmental consulting firm and expert in arsenic toxicology, to analyze the assumptions made by the USEPA in establishing the arsenic standard. Environ concluded the 1.4 ppb proposed arsenic standard to be unrealistic. It stated a more reasonable standard to be 50 ppb, corresponding to the EPA Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water supplies under the Safe Drinking Water Act. This conclusion appears to support the Delaware, Wisconsin and Minnesota standards of 50 ppb.

Arsenic is found nearly everywhere in the world's environment. It is a natural element ranking as the 20th most abundant element in the earth's crust. It is present in many surface waters. Long term ingestion of inorganic arsenic in drinking water has been linked to human skin cancer. This is based upon non-U.S. epidemiological data collected in Taiwan. The accuracy of this data is suspect as other environmental conditions have been claimed as contributing factors.

The proposed regulation is intended only to apply to a single form of arsenic; Arsenic III. The proposed standard is 1.4 ppb. Solvay believes current best available analytical methodology for Arsenic III can detect down to 100 ppb. In the absence of suitable Arsenic III analytical methodology, the regulation applies to Total Arsenic. Total Arsenic can include inorganic arsenic such as Arsenic III and Arsenic V as well as organically-bound arsenic. Total arsenic

analytical methodology can currently detect down to 10 ppb. It appears the proposed water quality standard of 1.4 ppb exceeds the capability of the analytical methods to verify compliance. The inability to differentiate arsenic forms also creates an unfair penalty to a discharger such as Solvay. It is well known that Arsenic III is highly toxic while Arsenic V and organically-bound arsenic are far less toxic. Simply substituting Total Arsenic for Arsenic III does not consider the health effect differences of the arsenic species.

The proposed standard for arsenic of 1.4 ppb to protect human health solely on the basis of fish and shellfish consumption is extremely conservative when compared to the long established Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for arsenic in drinking water of 50 ppb established under the Safe Drinking Water Act and recognized by the World Health Organization. A person can safely consume a maximum total of 36.5 mg of arsenic per year under the Safe Drinking Water Act. The proposed 1.4 ppb water quality standard would allow an arsenic consumption level of 0.15 mg per year. A person would need to consume 1300 lbs. of fish/shellfish annually under the proposed 1.4 ppb water quality standard to consume the amount of arsenic equivalent to the amount permitted for safe consumption under the Safe Drinking Water Act. This 1300 lbs. is equivalent to an individual's yearly consumption of 12,000 freshwater clams at a rate of 33 per day. If EPA would consider arsenic consumption of fish/shellfish on an equivalent basis to the already safe maximum level of 50 ppb in drinking water supplies, a human health based water quality arsenic standard of 350 ppb would result. This standard is very similar to the recently enacted acute/chronic aquatic toxicity water quality standards of 360/200 ppb in Iowa Class B (WW) use designation.

EPA utilized a bioconcentration factor to derive the 1.4 ppb human health based arsenic water quality criteria. This factor represents a scientific estimate of the concentrating effect of fish/shellfish towards elements such as arsenic in the surrounding water body. EPA's bioconcentration factor for arsenic is 44; that is if the water body arsenic concentration is 1.4 ppb, the

arsenic concentration in a fish/shellfish will approximate 62 ppb. EPA based their bioconcentration factor on very limited data. A single bluegill and a single oyster were reportedly used to derive the 44 bioconcentration factor. Six additional studies showed no such bioconcentration. The EPA bioconcentration factor does not take into account the potential for a "gradient" effect. "Gradient" refers to the relationship between a water body's arsenic concentration and the arsenic level within fish/shellfish; Is there a maximum level of arsenic which can accumulate in a fish/shellfish irrespective of the water-body concentration?, Will levels of arsenic in fish/shellfish increase as arsenic concentration in the water-body increases? More scientific information on this relationship is needed prior to the determination of a bioconcentration factor, and the subsequent generation of a water quality standard. Furthermore, this "gradient" relationship needs to be water body specific. Solvay recommends the Cedar River aquatic community be studied to determine a water-body specific bioconcentration factor.

The reported average level of arsenic in seafood such as fish is 1.47 mg/kg, in oysters 0.08 mg/kg, in soft shell clams 0.14 mg/kg, and in shrimp 0.67 mg/ kg. It is generally accepted, seafood contains 50 times the quantity of bioaccumulated arsenic than do fresh water species. It is quite permissible for a person to consume an unlimited quantity of seafood containing these quantities of naturally occurring arsenic while not permissible under the proposed 1.4 ppb water quality standard to consume fish/shellfish from the Cedar River containing more than 0.062 mg/kg. A person would need only consume 7 shrimp in a year to consume the amount of arsenic allowed under the proposed arsenic standard. Since persons generally consume more seafood and, consequently, more arsenic than allowed by the proposed 1.4 ppb arsenic water quality standard, why hasn't a significant incidence of skin cancer been observed among "seafood" eaters? The arsenic present in these crustaceans and fish according to an EPA publication "Health Assessment Document for Arsenic", April 1980 appears to be a complex organic arsenic form. Such compounds are extensively absorbed and rapidly excreted by man and, as such, do not appear to pose a particular health threat to man. This publication further indicates it is not appropriate to consider high human arsenic intake from diets heavy in "seafood" arsenic as representing relevant exposure inputs for estimating the likely toxicity potential associated with exposure to inorganic arsenic. This factor appears not to have been considered by EPA in its use of the 44 bioconcentration factor. The State of Maryland, as example, recently declined to set a human health criteria for arsenic based solely on fish/shellfish consumption because the EPA bioconcentration factor is likely too conservative for the overall consumption by its residents of freshwater and estuarine fish and shellfish. An additional factor, not apparently considered, is the fact, arsenic will primarily bioconcentrate in the livers and kidneys of fish and digestive tract of shellfish. Typically, these are removed prior to consumption of the edible portions of the fish/shellfish. Consideration of this factor in EPA's establishment of the 44 bioconcentration factor is unclear.

Solvay requests the Commission reject the proposed 1.4 ppb water quality standard for Arsenic III. Solvay urges the Commission adopt a standard based upon sound technical information and its appropriateness to Iowa rivers and communities. Solvay believes such a standard is the 50 ppb level long established under the Safe Drinking Water Act and the World Health Organization.

Attached are comments by Bob Monroe, Mayor of Charles City.

APPOINTMENT - ROBERT MONROE

The following written statement was presented by Robert Monroe, Mayor of Charles City.

"I am Robert Monroe, Mayor of Charles City, Iowa and have served in that capacity since January 1st, 1988. With the exception of attending and graduating from Iowa University and four years of service as a pilot in the Army Air Force from 1941 through 1945, Charles City has been my home. I knew Dr. Joseph Salsbury when he first came to Charles City and started making pills and medicines in his basement.....and gradually expanded to other buildings as his products became famous and he needed the extra production.....today that industry has been and still is one of the largest industries in Charles City. Salsbury Labs is now known as SOLVAY, and they continue to expand in our city.....they are one of the keys to the economy of Charles City.

SOLVAY and Charles City have always cooperated with each other and have respected the needs, sharing in the costs of new improvements at their plant facilities. We worked with them when they installed their own waste water treatment facility, enabling them to comply with existing water quality standards.

Charles City is now finally improving economically.....a more positive attitude among our people, after suffering some setbacks the past two and a half years, when White Farm Equipment moved the tractor assembly and paint lines to Ohio.....then in the end of 1988, SOLVAY announced that the Administrative and Reasearch Divisions of their company would be moving to a site near the Twin Cities in Minnesota to recruit the needed people in research; but at the same time they were making plans for additions to their production in Charles City, which they have done and are continuing to do.

SOLVAY INDUSTRIES is extremely necessary to the economy of Charles City....they are providers of quality jobs in Charles City....the loss of 23 to 25 jobs, paying \$10.00 to \$12.00 per hour would remove around one-half million dollars of payroll from our local economy. At a time when the State of Iowa is struggling to increase the number of quality jobs, we need to be more sensitive to retaining the jobs we currently possess. In the past SOLVAY has shown a willingness to cooperate with all agencies in regard to proteciton of our environment.....and again they are willing to meet recognized and tested standards, but they cannot reduce chemicals in their waste water treatment plant to standards that are not economical or practical to meet.

The health and welfare in the environment of people in and around Charles City and areas downstream on the Cedar River are the first consideration of our City and County governments and above all the SOLVAY Company. Since 1945, when I returned to live in

Charles City, I honestly do not feel that anyone believes that their lives have been threatened by SOLVAY Corporation's operation.

The issue at hand is not are we for a quality environment, but the issue is that any environmental regulation must have verifiable justification as to its need and prior to implementation....all the ramifications as a result of these regulations must be known. How can we accept anything less? From the discussion today I don't believe that the Commission has received all of the facts at hand to make a good environmental decision.

On behalf of the people in Charles City, I want to thank you for your time and do appreciate your efforts in helping our environment."

A lengthy discussion followed regarding research costs and lack of department personnel and funds to do same.

Mr. Stokes advised the Commission of the following options for adopting the rules: 1) adopt rules as proposed; 2) adopt rules without setting a human health criteria for Arsenic; or 3) adopt rules with 50 ppb Arsenic as proposed by Solvay and adopted by three other states. He added that in option 2 and 3 the EPA could say it is wrong and adopt a standard for us.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked if there could be a fourth option that direction be given the department to carry out some scientific evidence gathering of their own in terms of that specific bioconcentration in a particular waterway.

Mr. Stokes replied that it would be a department responsibility to adopt water quality standards that are statewide, and if a particular discharger disagrees with those standards they can do site specific studies to document that the department is wrong and there should be something different for that facility.

Richard Hartsuck commented that the reasonable thing to do is to change to MCL (50 ppb Arsenic) as three other states have done, adding it is the only responsible course to take.

Discussion followed.

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann to approve Final Rule---Chapter 61, Water Quality Standards - Human Health Criteria with an alteration in the Arsenic standard to 50 ppb until there is either EPA directive or greater scientific information on which to review it. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION--CHAPTERS 70, 71, 72, 73, 74 AND 75, FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Department is proposing to amend Chapters 70, 71, 72, 73,74 and 75 of the administrative rules which relate to floodplain development. Commission approval of the attached Notice of Intended Action is requested.

The proposed amendments would:

Eliminate milldams as a separate class of dam. Legislation proposed by the Commission and passed last session repealed a portion of Iowa Code Chapter 469 relating to Department regulation and inspection of milldams. Such dams are now regulated under the provisions of Iowa Code Chapter 455B. The rule amendments would bring the administrative rules in line with the statutory changes.

Make minor changes in the floodplain permit review procedure to streamline the review process for routine, non-controversial projects.

Change the threshold limits (i.e., when approval is needed) for small dams within and near cities and add a minimum dam height criterion for other dams.

Exempt from permit requirements bridges constructed in conjunction with channel changes in rural areas on streams draining less than 100 square miles. The threshold limits for channel changes on these streams would not be changed.

Exempt from permit requirements buried pipeline stream crossings which do not change the natural contours of the channel and floodplain.

Make minor "housekeeping" changes (dates, names, etc.) to the rules and Technical Bulletin No. 16, Design Guidelines for Iowa Dams.

(Rule is shown on the following 7 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to the authority of Iowa Code Section 455B.278, the Environmental Protection Commission intends to amend Chapter 70, "Scope of Title Definitions - Forms - Rules of Practice," Chapter 71, "Flood Plain or Floodway Development - When Approval is Needed," Chapter 72, "Criteria for Approval," Chapter 73, "Use, Maintenance, Removal, Inspections, and Safety of Dams," Chapter 74, "Milldams and Races," and Chapter 75, "Management of Specific Flood Plain Areas."

The proposed rule amendments are intended to reflect legislation which repealed the regulatory provisions of Iowa Code Chapter 469 (Dams), to streamline and clarify the flood plain permitting procedure, and to make various other minor changes in the Commission's flood plain rules and the department's Technical Bulletin No. 16, "Design Criteria and Guidelines for Iowa Dams."

The Acts of the 73rd General Assembly, 1990 Regular Section, House File 2296, repealed portions of Iowa Code Chapter 469 relating to the regulation of milldams by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources. In effect, the legislation eliminated milldams as a separate class of dam and placed the regulatory control over such dams under the provisions of Iowa Code Section 455B.275, the section of the Iowa Code which gives the Department of Natural Resources regulatory authority over all other types of flood plain development including non-milldams. The present Commission rules are in conflict with these statutory changes. The proposed rule amendments would rescind Chapter 74, "Milldams and Races" and eliminate references to milldams in other Chapters.

A review of the present flood plain permitting procedures showed that changes in the present rules were justified to eliminate unnecessary administrative procedures and to change the administrative thresholds which govern when approval is needed for various categories of projects. The proposed amendments would eliminate the requirement that a project summary report be prepared for all projects prior to the issuance of a flood plain development permit, thus eliminating a time-consuming step which is not needed for routine projects. Project summary reports would still be prepared for complex or controversial projects and for projects being recommended for disapproval.

Additionally, the proposed amendments would change the administrative thresholds for dams, bridges and pipeline crossings. Approval is currently required for very small dams in incorporated areas and within two miles thereof. It is being proposed that the size limitations for this category be changed and that the two mile distance be changed to one mile, thereby exempting from regulation those very small dams that have essentially no potential public impact should they fail. For other categories of dams, a minimum height threshold is being added to the existing storage thresholds.

Bridges associated with channel changes in rural areas would no longer be regulated if the stream drains less than 100 square miles. The channel change portion of such projects would, however, remain subject to the existing approval requirements.

Buried pipeline crossings which would not result in changes to the flood plain topography would also no longer be regulated. Other regulations and design standards for buried pipelines both within and without the department are sufficient to insure that buried pipelines are constructed to minimize the potential for rupture and discharge into the stream.

Other changes being proposed are primarily to clarify existing procedures and update references and are not substantive changes. Included in this category are the changes being made to the department's Technical Bulletin No. 16, Design Guidelines for Iowa Dams, which is adopted by reference.

Any interested person may file written comments or suggestions on the proposed rule amendments through December 12, 1990. Such written comments should be directed to Jack D. Riessen, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand; Des Moines, Iowa 50319. Persons are also invited to present oral or written comments at a public hearing which will be held on December 6, 1990 at 1 p.m. in the fifth floor conference room, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa.

The following amendments are proposed:

ITEM 1. Rule 567--70.1(109, 455B,469), last unnumbered paragraph, is amended to read as follows:

Minimum statewide criteria for most types of flood plain development are listed in Chapter 72. Special requirements for dams are listed in Chapter 73. Requirements-which-apply-only-to-milldams-are-listed-in-Ghapter-74.

ITEM 2. Rule 567--70.3 (17A, 109, 455B, 469) is amended to read as follows:

567--70.3 (17A, 109, 455B, 469) Forms. The following forms are currently in use for flood plain projects.

Form 36: Application for approval of Construction in or on any Floodway or Flood Plain.8/85: 4/87. 542-3234

Form 37; Notification of Completion of Construction. 7/83: 1/87. 542-3017
Form--38:--Temporary--Stream--Grossing--for--Highway--Gonstruction:---7/83:
542-1011

ITEM 3. Rule 567--70.4 (17A, 109, 455B) is rescinded and replaced with the following in lieu thereof:

567--70.4 (17A, 109, 455B). Requesting approval of flood plain development.

70.4(1) Development needing approval. Any development in a floodway or flood plain which exceeds the thresholds in Chapter 71 of these rules and is not otherwise regulated by a department flood plain management order or a department-approved, locally adopted flood plain management ordinance requires a department flood plain development permit.

70.4(2) Applying for a flood plain development permit. Application for a flood plain development permit shall be made on DNR Form 36 or a reasonable facsimile thereof. The application shall be submitted by or on behalf of the person or persons who have or will have responsibility by reason of ownership, lease, or easement for the property on which the project site is located. The application must be signed by the applicant or a duly authorized agent. Completed applications along with supporting information shall be mailed or otherwise delivered to the Flood Plain Management Section, Environmental Protection Division, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wallace State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

70.4(3) Engineering Plans.

a. General requirement of certified plans. An application shall not be considered complete until sufficient engineering plans have been submitted to enable the department to determine whether the project as proposed satisfies applicable criteria. The engineering plans shall contain information specified by the department, including specifications, operation procedures and other information relating to environmental impacts. The engineering

plans and other engineering information shall be certified by a registered professional engineer or, if applicable, a registered land surveyor, as required by Iowa Code chapter 114. Duplicate copies of certified plans are required so that one copy can be returned to the applicant upon approval or disapproval of the application. An additional copy of the certified plans shall be required if the plans are incorporated as part of an approval or disapproval order which is filed with a county recorder.

b. Waiver of submission of certified plans. The department may waive the requirement in paragraph "a" of this subrule that the application for approval of a floodplain project be supported by certified engineering plans by making

one of the following determinations:

(1) Engineering data are not required to determine that the project conforms to all applicable administrative and statutory criteria; or

(2) Adequate engineering data used to evaluate the dimensions and effects of the project were already available to the engineering staff.

70.4(4) Application fee. Reserved. No fee is charged at this time.

70.4(5) Modification of application or plans. Applicants and prospective applicants are encouraged to communicate with the department's staff before submitting plans to identify the data required for review of a project and to discuss project modifications reasonably required to make the project conform to applicable criteria. When staff review of submitted plans discloses need for plan modification to conform to one or more criteria, the applicant is encouraged to submit revised plans.

ITEM 4. Subrules 70.5(1), 70.5(3) and 70.5(4) are amended to read as

follows:

70.5(1) Initial screening of applications. Each application upon receipt shall be promptly evaluated by the department to determine whether adequate information is available to review the project. The department shall then advise the applicant of any additional information required to review the project. If the requested information is not submitted within 60 days of the date the request is made, the department may consider the application withdrawn.

70.5(3) Project investigation. The department shall make an investigation of a project for which an application is submitted. The following are

standard procedures for an investigation of an application.

a. Inspection. Agency personnel may make one or more field inspections of the project site when necessary to obtain information about the project. Submission of the application is deemed to constitute consent by the applicant for the agency staff and its agents to enter upon the land on which the proposed activity or project will be located for the sole purpose of collecting the data necessary to process the application, unless the applicant indicates to the contrary on the application.

b. Hydraulic <u>Technical</u> Review. The department staff shall conduct an appropriate --hydraulic <u>a technical</u> review <u>using appropriate analytical</u> techniques such as application of hydrologic and hydraulic models to determine

the hydraulic effects and impacts of the a proposed project.

c. Solicitation of expert comments on environmental effects. For channel changes or other development which may cause significant adverse effects on the wise use and protection of water resources, water quality, fish, wildlife and recreational facilities or uses, the department shall request comments from the fish and wildlife division of the department or other knowledgeable sources.

d. Summary report of project review. Personnel-assigned-to-project-review shall-prepare-a-summary-report-which-shall-state-whether-the-project-conforms

to-relevant-criteria: The department staff may, at its discretion, prepare a project summary report which summarizes the results of the review with respect to relevant criteria, the analytical methods used in the review and other project information. Typically, project summary reports will be prepared only for those projects for which negative comments have been received from potentially affected landowners, those projects which are not approvable, and those projects which are complex in nature. Project summary reports will not normally be prepared for routine, non-controversial projects.

- e. Notice to landowners who might be affected. Before an application for approval of a levee or channel change is approved the department shall require the applicant to provide the names of the owners and occupants of land located immediately upstream, downstream, and across from the project site, and owners of any other land which the agency staff determines may be adversely affected by the project. The department shall then notify the landowners that the project is under consideration and provide a reasonable opportunity for submission of comments. The requirements of this paragraph also apply to other types of flood plain development when the project review discloses that lands not controlled by the applicant may be adversely affected by the project.
- f. Notice to the applicant that project does not conform to criteria. If the project review discloses that the project violates one or more criteria and that the project should be disapproved, or approved only subject to special conditions to which the applicant has not agreed, the department shall notify the applicant and, when practical, suggest appropriate project modifications. The department shall offer the applicant an opportunity to submit comments before an initial decision is made.

70.5(4) Initial decision by the department.

- a:--Form -of -decision: --The -initial -decision -by -the -department -on -an application-shall-be-an-approval-or-disapproval-order-issued-by-the-chief-of the -flood -plain -branch; -program -operations -division: --The -decision -shall include -the -general -conditions -listed -in -Ghapter -72 -of -these -rules -when appropriate; -and -may -include -one -or -more -special -conditions -when -reasonably necessary -to -implement -relevant -criteria: --The -decision -may -incorporate -by reference -and attachment -the -summary -report -described -in -70:5(3)"d" -of -these rules: --The-decision-shall-include-the-following:
- --1:--Determinations-as-to-whether-the-project-satisfies-all-relevant-criteria not-addressed-in-an-attached-summary-report:
- --2:--An-explanation-of-the-basis-for-imposing-each-special-condition:
- --3:--Explanation--of--the--consideration--given--to--all--comments--submitted pursuant-to-70:5(3)"e"-and-"f"-unless-the-comments-are-adequately-addressed-in an-attached-summary-report:

The initial decision by the department on an application for a flood plain development permit shall be either approval or disapproval. The initial decision shall include a determination whether the project satisfies all relevant criteria and may incorporate by reference and attachment the summary report described in 70.5(3)d of these rules.

- a. Approval. Issuance of a flood plain development permit shall constitute approval of a project. The permit shall include applicable general conditions listed in Chapter 72 of these rules and may include one or more special conditions when reasonably necessary to implement relevant criteria.
- b. Disapproval. A letter to the applicant denying the application shall constitute disapproval of a project.
- c. b. Notice of initial decision. Copies of the initial decision shall be mailed to the applicant, any person who commented pursuant to 70.5(3)"e", and

any other person who has requested a copy of the decision. The decision may be sent by ordinary mail, first class, and shall be accompanied by a certification of the date of mailing. An initial decision becomes the final decision of the department unless a timely notice of appeal is filed in accordance with 70.6(17A, 109, 455B, 469). The final decision may be filed with the appropriate county recorder to give constructive notice to future landowners of any conditions or requirements imposed by the final decision.

ITEM 5. Rule 567--70.6(17A, 109, 455B, 469), implementation paragraph, is

amended to read as follows:

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code Sections 17A.3, 109.15, 455B.105, Chapter 455B, division III, part 4; and-Ghapter-469.

ITEM 6. Subrule 71.1(1) is amended to read as follows:

71.1(1) Rural area - floodway. In rural areas:

a:--Bridges bridges, culverts, road embankments, and temporary stream crossings in or on the floodway of any river of stream draining more than one hundred -(100) square miles. (Note: Channel modifications associated with bridge, culvert or roadway projects may need approval, see 567--71.2(455B)).

b:--Bridges; -culverts; -road -embankments; -and -temporary -stream -crossings involving-channel-changes-in-or-on-the-floodway-of-any-stream-draining-at-the location-of-the-channel-change-between-ten-(10)-and-one-hundred-(100)-square miles-whereby-either-(i)-more-than-five-hundred-(500)-foot-length-of-the existing-channel-is-being-altered-or-(ii)-the-length-of-existing-channel-being altered-is-reduced-by-more-than-twenty-five-percent-(25%):

ITEM 7. Subrule 71.3(1) is amended to read as follows:

71.3(1) Rural areas. In rural areas:

- a. Any dam designed to provide a sum of permanent and temporary storage exceeding fifty (50) acre-feet at the top of dam elevation, or twenty-five (25) acre-feet if the dam does not have an emergency spillway. and which has a height of five feet or more.
- b. Any dam designed to provide permanent storage in excess of eighteen (18) acre-feet: and which has a height of five feet or more.

c. Any dam across a stream draining more than ten (10) square miles.

d. Any dam located within twoone(2) miles of an incorporated municipality, if the dam has a height of ten (10) feet or more, and is designed to temporarily-store-more-than-five-(5)-acre-feet-at-the-top-of-dam-elevation; or impounds-a-stream-draining-two-(2)-or-more-square-miles: stores ten acre-feet or more at the top of dam elevation, and is situated such that the discharge from the dam will flow through the incorporated area.

ITEM 8. Subrule 71.3(5) is rescinded.

- ITEM 9. Rule 567--71.8(455B) is amended to read as follows:
- 567--71.8(455B) Pipeline crossings. Approval by the department for the construction, operation and maintenance of buried pipeline crossings is not required if the natural contours of the channel and flood plain are maintained. (Note: Approval of streambank protection measures associated with pipeline crossings may need approval under 567--71.9(455B)). Approval by the department for the construction, operation, and maintenance of all other pipeline crossings shall be required in the following instances.

71.8(1) Rural areas. In rural areas, pipeline crossings on any river or

stream draining more than one-hundred-(100) square miles.

71.8(2) Urban areas. In urban areas pipeline crossings on any river or stream draining more than two (2) square miles.

ITEM 10. Paragraph 72.3(1)"a" is amended to read:

- a. Required findings. The department will approve the construction, operation or maintenance of a dam or modification of a dam or appurtenant structure only after finding that the project is designed in accordance with accepted engineering practice and methods and in a manner consistent with the applicable criteria and guidelines in department Bulletin No. 16, "Design Criteria and Guidelines for Iowa Dams," July-1983 September 1990.
 - ITEM 11. Subrule 73.1(1) is amended to read as follows:
- 73.1(1) When approval of operating plan required. An operating plan approved by the department shall be required for any dam exceeding the thresholds established in department rule 71.3(455B) and-for-any-milldam if the dam or-milldam has moveable structures which must operate during floods or to release a minimum downstream flow, or the impoundment water level is raised or lowered regularly or periodically.

ITEM 12. Subparagraph 73.1(2)"a"1 is amended to read as follows:

(1) Where operating plan is related to proposed construction. If an operating plan is required for a proposed new dam or because of proposed structural modification of an existing dam, the operating plan will be reviewed as part of the department review of construction plans which are required by Chapter 5070 to 52 72. Approval of the proposed operating plan will be made a part of the department order permit, which approves the construction plans.

ITEM 13. Subrule 73.2(1) is amended to read as follows:

73.2(1) When approval required. A separate approval is required to temporarily or permanently raise or lower the level of water impounded by a dam which exceeds the thresholds of rule 71.3(455B) or-a-milldam--as-defined in-Iowa-Gode-Ghapter-469; unless the raising and lowering has been authorized as part of an approved operation plan. Such approval shall be in the form of a letter authorizing the lowering or raising and may be conditioned upon various requirements.

ITEM 14. Subrule 73.11(1) is amended as follows:

73.11(1) When approval required. Agency approval is required to remove a milldam-of any other dam which exceeds the thresholds in rule 71.3(455B).

ITEM 15. Subrule 73.20(1) is amended to read as follows:

73.20(1) Scope of dam safety inspection program. Dams subject to inspection under these rules are all-milldams; all dams which exceed the thresholds in rule 71.3(455B); and certain preexisting dams as described in 73.21(3). The scope of department staff field inspections normally is limited to visually observable features of dams and their appurtenant structures.

ITEM 16. Subparagraph 73.21(1)"d" 1 is amended to read as follows:

(1) Inspections by department personnel. All major structures shall be inspected by agency staff on a regular basis. The department staff shall notify the dam owner or agent before each inspection. High hazard structures shall be inspected at least once every two (2) years. Major structures not classified as high hazard shall be inspected at least once every five (5) years. Structures in poor condition shall be inspected more frequently until required repairs are completed. Milldams-shall-receive-annual-inspections. Each inspection shall assess the condition of the dam and appurtenant structures and the adequacy of operation and maintenance practices. If warranted, the inspection may include reevaluation of the ability of the dam and appurtenant structures to adequately withstand the hydraulic loadings and pass the appropriate design floods.

ITEM 17. Subparagraph 73.21(2)"c" 3 is rescinded.

ITEM 18. Rule 567--73.22 (109, 455B, 469) is amended to read as follows:

567--73.22 (109, 455B, 469) Duty of dam owner to maintain, investigate, inspect and report. The owner of a dam has a responsibility to maintain the dam and appurtenant structures in a safe condition. The owner should perform regular inspections to identify potential maintenance problems. The owner of a dam which exceeds the thresholds in rule 71.3(455B) or -a-milldam will be required by the department to arrange for performance of engineering investigations when needed to evaluate potential safety problems. In any case where the department determines the need for follow-up inspections, the dam owner may be required to have a qualified person make inspections and prepare written inspection reports at specified intervals.

ITEM 19. The implementation clause of Chapter 73 is amended to read as follows:

The rules in this chapter are intended to implement Iowa Code Sections 109.15, 455B.275, 455B.277, 455B.264, 469:1; 469:10 and 17A.12.

ITEM 20. Chapter 74 is rescinded.

ITEM 21. Subrule 75.1(1), catch phrase, is amended to read as follows:

75.1(1) Regulation of development by issuance of council department flood plain management orders.

Date			· ·	
T	·	17/1	Director	 <u> </u>

Mr. Stokes gave an explanation of the rules.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve Notice of Intended Action--Chapters 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, and 75, Floodplain Development Permits. Seconded by Rozanne King. Motion carried unanimously.

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION--CHAPTER 136, ADOPTING RULE CHANGES MADE IN FEDERAL REGULATIONS (Financial Responsibility for USTs)

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department requests Notice of Intended Action be given for amendments to Chapter 136, "Financial Responsibility for Underground Storage Tanks". The amendments adopt changes made in the federal underground storage financial responsibility regulations by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This

will keep Iowa's rules consistent with the federal regulations as required in section 455B.474 of the Code of Iowa.

The amendments correct errors and add clarification to the current rules. The compliance dates for showing financial responsibility are also being extended one year for petroleum marketers with 13-99 tanks to April 26, 1991 and petroleum marketers with one to twelve tanks and non-marketers to October 26, 1991.

Public hearings are scheduled for December 4, 1990 in the Oakdale Campus Auditorium at the University of Iowa, December 6, 1990 at City Hall in Denison, and December 7, 1990 at the Wallace State Office Building in Des Moines. The deadline for filing comments with the department has been set at December 11, 1990.

(Rule is shown on the following 4 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to the Authority of the Iowa Code section 4558.474, Environmental Protection Commission gives Notice of Intended Action to amend Chapter 136, "Financial Responsibility for Underground Storage Tanks." amendments adopt changes made in the federal underground storage tank regulations by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The majority of the amendments correct errors and add clarification to current rules. The compliance dates for showing financial responsibility are being extended one year for petroleum marketers with thirteen to ninety-nine tanks to April 26, 1991, and petroleum marketers with one to twelve to tanks

and non-marketers to October 26, 1991.

Any interested party may file a written statement of position on the subjects covered by these proposed rules no later than December 11, 1990. These written comments should be directed to Keith Bridson, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034, FAX 515/281-8895. Persons or organizations are invited to present written or oral comments at a public hearing on these proposed rules which will be neld: December 4, 1990 at 11:00 a.m. in the Community Room, City Hall, Denison, Iowa; December 6, 1990 at 11:00 a.m. in the Auditorium, Oakdale Campus, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; and December 7, 1990 at 1:00 p.m. in the east half, fourth floor conference room, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa code section 455B.424.

ITEM 2. Amend subrule 136.2(4) as follows:

Amend rule 567 -136.3(455B) Definition of Terms by adding the ITEM 3.

following new definition.

ITEM 4. Amend subparagraph 136.8(2)"a"(1) as follows:

1. This endorsement certifies that the policy to which the endorsement is attached provides liability insurance covering the following underground storage tanks:

[List the number of tanks at each facility and the name(s) and address(es) of the facility(ies) where the tanks are located. If more than one instrument is used to assure different tanks at any one facility, for each tank covered by this instrument, list the tank identification number provided in the notification submitted pursuant to subrule 567--135.3(3) and the name and address of the facility.]

for [insert: "taking corrective action" and /or "compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by" either "sudden accidental releases" or nonsudden accidental releases" or "accidental --releases" "accidental release; in accordance with and subject to the limits of

ITEM 1. Amend subrule 136.2(3) as follows:

^{136.2(3)} All petroleum marketing firms owning 13-99 USTs at more than one facility: April-26;-1990 April 26,1991.

^{136.2(4)} All petroleum UST owners not described in subrules 136.2(1) to 136.2(3), including all local government entities; October -26, -1990 October 26, 1991.

[&]quot;Termination" under 136.8(2)"a" and "b" means only those changes that could result in a gap in coverage as where the insured has not obtained substitute coverage or has obtained substitute coverage with a different retroactive date than the retroactive date of the original policy date for compliance established in rule 136.2(455B).

liability, exclusions, conditions, and other terms of the policy"; if coverage is different for different tanks or locations, indicate the type of coverage applicable to each tank or location] arising from operating the underground

storage tank(s) identified above.

The limits of liability are [insert the dollar amount of the "each occurrence" and "annual aggregate" limits of the Insurer's or Group's liability; if the amount of coverage is different for different types of coverage or for different underground storage tanks or locations, indicate the amount of coverage for each type of coverage and/or for each underground storage tank or location], exclusive of legal defense costs, which are subject to a separate limit under the policy. This coverage is provided under [policy number]. The effective date of this policy is [date].

ITEM 5. Amend "d" in subparagraph 136.8(2)"a"(2) as follows:

d. Cancellation or any termination of the insurance by the ["Insurer" or "Group"] except for non-payment of premium or misrepresentation by the ensured will be effective only upon written notice and only after the expiration of 60 days after a copy of such written notice is received by the insured. Cancellation for non-payment of premium or misrepresentation by the ensured will be effective only upon written notice and only after expiration of a minimum of 10 days after a copy of such written notice is received by the insured.

ITEM 6. Amend paragraph "e" in 136.8(2)"a"(2) as follows:

e. The insurance covers claims for any occurrence that commenced during the term of the policy that is discovered and reported to the ["Insurer" or termination of the policy. The insurance covers claims otherwise covered by the policy that are reported to the ["Insurer" or "Group"] within six months of the effective date of cancellation or non-renewal of the policy except were the new or renewed policy has the same retroactive date or a retroactive date earlier than that of the previous policy, and which arise out of any covered occurrence that commenced after the policy retroactive date, if applicable, and prior to such policy renewal or termination date. Claims reported during such extended reporting period are subject to the terms, conditions, limits, including limits of liability, and exclusions of the policy.

I hereby certify that the wording of this instrument is

identical to the wording in subrule 567--136.8(2) "ENDORSEMENT" and that the ["Insurer" or "Group"] is [licensed to transact business of insurance or eligible to provide insurance as an excess or surplus lines insurer in one or more states].

[Signature of authorized representative of Insurer or Risk

Retention Group

[Name of person signing]

[Title of person signing], Authorized Representative of [name of Insurer or Risk Retention Group]

[Address of Representative]

ITEM 7. Amend subparagraph 136.8(2)"b"(1) as follows:

1. [Name of Insurer or Risk Retention Group], [the "Insurer" or "Group"], except for the non-payment of premium or misrepresentation by the insured, as identified above, hereby certifies that it has issued liability insurance covering the following underground storage tank(s):

[List the number of tanks at each facility and the name(s) and address(es) of the facility(ies) where the tanks are located. If more than one instrument is used to assure different tanks at any one facility, for each tank covered by this instrument, list the tank identification number provided in the

notification submitted pursuant to subrule 567--135.3(3) and the name and address of the facility.]

for [insert:"taking correction action" and/or "compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by" either "sudden accidental releases" or "nonsudden accidental releases" or "accidental-releases" "accidental release; in accordance with and subject to the limits of liability, exclusions, conditions, and other terms of the policy"; if coverage is different for different tanks or locations, indicate the type of coverage applicable to each tank or location] arising from operating the underground storage tank(s) identified above.

The limits of liability are [insert the dollar amount of the "each occurrence" and "annual aggregate" limits of the Insurer's or Group's liability; if the amount of coverage is different for different types of coverage or for different underground storage tanks or locations, indicate the amount of coverage for each type of coverage and/or for each underground storage tank or location], exclusive of legal defense costs which are subject to a separate limit under the policy. This coverage is provided under [policy number]. The effective date of this policy is [date].

ITEM 8. Amend paragraph "d" in 136.8(2)"b"(2) as follows:

d. Cancellation or any termination of the insurance by the ["Insurer" or "Group"] except for non-payment of premium or misrepresentation by the ensured will be effective only upon written notice and only after the expiration of 60 days after a copy of such written notice is received by the insured. Cancellation for non-payment of premium or misrepresentation by the ensured will be effective only upon written notice and only after expiration of a minimum of 10 days after a copy of such written notice is received by the insured.

ITEM 9. Amend paragraph "e" in 136.8(2)"b"(2) as follows:

e.-The-insurance-covers-claims-for-any-occurrence-that-commenced-during-the term -of -the -policy -that -is -discovered -and -reported -to -the -["Insurer" -or "Group"] -within -six -months -of -the -effective -date -of -the -cancellation -or termination-of-the-policy: The insurance covers claims otherwise covered by the policy that reported to the ["Insured" or "Group"] within six months of the effective date of cancellation or non-renewal of the policy except where the new or renewed policy has the same retroactive date or a retroactive date earlier than that of the prior policy, and which arise out of any covered occurrence that commenced after the policy retroactive date, if applicable, and prior to such policy renewal or termination date. Claims reported during such extended reporting period are subject to the terms, conditions, limits, including limits of liability, and exclusions of the policy.

I hereby certify that the wording of this instrument is

identical to the wording in subrule 567--136.8(2) "CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE" and that the ["Insurer" or "Group"] is [licensed to transact business of insurance or eligible to provide insurance as an excess or surplus lines insurer in one or more states].

[Signature of authorized representative of Insurer or Risk

Retention Group]

[Name of person signing]

[Title of person signing], Authorized Representative of [name of Insurer or Risk Retention Group]

[Address of Representative]

ITEM 10. Rescind paragraph 136.14(1)"b" and replace it with the following: Termination of insurance or risk retention group coverage, except for non-payment or misrepresentation by the insured, or state funded assurance may

not occur until 60 days after the date on which the owner or operator receives notice of termination, as evidenced by the return receipt. Termination for non-payment of the premium or misrepresentation by the insured may not occur until a minimum of 10 days after the date on which the owner or operator receives the notice of termination, as evidenced by the return receipt.

Date

Larry J. Wilson, Director

Mr. Stokes explained the rules and asked approval to take to public hearing.

Motion was made by Richard Hartsuck to approve Notice of Intended Action--Chapter 136, Adopting Rule Changes Made in Federal Regulations. Seconded by William Ehm.

Mike Earley stated that he will abstain from voting as he has a conflict of interest.

Motion carried unanimoasly with the exception of Mike Earley abstaining.

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION--CHAPTER 135, ADOPTING U.S. EPA RULE CHANGE ON ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE EVIDENCING COMPLETE REMEDIATION

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department requests Notice of Intended Action be given for rule amendments to Chapter 135, "Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks". The amendments that adopt changes made to the federal underground storage tank rules correct a rule reference and permits another method of overfill prevention. These changes will keep Iowa's rules consistent with federal rules as required by 455B.474 of the Code of Iowa.

The new subrule, 135.7(9), provides for issuance of a certificate by the department to an underground storage tank owner evidencing completion of a remediation action. Section 455B.304 of the Iowa Code requires rules on issuing the certificate.

Public hearings are scheduled for December 4, 1990 in the Oakdale Campus Auditorium at the University of Iowa, December 6, 1990 at City Hall in Denison, and December 7, 1990 at the Wallace State Office Building in Des Moines. The deadline for filing comments with the department has been set at December 11, 1990.

(Rule is shown on the following two pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to the Authority of the Iowa Code section 455B.474, the Environmental Protection Commission gives Notice of Intended Action to amend Chapter 135, "Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks." The amendments adopt changes made in the federal underground storage tank regulations by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and provides for the issuance of a certificate to the owner of an underground petroleum storage tank evidencing completion of a remediation action by cleaning the site to the then current action standards.

A new method of overfill protection as adopted by the federal regulations is being added to Chapter 135. The proposed rule on issuing a certificate evidencing completion of a remediation mirrors the requirements found in section 4558.304 as amended by House File 2552, Seventy-third General Assembly. The only additions that have been made require that the request for a certificate be made after receiving a letter acknowledging compliance with the action standards from the department, clarification when further remediation can be required, and that the certificate does not constitute a warranty of the property's condition.

Any interested party may file a written statement of position on the subjects covered by these proposed rules no later than December 11, 1990. These written comments should be directed to Keith Bridson, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034, FAX 515/281-8895. Persons or organizations are invited to present written or oral comments at public hearings on these proposed rules which will be held: December 4, 1990 at 11:00 A.M. in the Community Room, City Hall, Denison, Iowa; December 6, 1990 at 11:00 A.M. in the Auditorium, Oakdale Campus, University of Iowa, Iowa City, and December 7, 1990 at 11:00 A.M. in the east half, fourth floor conference room, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa code sections 455B.424 and 455B.304.

ITEM 1. Amend 135.5.(1)"c" by revising the first note immediately after the table entitled "Schedule for Phase-in of Release Detection" as follows:

P = Must begin release detection for all pressurized piping in accordance with 135.5(2)"b"(1)-and-135.5(3)"b"(4):

ITEM 2. Amend 135.3(1)"c"(1)"2" by adding the following paragraph.

^{2.} Overfill prevention equipment that will:
Automatically shut off flow into the tank when the tank is no more than 95% full; or

Alert the transfer operator when the tank is no more than 90% full by restricting the flow into the tank or triggering a high level alarm.; or

Restrict flow 30 minutes prior to overfilling, alert the operator with a high level alarm one minute before overfilling, or automatically shut off the flow into the tank so that none of the fittings located on top of the tank are exposed to product due to overfilling.

ITEM 3. Add the following new subrule 135.7(9).

135.7(9) Certificate of completion for a site remediation. Upon a written request of an underground petroleum storage tank owner, the Department of Natural Resources will issue a certificate to the owner evidencing completion

of a remediation action by cleaning the site to then current action standards. The following conditions apply:

- a. The written request to the department for the certificate must be made after receiving the department's letter acknowledging compliance with current action standards.
- b. The certificate will be issued if the department does not order further remediation work to be performed within ninety days of the department's letter acknowledging compliance with current action standards.
- c. A person issued a certificate shall not be required to perform further remediation solely because action standards are changed at a later date. Further remediation of the contamination could be required if the action standards in place at the time of certificate issuance are again found to be exceeded.
- d. The certificate shall not prevent the department from ordering remediation of a new release or a release of a regulated substance from an pregistered tank.
- e. The certificate may be recorded with the county recorder to evidence completion of a remediation in the chain of title.
- f. The certificate will not constitute a warranty of any kind to any person as to the condition, marketability or value of the described property.

Larry	J.	Wilson.	Director	
		·		
Date				
Date				

Mr. Stokes gave an explanation of the rules.

Discussion followed.

Motion was made by William Ehm to approve Notice of Intended Ac tion---Chapter 135, Adopting U.S. EPA Rule Changes and Rules on Issuance of a Certificate Evidencing Completion of Remediation. Seconded by Nancylee Siebenmann.

Mike Earley stated that he will abstain from voting due to a conflict of interest.

Motion carried unanimously with the exception of Mike Earley abstaining.

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION--CHAPTER 135, DETERMINATION OF INSOLVENCY - UST OWNERS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department requests Notice of Intended Action be given for new subrule 135.7(10) to Chapter 135, "Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks". The new subrule provides a mechanism for determining insolvency of the responsible party when federal LUST Trust Fund money is used for remediation of site contamination. Cost recovery from the responsible party is not required if they are determined to be insolvent.

Public hearings are scheduled for December 4, 1990 in the Oakdale Campus Auditorium at the University Of Iowa, December 6, 1990 at City Hall in Denison, and December 7, 1990 at the Wallace State Office Building in Des Moines. The deadline for filing comments with the department has been set at December 11, 1990.

(Rule is shown on the following page)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intention Action

Pursuant to the Authority of the Iowa Code section 455B.474, the Environmental Protection Commission gives notice of Intended Action to amend Chapter 135, "Technical Standards and corrective Action Requirements for Owners and Operators of Underground Storage Tanks", by adding a new subrule, 135.7(10). The new subrule provides a mechanism for determining if the party responsible for remediation of underground storage tank contamination is insolvent when attempting cost recovery of federal LUST Trust Fund money.

Federal LUST Trust Fund Money is used to remediate sites when the responsible party is recalcitrant, insolvent, or unknown. The department is required to do cost recovery of any money spent from the fund. If the responsible party is determined to be insolvent, cost recovery is not required.

Any interested party may file a written statement of position on the subjects covered by the proposed subrule no later than December 11, 1990. These written comments should directed to Keith Bridson, Department of Natural Avenue, Des Moines, 900 East Grand Resources, 50319-0034, FAX 515/281-8895. Persons or organizations are invited to present written or oral comments at public hearings on these proposed rules which will be held: December 4, 1990, Auditorium, Oakdale Campus , University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; December 6, 1990, Denison Community Room, City Hall, Denison, Iowa; and December 7, 1990, east

Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code section 455B.474.

half, fourth floor conference room, Wallace State Office

Under the authority of 455B.474 the following new subrule to Chapter 567 -- 135(455B) is being adopted.

135.7(10) Determination of Insolvency. When federal LUST Trust Fund money is used to conduct a corrective action, the department is required to recover the cost of corrective action from the responsible parties. Cost recovery is not required if a responsible party is determined to be insolvent.

When solvency must be determined, the department will use "Method for Determining Insolvency of Underground Storage Tank Owners" dated September 4, 1990. The responsible party must submit the information required by the method on a form provided by the department.

Mr. Stokes distributed copies of supporting information relating to the proposed rule and explained same.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve Notice of Intended Action--Chapter 135, Determination of Insolvency - UST Owners. Seconded by Richard Hartsuck.

Mike Earley stated that he will abstain from voting due to a conflict of interest.

Motion carried unanimously with the exception of Mike Earley abstaining.

PROPOSED CONTESTED CASE DECISION--NOVAK - BOOTH - BRAMMER

Mike Murphy, Bureau Chief, Legal Services Bureau, presented the following item.

On February 21, 1990, the department issued Administrative Order 90-UT-01 to Loretta June Novak, Kari and Robert Booth, Jr., and Joe Brammer. That action directed them to submit a plan for investigation and remediation of contamination from a tank site in Sharpsburg, Iowa. That action was appealed by Ms. Novak and the Booths, and the matter proceeded to administrative hearing on August 1, 1990. The Administrative Law Judge issued the attached Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order on September 17, 1990. The decision affirms the Department's Order.

Either party may appeal the Proposed Decision to the Commission. In the absence of an appeal, the Commission may decide on its own motion to review the Proposed Decision. If there is no appeal or review of the Proposed Decision, it automatically becomes the final decision of the Commission.

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case.

The Commission took no action; this has the effect of upholding the Administrative Law Judge's decision in the absence of an appeal.

REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mike Murphy, Bureau Chief, Legal Services Bureau, presented the following item.

The Director requests the referral of the following to the Attorney General for appropriate legal action. Litigation

reports have been provided to the Commissioners and are confidential pursuant to Iowa Code section 22.7(4).

Donald Null (Camanche) - solid waste/penalty
Gerald Pregler (Peosta) - solid waste/penalty
Sun Wise Systems Corporation (Sac City) - wastewater
Amoco Oil Company (Des Moines and Ames) - underground tanks
United Technologies Automotive (Iowa City) - air quality
Ruth Ann Coe (Mason City) - penalty collection

Donald Null

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case.

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Clark Yeager. Motion carried unanimously.

Gerald Pregler

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

Amoco Oil Company

Mr. Murphy stated that this case involves an Amoco station in Des Moines where groundwater contamination has been documented and an Administrative Order was issued. Compliance has not been obtained and referral is being asked to get the company to investigate and remediate and also to collect penalties. The second station involved is in Ames and the department requested twice that they submit their investigation and plans. A plan was finally received but was late in being submitted and staff is asking referral.

Nancylee Siebenmann stated that she was very concerned when she read in the litigation report that there was a complaint regarding gasoline odors at Adams Elementary School, 100 feet north of the Amoco station.

Mr. Murphy noted that Amoco was advised by the department to put in a recovery trench. He was not sure what action was taken, but one deficiency noted in the litigation report is that they have not submitted a plan for collecting the free product.

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann for referral to the Attorney General's Office with a specific request that something be done immediately to remediate the problem near the elementary

school. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

United Technologies Automotive

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission stating that this case involves the construction of an air contaminant source prior to obtaining a permit. The company has agreed to a consent decree consistent with the recommendations in the litigation report and referral is being asked to formalize the consent decree.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Nancylee Siebenmann. Motion carried unanimously.

Ruth Ann Coe

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Richard Hartsuck. Motion carried unanimously.

Margaret Prahl asked what the department does about judgments that are shown on the Attorney General Referrals report and have not been collected.

Mr. Murphy responded that an effort is made to collect them and the Attorney General's Office handles it through garnishments, etc. He added that they have taken post judgment actions to collect them.

Commissioner Prahl stated that it would be helpful if the monthly reports indicated what kind of collection efforts have been made.

LEGISLATION PACKAGE - 1991

Mr. Combs reviewed legislative proposals and related budget costs.

A copy of the legislation package is on file in the department's Records Center.

Surface Water Protection - Lakes, Rivers, Streams, Marshes, and Wetlands

Objection was made by EPC to the NRC submitting this bill as a proposal when it is an area under the purview of the EPC.

William Ehm stated that he has real problems with this proposal as it is not needed where the banks along streams are higher than

the field beyond the bank. He added that it seems for this to be effective it needs to be looked at on an individual basis similar to the SCS headlands requirements program. Commissioner Ehm related that if it is going to be done, it should not be so broad based. He noted that it may serve a good purpose for the lakes in Dickinson County and for lakes in general, but carte blanche it is not a good idea.

Margaret Prahl commented that it is difficult to submit a complete departmental package when the two commissions do not agree on all of the bills. It was decided to delay action on this bill until later.

Yard Waste Disposal for Small Communities

Mr. Combs distributed revised copies of this bill based on the Attorney General's recommendation that, for clarification purposes, the words "local communities" be changed to "cities and counties."

Margaret Prahl questioned the exemption for small communities stating there is no reason to provide different regulations for communities because of size. She added that it would be an additional expense for large communities the same as for small communities.

Following discussion of this issue, Margaret Prahl suggested striking the words "with a population of 2,000 or less" from the last sentence. The Commission concurred.

COMMISSIONER ABSENT

Mike Earley left the meeting at this point (3:00 p.m.).

Local Ordinances Regulating Sludge Disposal

Mr. Combs distributed a revised copy of this bill which incorporates the wishes of the Commission that cities and counties regulations not be more stringent than those of the department.

The Commission concurred with the revised bill.

Surface Water Protection - Lakes, Rivers, Streams, Marshes, and Wetlands (continued)

Motion was made by Clark Yeager to withdraw the Commission's support of this bill. Seconded by Rozanne King.

Mr. Combs asked if the Commission even supported this bill to begin with.

Commission Yeager stated that he does not want it misconstrued that he supports this bill.

The Commission concurred that they would like it to be made clear which bills are those of the EPC and which are those of the NRC.

Discussion followed regarding the total dollar amount for the legislative package.

Vote on Commissioner Yeager's motion to withdraw support for the Surface Water Protection bill carried unanimously.

Household Hazardous Material Collection System

Discussion took place regarding a mandate that each regional planning area provide this type of program.

Richard Hartsuck suggested that the Commission recommend that this program be mandated and that adequate funds be provided.

The Commission concurred with Commissioner Hartsuck's suggestion.

Motion was made by William Ehm to approve the following bills in the department's legislative package: Environmental Protection Programs Support; Waste Reduction/Recycling; Household Hazardous Material Collection System as amended; General Permits; Criminal Penalties for Solid Waste and Air Quality Violations; Financial Responsibility for Recycling and Reclamation Businesses; and Local Ordinances Regulating Sludge Disposal. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (Continued)

Sun Wise Systems Corporation

Mr. Murphy stated that staff is asking referral to obtain compliance with waste water pretreatment standards and for appropriate relief concerning past violations. He noted that this is an electroplating facility and their waste water contains zinc and chrome. They were issued an Administrative Order in 1988 which was subsequently amended to require compliance as of May 31, 1989. He added that the discharges caused problems with the city sludge and the city had to obtain a high rate permit. Mr. Murphy related that the city has taken legal action on a number of the violations.

APPOINTMENT - CHARLES SCHULTE

Charles Schulte, Attorney for Sun Wise Corporation, addressed the Commission asking that they forbear sending this to the Attorney General. He stated that the company is fairly new and they did not know at the time they started business that it was generating anything that was in violation of the environmental quality laws. January, 1989 the company entered into a pretreatment agreement with the City of Sac City. He related that the company has since made continual efforts to be in compliance including the purchase of new equipment and a change in procedures. Mr. Schulte stated that sampling or testing procedures are not accurate as the company tests would show they were in compliance and the city tests would show they were not. He related that one day the DNR, the city, and the company each took a sample which were sent to UHL, the company's laboratory, and the laboratory used by the city and the results were three different numbers. He stated that everything is now tested on a daily basis before going down the drain. Mr. Schulte again asked the Commission to forbear referral at this time as he feels the company has not had adequate time to get it under control considering the complexity of the problem.

Discussion followed.

APPOINTMENT - KEN PAP

Ken Pap, President, Sun Wise Systems Corporation, stated that in January, 1989 a pretreatment agreement was reached with the city and the new system was up an running by July, 1989. He stated that they had some citations between July and November, 1989 with the last citation being in December, 1989. Mr. Pap distributed a time table showing their compliance record, correspondence written, and steps taken to correct their problems and he expanded on same. He stated that they now test everything that goes down the drain and further explained that sediment goes through a filter press and comes out as a hazardous waste solid, which is stored in steel drums then hauled to Chemical Waste Management in Illinois.

Discussion followed regarding disparity in testing results.

Mr. Murphy stated that the only disparity is that their lab analyzed one sample higher than the state's lab, and they were not cited for a violation on that date.

Motion was made by William Ehm for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Nancylee Siebenmann.

Richard Hartsuck asked if there would be an interest in an agreement of another three months of monitoring and make a judgment based on that, in lieu of referral.

William Ehm pointed out that there was a similar case several months ago and the Commission did not offer them additional time.

Discussion followed regarding the number of violations involved.

Mr. Pap stated that there were 18 violations charged by the city. He added that after reviewing the test results from both the city and the company, it was clearly agreed that on two occasions the company was out of compliance and guilty pleas were entered under the city ordinance for those violations; the remaining violations were dismissed. Additionally, there were four other city charges in which the company was found guilty and two charges in which they were found not guilty.

CLOSED SESSION

Motion was made by Clark Yeager to go into closed session pursuant to Iowa Code Section 21.5(1)c to discuss strategy with counsel in matters that are in actual or potential litigation where its disclosure would be likely to prejudice the position of the governmental body in that litigation. Seconded by Rozanne King.

Chairperson Mohr requested a roll call vote. "Aye" vote was cast by Commissioners Ehm, Hartsuck, King, Prahl, Siebenmann, and Mohr. Motion carried on a vote of 7-Aye.

Motion was made by Richard Hartsuck to adjourn closed session and return to open session. Seconded by Clark Yeager.

Chairperson Mohr requested a roll call vote. "Aye" vote was cast by Commissioners Ehm, Hartsuck, King, Prahl, Siebenmann, Yeager, and Mohr. Motion carried on a vote of 7-Aye.

Motion was made by William Ehm to amend his motion for referral to the Attorney General's Office to stipulate that if there are no further violations and no evidence of criminal misdoings, that there be a cap of four days violation in terms of the fine. Seconded by Nancylee Siebenmann. Motion carried unanimously.

Vote on Commissioner Ehm's original motion for referral carried unanimously.

CONTESTED CASE DECISION APPEAL--DONALD RAY MAASDAM

On April 2, 1990, the department issued Administrative Order Mike Murhpy, Bureau Chief, Legal Services Bureau, presented the following item. 90-SW-07 to Donald Ray Maasdam. That action required Mr. Maasdam to stop the accumulation and storage of tires until he obtained a permit, to properly dispose of the accumulated tires, and to pay a \$1000 penalty. That action was appealed and the matter proceeded to administrative hearing on August 9, 1990. The Administrative Law Judge issued the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order on August 22, 1990. The decision affirmed the Department's Order, with the exception of rescinding the penalty.

At its September, 1990, meeting the Commission moved to review the findings of the Administrative Law Judge on the penalty issue. In addition, Mr. Maasdam has appealed this order to the Commission. The Proposed Decision, and pertinent documents have been distributed to the Commissioners. The entire record, including hearing tapes and exhibits are available for your review. The parties will be available to argue their respective positions and respond to your questions. You may then affirm the Proposed Decision, or modify or reverse it, substituting your own findings of fact and conclusions of law based on your conclusions from your review of the record and legal argument.

Mr. Murphy reminded the Commission this matter was brought to their attention for information purposes last month. The Commission had some concerns about the finding of the Administrative Law Judge in regards to the penalty and moved to review it on their own motion. He related that shortly thereafter the department received an appeal from Mr. Maasdam. Mr. Murphy stated that the Commission needs to consider Mr. Maasdam's appeal and also their own concerns over what the Administrative Law Judge did in regards to the penalty. He again briefed the Commission on the history of the case.

APPOINTMENT - ELDON WINKLE

Eldon Winkle, Attorney representing Donald Maasdam, stating that in 1979, Mr. Maasdam rented two acres of pasture to an individual named Ronald Prestis who accumulated tires on the land. Prestis died in 1985 and Mr. Maasdam never stated that Mr. accumulated tires after that. Mr. Winkle related that Mr. Maasdam lives on social securi conducts a little business in which he sells recyclable items he gets from the Pocahontas Landfill. He noted that Mr. Maasdam is environmentalist and would like everything recycled but he cannot afford to do anything with the tires. Mr. Winkle related that Mr. Maasdam does not have the money it takes to obtain a permit allowing him to keep the tires. In conclusion, Mr. Winkle stated that his contention is that Mr. Maasdam is not the owner of the tires, he did not accumulate them, and he is not financially able to do anything with them. He stated that they would like to see someone come in and do something with the tires.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked if any thought had been given to a claim against the estate of Mr. Prestis.

Mr. Winkle indicated that there was no estate.

Discussion followed.

Nancylee Siebenmann suggested that the department make every effort to work with Mr. Maasdam to find a way to remove the tires.

Motion was made by Richard Hartsuck to affirm the Administrative Law Judge's decision. Seconded by William Ehm. Motion carried unanimously.

GENERAL DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 1) Richard Hartsuck stated that, in April, the Commission discussed the Toxic Air Pollutants rule and tabled it until November on the basis that Congress was going to take action on similar rules. He suggested that staff draft a set of regulations which would be as nearly as possible consistent with what is thought the federal regulations will be.
- 2) Director Wilson explained that the Steele Prairie issue was presented by some individuals at a Natural Resource Commission meeting in Spencer. The NRC advised those people that this is a function of the EPC and their concerns should be presented to that Commission. Mr. Wilson related that he also made it clear that the decision on a permit for a hog confinement facility is an administrative decision to be made by Allan Stokes and his staff. Additionally, there is a section of the Code which gives the Director authority to deny a permit to build an anerobic lagoon if it is declared by the Directer it may be potentially harmful to the environment.
- 3) Discussion took place regarding cleanup costs involved in the case of DALS employee, Jim Cunningham, on whose lawn chemical containers were dumped.
- Mr. Stokes indicated it was decided to see if DCI can determine a responsible person and then pursue cost recovery.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Environmental Protection Commission, Chairperson Mohr adjourned the meeting at 5:55 p.m., Monday, October 15, 1990.

Nancyleg Siebenmann, Secretary

Larry J. Wilson,

E900ct-164

INDEX

Closed Session E90Oct-161 Coe, Ruth Ann Adopting Rule Changes Made in Referrals To The Attorney Federal Regulations (Financial General E90Oct-157 Responsibility for USTs) Commissioner Absent E90Oct-158 Notice of Intended Construction Grant Priority List Action--Chapter 136 E90Oct-144 FY 91 Approval E90Oct-106 Adoption of Agenda E90Oct-1 Contested Case Decision Adoption of Minutes E90Oct-1 Appeal -- Donald Ray Allen, Lon Maasdam E90Oct-162 Public Participation E90Oct-7 Proposed--Novak - Booth -Amoco Oil Company Brammer E90Oct-155 Referrals To The Attorney Crammond, Ray General E90Oct-156 Public Participation E90Oct-10 Amundson, Christy Public Participation E90Oct-10 Appointment D Ervin, Don E90Oct-13 Leipzig, Neal E90Oct-127 Director's Report E90Oct-2 Monroe, Robert E90Oct-135 Neustadt, Debbie E90Oct-11 Pap, Ken E90Oct-160 E Schulte, Charles E90Oct-160 Smith, Russell E90Oct-127 Emergency Adopted Rule Winkle, Eldon E90Oct-162 Chapter 209, Solid Waste Demonstration Grants E90Oct-118 Ervin, Don В Appointment E90Oct-13 Bigbee, Jane Steele Public Participation E90Oct-8 F Booth, Kari Contested Case Decision, Federal Effluent and Pretreatment Proposed E90Oct-155 Standards Booth, Robert, Jr. Final Rule--Chapters 60 and Contested Case Decision, 62 E90Oct-116 Proposed E90Oct-155 Final Rule Brammer, Joe Chapter 60, Definitions and Contested Case Decision, Chapter 62, Federal Effluent and Proposed E90Oct-155 Pretreatment Brown, Terri Standards E90Oct-116 Public Participation E90Oct-7 Chapter 61, Water Quality Budget Request Standards - Human Health FY 92 Criteria E90Oct-120 93Approval E90Oct-18 Financial Status Report E90Oct-72 Floodplain Development Permits Notice of Intended С Action--Chapters 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, and 75 E90Oct-137

G McCormack, Ardyce Public Participation E90Oct-8 General Discussion Items E900ct-163 Members Absent E90Oct-1 Members present E90Oct-1 Midwest Low Level Radioactive Waste H Compact Update E90Oct-5 Miller, Tom Hildebrand, Cindy Public Participation E900ct-8 Public Participation E900ct-9 Monroe, Robert Appointment E90Oct-135 Monthly Reports E90Oct-77 Ι Intended Use Plan N State Revolving Fund - FY 91 E90Oct-91 Neustadt, Debbie Appointment E90Oct-11 Niles, Kim J Public Participation E900ct-6 Notice of Intended Action Chapter 135, Adopting U.S. EPA James, Beth Rule Change on Issuance of Public Participation E900ct-7 Certificate Evidencing Complete James, Brandi Public Participation E900ct-10 Remediation E90Oct-149 James, Brian Chapter 135, Determination of Public Participation E900ct-10 Insolvency - UST Owners E90Oct-152 Chapter 136, Adopting Rule K Changes Made in Federal Regulations (Financial Kelly, Kevin Responsibility for Public Participation E900ct-9 USTs) E90Oct-144 Chapters 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, and Kirchner, Shirley Public Participation E900ct-7 75, Floodplain Development Permits E90Oct-137 Novak, Loretta June Contested Case Decision, L Proposed E90Oct-155 Landfill Alternatives Grant Contract Null, Donald Referrals To The Attorney Marshalltown E90Oct-2 General E90Oct-156 Legislation Package -1991 E90Oct-157 Leipzig, Neal Appointment E90Oct-127 October 1990 COMMISSION M MEETING E90Oct-1 Maasdam, Donald Ray Contested Case Decision, P Appeal E90Oct-162 Marshalltown Pap, Ken Appointment E90Oct-160 Landfill Alternatives Grant Contract E90Oct-2 Phipps, David

Public Participation E900ct-10 Pregler, Gerald Referrals To The Attorney General E900ct-156 Public Participation Allen, Lon E90Oct-7 Amundson, Christy E900ct-10 Bigbee, Jane Steele E90Oct-8 Brown, Terri E90Oct-7 Crammond, Ray E90Oct-10 Hildebrand, Cindy E900ct-9 James, Beth E90Oct-7 James, Brandi E90Oct-10 James, Brian E90Oct-10 Kelly, Kevin E90Oct-9 Kirchner, Shirley E90Oct-7 McCormack, Ardyce E900ct-8 Miller, Tom E90Oct-8 Niles, Kim E90Oct-6 Phipps, David E90Oct-10 Sokolosky, Dick E90Oct-8

R

Referrals To The Attorney
General E90Oct-155
Amoco Oil Company E90Oct-156
Coe, Ruth Ann E90Oct-157
Null, Donald E90Oct-156
Pregler, Gerald E90Oct-156
United Technologies
Automotive E90Oct-157
Referrals To The Attorney General
(Continued)
Sun Wise Systems
Corporation E90Oct-159

Schulte, Charles
Appointment E90Oct-160
Smith, Russell
Appointment E90Oct-127
Sokolosky, Dick
Public Participation E90Oct-8
Solid Waste Demonstration Grants
Emergency Adopted Rule--Chapter
209 E90Oct-118
State Revolving Fund
Intended Use Plan - FY
91 E90Oct-91
Sun Wise Systems Corporation
Referrals To The Attorney
General E90Oct-159

U

UHL

Air and Water Quality Monitoring
Contract - Federal FY
91 E90Oct-15
United Technologies Automotive
Referrals To The Attorney
General E90Oct-157
UST
Determination of Insolvency - UST
Owners
Notice of Intended
Action--Chapter
135 E90Oct-152

W

Winkle, Eldon
Appointment E90Oct-162

S